

June 22, 2012

Mr. Gary Felicetti, Quality Assurance Manager
Clark Dynamics, LLC
1801 Route 51
Jefferson Hills, PA 15025

SUBJECT: CLARK DYNAMICS, LLC. RESPONSE TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO.
99901412/2012-201, NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND NOTICE OF
NONCONFORMANCE

Dear Mr. Felicetti:

Thank you for your June 4, 2012, letter in response to the Notice of Violation (NOV) and Notice of Nonconformance (NON) that was discussed in the subject U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection report (IR).

We reviewed your letter and found that it was not fully responsive to two of the NONs discussed in IR 99901412/2012-201. NON 99901412/2012-201-02, subpart 1, related to the use of piezoelectric accelerometers outside of their calibrated range and NON 99901412/2012-201-05, subpart 5, related to the failure to calibrate piezoelectric accelerometers in accordance with Clark Procedure ISL 0766. Your response to these two NONs did not provide adequate detail for the staff to conclude that your proposed corrective actions would completely address the identified nonconformances. Specifically, your responses stated that you performed in-house recalibration of all of your working piezoelectric accelerometers. Please clarify your response to indicate whether the Clark calibration technician who performed the recalibration of the piezoelectric accelerometers was adequately trained and qualified to perform calibration and whether the technician received additional training on the revised ISL working instruction 0766 prior to recalibrating the piezoelectric accelerometers.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Edward Roach, Chief
Mechanical Vendor Branch
Division of Construction Inspection
& Operational Programs
Office of New Reactors

Docket No. 99901412

June 22, 2012

Mr. Gary Felicetti, Quality Assurance Manager
Clark Dynamics, LLC
1801 Route 51
Jefferson Hills, PA 15025

SUBJECT: CLARK DYNAMICS, LLC. RESPONSE TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO.
99901412/2012-201, NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND NOTICE OF
NONCONFORMANCE

Dear Mr. Felicetti:

Thank you for your June 4, 2012, letter in response to the Notice of Violation (NOV) and Notice of Nonconformance (NON) that was discussed in the subject U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection report (IR).

We reviewed your letter and found that it was not fully responsive to two of the NONs discussed in IR 99901412/2012-201. NON 99901412/2012-201-02, subpart 1, related to the use of piezoelectric accelerometers outside of their calibrated range and NON 99901412/2012-201-05, subpart 5, related to the failure to calibrate piezoelectric accelerometers in accordance with Clark Procedure ISL 0766. Your response to these two NONs did not provide adequate detail for the staff to conclude that your proposed corrective actions would completely address the identified nonconformances. Specifically, your responses stated that you performed in-house recalibration of all of your working piezoelectric accelerometers. Please clarify your response to indicate whether the Clark calibration technician who performed the recalibration of the piezoelectric accelerometers was adequately trained and qualified to perform calibration and whether the technician received additional training on the revised ISL working instruction 0766 prior to recalibrating the piezoelectric accelerometers.

Sincerely,

Edward Roach, Chief
Mechanical Vendor Branch
Division of Construction Inspection
& Operational Programs
Office of New Reactors

Docket No. 99901412

DISTRIBUTION:

RidsNroDcip
RidsNroDcipCqab
gfelicetti@clarktesting.com

RidsNroDcipCmvb
Scrane

RidsNroDcipCevb
ERoach

ASakadales

ADAMS Accession No.: ML12170B084

NRO-002

OFFICE	NRO/DCIP/CMVB	NRO/DCIP/CMVB:BC
NAME	SCRANE	EROACH
DATE	06/21/2012	06/22/2012

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY