

## 2012 Construction Reactor Oversight Process (cROP) Survey

Thank you for participating in the survey. Your feedback is important to us and will be used in the cROP self-assessment program to evaluate the effectiveness of the cROP pilot program. There are 14 questions in the survey and places for written comments. We seek constructive feedback to improve the program, and your comments with specific examples are welcomed. If you are filling out a hard copy, please use additional sheets for comments if needed.

Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. If you cannot fill/save the pdf file, please fill out the Word version of the survey <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/oversight/crop/con-pilot.html> or print out a hard copy. The survey ends on December 31, 2012.

Instruction: For each of the statements, please indicate if it's **reasonably** true. If you don't have enough knowledge/experience, please select U/A (unable to answer).

1. Are inspection findings being processed in a timely manner?

Yes                      No                      U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

2. Can inspection findings be properly assigned a safety significance rating in accordance with established guidance?

Yes                      No                      U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

3. Can the assessment process be performed within the scheduled time?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

4. Can the construction action matrix be used to take appropriate NRC actions in response to indications of licensee performance?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

5. Do the inspection findings provide an adequate indication of licensee performance?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

6. Does the process provide a reasonable assurance that the cornerstone objectives are being met and the plant is being constructed in accordance with its design?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

7. Are the mid-cycle and end-of-cycle assessments effective in communicating licensee performance?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

8. Does the use of the new assessment program and action matrix result in more consistent and predictable NRC action decisions?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

9. Are enforcement actions taken in a manner consistent with the assessment of inspection findings by the risk characterization guidance?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

10. Are the assessment data and results readily available to the public?

Yes                       No                       U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

11. Are there any lessons learned regarding Corrective Action Program implementation and NRC verification?

Yes                      No                      U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

12. The current timeframe for counting findings and baseline program cross-cutting aspects in the assessment program is 6 months. Is this sufficient time to effectively evaluate licensee performance?

Yes                      No                      U/A

Can you recommend any improvements? :

13. Has there been sufficient activity during the pilot to end the pilot and fully implement the new construction assessment and enforcement approaches?

Yes                      No                      U/A

If yes, can you recommend any improvements to the construction and assessment approaches? If no, how long should the pilot continue and what specifically would be gained by continuing the pilot?

14. Please provide any additional feedback you would like to share regarding the construction reactor oversight process that was not covered in the questions listed above.

Other:

**Please email survey to [cROPFeedback.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:cROPFeedback.Resource@nrc.gov), or mail a hard copy (with docket ID NRC-2011-0270) to:**

**Cindy Bladey Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch Office of Administration  
(Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC  
20555\_0001**

**If you submit this survey by email, you will receive an acknowledge email. If you do not receive such email in two business days, please contact us at [cROPFeedback.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:cROPFeedback.Resource@nrc.gov). Please save a copy of the filled survey for your record.**

**Paperwork Reduction Act** This survey contains information collections that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0197, which expires August 31, 2012.

The burden to the public for these voluntary information collections is estimated to be 45 minutes per response. The information gathered will be used in the NRC's self-assessment of the reactor oversight process. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to the Information Services Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by Internet electronic mail to [INFCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV); and to the Desk Officer, Chad Whiteman, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0197), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

**Public Protection Notification** The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.