

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 16, 2012

- LICENSEE: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- FACILITY: Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2
- SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF APRIL 4, 2012, TELECONFERENCE MEETING WITH PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON DIGITAL REPLACEMENT OF THE PROCESS PROTECTION SYSTEM PORTION OF THE REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM AND ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SYSTEM AT DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT (TAC NOS. ME7522 AND ME7523)

On April 4, 2012, a Category 1 teleconference public meeting was held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and representatives of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E, the licensee) at NRC Headquarters, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville, Maryland. The purpose of the teleconference meeting was to discuss the license amendment request (LAR) submitted by PG&E on October 26, 2011, for the Digital Replacement of the Process Protection System (PPS) Portion of the Reactor Trip System and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System at Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML113070457). A list of attendees is provided in Enclosure 1.

The teleconference meeting is one in a series of publicly noticed teleconference meetings to be held periodically to discuss issues associated with the NRC staff's LAR review. Preliminary issues that the NRC staff identified during its initial review and the licensee's responses to these preliminary issues were discussed during the teleconference meeting. The list of preliminary issues is provided in Enclosure 2.

It was noted during the meeting that the response to the first nine issues of Enclosure 2 was provided to the NRC staff in a letter dated April 2, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12094A072). The nine issues found in Enclosure 2 are the same set of issues that were provided to PG&E in the January 13, 2012, letter from the NRC staff accepting the LAR for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML120120005). The staff indicated that it had not yet had a chance to fully review the responses and further feedback could be provided in future public phone calls. The NRC staff and PG&E determined that because of availability, only one public meeting in May would be scheduled. The staff took an action to issue a meeting notice for a May 16, 2012, meeting.

Please direct any inquiries to me at 301-415-1132 or at Joseph.Sebrosky@prc.gov.

tar

Joseph M. Sebrosky, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch IV Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosures:

- 1. List of Attendees
- 2. Staff identified issues

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv

LIST OF ATTENDEES

APRIL 4, 2012, TELECONFERENCE MEETING WITH

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY REGARDING

DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT DIGITAL UPGRADE

DOCKET NO. 50-273 AND 50-323

NAME

ORGANIZATION

Ken Schrader Scott Patterson Bob Lint John Hefler J. Basso W. Odess-Gillet Roman Shaffer Bill Kemper Rich Stattel Rossnyev Alvarado Joe Sebrosky Shiattin Makor Gordon Clefton David White Ken Thompson Pacific Gas and Electric Pacific Gas and Electric Altran Altran Westinghouse Westinghouse Invensys/Triconex U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Energy Institute Areva Avila Valley Advisory Council

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
001	AR (BD)	[ISG-06 Enclosure B, Item 1.3] <u>Determini</u> The Diablo Canyon Specific Application s sequence and provide corresponding and response time performance. This analysi enable the NRC staff to determine that th a. has been implemented in conformation design basis,	hould identify the board access alysis associated with digital s should be of sufficient detail to e logic-cycle;	Open	N/A		<u>No further</u> <u>discussion</u> <u>necessary until</u> <u>response received</u> <u>March 29, 2012.</u>
		 b. is deterministic, and c. the response time is derived from prequirements and in full consideration have been observed during equipments As stated in the LAR, information pertain will be submitted as a Phase 2 document addressed accordingly. 	on of communication errors that nent qualification. ng to response time performance				Response acceptable; waiting on PG&E to provide the time response calculation for the V10 Tricon PPS Replacement
		P&GE response: ALS Diablo Canyon PPS document 6116-000 Specification", Section 7.5, identifies the provides an analysis associated with digit	ALS board access sequence and al response time performance.				architecture by April 16, 2012.
		 a) The Diablo Canyon PPS ALS system with the qualification requirements of the b) The analysis in Diablo Canyon PI System Design Specification", Section 7, deterministic. c) The requirements for the response instrumentation (from input conditioner to specified as not to exceed 0.409 seconds Canyon Power Plant Units 1 & 2 Process Functional Requirements Specification (F Attachment 7 of the LAR. In Section 1.5. Plant Units 1 & 2 Process Protection System Plant Units 1 & 2 Process Plant Units 1 & 2 Plant Uni	ALS platform topical report, PS document 6116-00011, "ALS describes a logic cycle that is e time of the PPS processing conditioned output signal) is in Section 3.2.1.10 of the "Diablo Protection System Replacement RS)", Revision 4 submitted as 8 of the "Diablo Canyon Power				
		Requirements Specification (IRS)", Revis the LAR, the 0.409 seconds PPS process is allocated between the ALS and Tricon	ion 4, submitted as Attachment 8 of sing instrumentation response time				

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	÷		Page 2 of 2		
Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments	
		ALS: 175 ms for RTD processing Tricon: 200 ms Contingency: 34 ms						
		value that is currently allocated to PPS as the 0.409 second PPS processing the total response time values assume contained in FSAR Table 15.1-2 will n Overtemperature ∆T RT and Overpowe pressurizer pressure RT, Low pressuriz level RT functions, 1 second for Low rea seconds for Low pressurizer pressure, H steam line pressure Safety Injection initi level auxiliary feedwater initiation, 18 se Low pressurizer pressure, and Low steat isolation, 48.5 seconds for High High conta seconds for High High SG water level a seconds for Low steam line pressure ste	ot be exceeded; 7 seconds for r ∆T RT functions, 2 seconds for High er pressure RT, and Low Low SG water actor coolant flow RT function, 25 High containment pressure, and Low iation, 60 seconds for Low low SG water econds for High containment pressure, am line pressure Phase A containment ontainment pressure containment spray ainment pressure steam line isolation, 66 uxiliary feedwater isolation, and 8 eam line isolation.					
		included in the FAT summary report to the Tricon Invensys provided detailed information V10 Tricon in Invensys Letter No. NRG In support of the V10 Tricon safety even 9600164-731, Maximum Response Tri case response time for the V10 Tricor document 9600164-731 are the stand case response time of a given V10 Tri calculation for the V10 Tricon PPS Re submitted by April 16, 2012. The Syst Report, 993754-1-818, will be submitted Phase 2 submittals at the completion of Tricon PPS Replacement.	n on the deterministic operation of the C V10-11-001, dated January 5, 2011. aluation, Invensys submitted document me Calculations, describing the worst- n Qualification System. Included in ard equations for calculating worst- icon configuration. The time response eplacement architecture will be tem Response Time Confirmation				The staff will likely need the Tricon time response	

April 2, 12

DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		be included in the FAT summary repo	ort to be submitted by 12/31/12.				calc's submitted on the docket. It is not efficient for the staff to travel to a remote facility to audit SP calc's. PG&E stated that they will provide the Tricon Time response calc's in a document submitted on the
	AR (RA)	"Verification, Validation, Reviews an Used in Safety Systems of Nuclear endorses IEEE (Institute of Electrica "IEEE Standard for Software Verifica 1997, "IEEE Standard for Software F stated in the Regulatory Position of acceptable to the NRC staff for com for promoting high functional reliabili safety systems. Standard Review Pl identify Regulatory Guide 1.168 as systems (RTS) and for engineered s Westinghouse/ALS 6116-00000 Dia Figure 2-2, shows the Verification ar reporting to the Project Manager. T described in the ALS Management F	blo Canyon PPS Management Plan,	Open	N/A		docket. <u>No further</u> <u>discussion</u> <u>necessary until</u> <u>response received</u> <u>March 29, 2012.</u> Response acceptable; waiting on revised W/ALS PPS MP, which is due on March 29. 2012.

April 2, 12

DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table

Page 4 of 29

Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		reconciled during the LAR and	ALS LTR reviews.				
		P&GE response:					
		00000 Diablo Canyon PPS Ma based on CSI document 6002- Revision 4. CS Innovations su "6002-00003 ALS Verification a 11, 2011, that revised the requ management of the verification management of the development Diablo Canyon PPS Management organization structure in which is separate and independent of personnel. PG&E will submit the	ferenced Westinghouse document 6116- nagement Plan, dated July 25, 2011, that was 00003 ALS Verification and Validation Plan, bsequently submitted a revised V&V plan, and Validation Plan", Revision 5, on November ired V&V organization structure such that the personnel is separate and independent of the ent personnel. The Westinghouse 6116-00000 ent Plan is being revised to require a V&V the management of the verification personnel f the management of the development he revised Westinghouse 6116-00000 Diablo n document by March 29, 2012.	-			
3	AR (RA)	supplier is responsible for provi activities. Also, the organizatio Management Plan shows the IN The ALS V&V plan described in Diablo Canyon PPS Managem about the activities to be perfor Plan states that for project spec on a project by project basis ar Plan, in this case, 6116-00000, However, the 6116-00000 Diab "See the ALS V&V Plan for mo IV&V team and the PPS Replan	V&V plan states that Project Manager of the iding directions during implementation of V&V on chart in the Diablo Canyon PPS VV manager reporting to the PM. In ISG6 matrix for the ALS platform and the ent Plan do not provide sufficient information rmed during V&V. For example, the ALS V&V cific systems, V&V activities are determined and are described in the project Management , "Diablo Canyon PPS Management Plan." plo Canyon PPS Management Plan states: are information and the interface between the	Open	N/A		No further discussion necessary until response received March 29, 2012. Status: Fig. 3 of the PPS SVVP (Pg. 16/46) indicates sufficient organizational independence between the

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		scope for V&V activities. As mentioned b in the ISG6 matrix. These items will need further clarification demonstrate compliance with Regulatory "Verification, Validation, Reviews and Auc Used in Safety Systems of Nuclear Powe	during the LAR review to Guide (RG) 1.168, Revision 1, dits for Digital Computer Software				Nuclear Delivery (Design) Organization and the IV&V Organization. Fig. 3 of the PPS
		P&GE response: ALS The Westinghouse 6116-00000 Diablo Ca being revised to include details on how the organizational reporting structure from the the Scottsdale Operations Director and the report to different Westinghouse Vice President, but via independent reporting structure Description of 6116-00000 Diablo Canyor activity updates - IN PROGRESS PG&E will submit the revised Westinghout Management Plan that includes the above	e IV&V team has an independent e design and implementation team; le ALS Platform & Systems Director sidents. The IVV Manager and rt to the same Westinghouse Vice structures. In PPS Management Plan V&V use 6116-00000 Diablo Canyon PPS				PMP (993754-1- 905) (pg. 22/81) also denotes the DCPP PPS project organization, and provides sufficient independence between the ND and IV&V Organizations. Close the Invensys part of the OI.
		Tricon The organizational structure of Invensys (in part, Engineering and Nuclear Delivery a specific role in the V10 Tricon application Engineering is responsible for designing a platform, and Nuclear Delivery is respons customers on safety-related V10 Tricon s Invensys Engineering department proced Plans (EPP)," whereas Nuclear Delivery of "Project Plans." Invensys Engineering is integration, but Nuclear Delivery may con	Each of these organizations plays on project life cycle. Invensys and maintaining the V10 Tricon ible for working with nuclear ystem integration projects. ures require "Engineering Project department procedures require not directly involved in system				W/ALS response acceptable; waiting on revised W/ALS PPS MP, which is due on March 29. 2012.

DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table

April 2, 12

No	2, 12 Src/RI	Issue Description	RAI	Page 6 of 2			
•0			P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	Response (Due Date)	Comments
		issues related to the V10 Tricon pl	latform.				Status: Fig. 3 of
							the PPS SVVP
		The NRC applied ISG-06 to the V	10 Tricon safety evaluation. Invensys				(Pg. 16/46)
		submitted a number of documents	pertaining to the design of the V10 Tricon				indicates
		platform as well as process and pr	rocedure documents governing Invensys				sufficient
		Engineering activities, including th	e EPP. In most cases, these platform-				organizational
		related documents are preceded v	vith document number 9600164. The				independence
		platform-level documents reviewed	d by the staff during the V10 Tricon safety				between the
		evaluation will not be resubmitted	by Nuclear Delivery during application-				Nuclear Delivery
		specific system integration project	S.				(Design)
							Organization and
		In support of the PG&E LAR for th	e DCPP PPS Replacement, Invensys				the IV&V
		Nuclear Delivery is required to sub	omit the application design documents as				Organization.
		defined in ISG-06. These project	documents are preceded by document				
		number 993754. The Phase 1 sul	omittal under Invensys Project Letter				Fig. 3 of the PPS
		993754-026T, dated October 26, 2	2011, contained, in part, the following:				PMP (993754-1-
		PPS Replacement Project Manage	ement Plan (PMP), 993754-1-905. "Project				905) (pg. 22/81)
			ore closely match BTP 7-14 with regard to				also denotes the
		"management plans"; and					DCPP PPS proje
			cation and Validation Plan (SVVP),				organization, an
		993754-1-802.					provides
			acement Project management activities				sufficient
			ly. The guidance documents BTP 7-14 and				independence
		NUREG/CR-6101 were used as in	put during development of the PMP.				between the ND
							and IV&V
			G 1.168, the PPS Replacement PMP and				Organizations.
		↓	ional structure and interfaces of the PPS				· ·
		Replacement Project. The docum	ents describe the Nuclear Delivery (ND)				Close the
			sibilities, the Nuclear Independent				Invensys part of
			team structure and responsibilities, the				the OI.
		interfaces between ND and Nuclea	ar IV&V, lines of reporting, and degree of				
		independence between ND and N	uclear IV&V. In addition, the PMP				
		describes organizational boundarie	es between Invensys and the other				

April 2, 12			DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Ta	DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table			Page 7 of 2		
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
		external entities involved in the	PPS Replacement project: PG&E, Altran,						
		Westinghouse, and Invensys su	uppliers. The combination of the PMP and						
		SVVP demonstrate compliance	of the Invensys organization with RG 1.168	b.					
4	AR	[ISG-06 Enclosure B, Item 1.10 Software Configuration Manage] ement Plan: The LAR includes PG&E	Open	N/A				
	(RA)		on Management for Plant Operations and						
			nent 12. However, the document provided i						
			guideline for preparing Software Configurati plans. Though it is understood that the	on					
			opment of software, PGE personnel will						
		become responsible for maintai delivery from the vendor.	ining configuration control over software upo	n					
		configuration control over PPS acceptance criteria of the SRP. Management (CM) Plan (6002- related to ALS generic boards. management activities to be us ALS platform for the Diablo Car configuration management for t	an to be used by the licensee for maintaining software in order to evaluate against the For example, the ALS Configuration 00002) describes initial design activities This plan does describe the configuration ed for the development and application of the nyon PPS System. The staff requires that this design be described in the DCPP project need further clarification during the LAR nce with BTP-14.	ne					
		P&GE response:							
			rocedure to address configuration control aft e vendor and will submit the document by M						

	April 2, 12 DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table						Page 8 of 29
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
5	AR	[ISG-06 Enclosure B, Item 1.11]		Closed	N/A		r.,
-	(RA)	Software Test Plan: The V10 platform of state that the interface between the NG Core Software and IO-specific software when and how this interface will be test software unit testing and integration test Further, the 993754-1-813 Diablo Canyo states that the DCPP's TSAP will not be Triconex will use another TSAP for the DCPP's TSAP will not be used for the va TSAP will be loaded on the system and System. These items will need further of demonstrate compliance with BTP-14.	IO (Next Generation Input Output) will not be tested. It is not clear ed, and why this test is not part of the ting activities. on Triconex PPS Validation Test Plan e loaded on the system; instead validation test. It is not clear why the alidation test or when the DCPP's validated for the Diablo Canyon PPS				NGIO Core software is tested and qualified as a platform component. As such, it does not need to be separately tested during the application development process.
		P&GE response: Tricon The next-generation input/output (I/O) m are the 3721N 4-20 mA, 32-point analog 24 Vdc, 32-point digital output (DO) mod modules was provided to the NRC in su evaluation. Configuration and functional modules (hardware and embedded core the factory the I/O modules are shipped in nuclear system integration projects, i. Because the module hardware and embedded core scope of the V10 Tricon safety evaluation	g input (AI) module, and the 3625N dule. Technical data on these two pport of the V10 Tricon safety al testing is performed when the I/O e firmware) are manufactured. From to Invensys Nuclear Delivery for use e., application specific configurations. bedded core firmware are within the				TSAP is a Test Specimen Application Program used for purposes of platform qualification.
		the embedded core firmware will not be specific system integration projects. There are certain design items that mus (TS1131), such as specifying which I/O physical slot of the Tricon chassis, result	repeated as part of application- at be done with TriStation 1131 module is installed in a particular				Application will be loaded onto plant system hardware during FAT.

April Z, TZ	ril 2, 12 DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table					Page 9 of 2	
No Src/F	I Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments	
	 application program parameters (i.a assigned to a particular point on a configured in TS1131 will be within conducted by Invensys Nuclear IV& integration projects. The necessar configuration tables, test procedure the NRC to support the staff's tech in accordance with ISG-06. The Phase 1 submittal under Inver October 26, 2011, contained, in pa 1-813. This document describes the testing activities that are required for portion of the PPS Replacement, in Preparing for and conducting syster Defining technical inputs to validati Defining the test tools and environment testing Scheduling (and resource loading of Section 1.3.2 of the VTP describes and Section 1.3.3 of the VTP describes and Section 1.3.4 of the VTP describes and	&V for application-specific system y collateral (system build documents, es, test results, etc.) will be submitted to nical review of the PPS Replacement LAR asys Project Letter 993754-026T, dated rt, the Validation Test Plan (VTP), 993754- ne scope, approach, and resources of the or validation testing of the V10 Tricon neluding: m integration tests on planning ment necessary for system validation of the schedule) the Hardware Validation Test activities ribes the V10 Tricon portion of the Factory /10 Tricon portion of the PPS cation program are proprietary and need				Staff re-examined Invensys doc. "Validation Test Plan (VTP), 993754-1-813," Section 1.3.2 of the VTP that describes the Hardware Validation Test activities and Section 1.3.3 of the VTP and determined that the application program TSAP will be used for the FAT (Section 5.1.5 FAT) Close this portion of the OI.	

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	e		Page 10 of 29		
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments	
6	AR (SM)	 4.11.1.2 provide little information environmental factors. The Trice Section 6.2 lists 19 application s licensee should address for plan address each of these for Tricon information for the ALS portion of P&GE response: ALS PG&E will respond to ALS ASAI Tricon 	<u>Plans</u> - The LAR Sections 4.6, 4.10.2.4 and n on the plant specific application on V10 Safety Evaluation, ML 11298A246, pecific actions Items (ASAI's) that the at specific applications. The licensee should a portion of the PPS replacement. Similar of the PPS replacement will also be required.	Closed	Develop a generic RAI to provide a respons e to ASAIs for both platfor ms when the SERs are issued. RA# XX		Staff agreed that PG&E should submit a separate submittal (LAR amendment) to address the ASAIs for both platforms. it is not necessary to delineate exactly what will be done for each ASAI in this OI matrix.	
7	AR (BK)	(ISG-04) regarding the connective PPS. The TriStation V10 platford disconnection of the TriStation's software. Based on the information determined that the Tricon V10 p	AR does not appear to comply with the SRP vity of the Maintenance Work Station to the m relies on software to effect the capability to modify the safety system tion provided in the LTR, the NRC staff platform does not comply with the NRC ghly Integrated Control Rooms—	Open	N/A		3/21 update: it was agreed that PG&E/Invensys and PG&E/Westinghou se/CSI would provide a report (LAR supplement)	

No	2, 12 Src/RI	Issue Description	DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DALNA	RAI	Page 11 of 29 Comments
NO	Src/Ri		P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		Communications Issues, (ADAMS Acc	ession No. ML083310185), Staff				to explain how
		Position 1, Point 10, hence the DCPP	PPS configuration does not fully				these two issues
		comply with this guidance.					will be resolved
							and submit to
		In order for the NRC staff to accept this	•				NRC—Date to be
		deviation to this staff position, the staff specific system communications control					provided TBD.
		operation of the keyswitch, the softwar					
		testing performed on failures of the har					Waiting for t he the
		- ·	platform on this matter is unclear at this				V10 Tricon portion
		time and will be resolved as the ALS L					of the PPS
							Replacement
							Failure Modes and
		Moreover, the Tricon V10 system Oper	• • •				
		keyswitch does change operational mo					Effects Analysis, an ISG-06 Phase 2
		the TriStation 1131 PC to change para related to the application program of the					
			s stated in Section 3.1.3.2 of the Tricon				document to be
		V10 SER, the TriStation 1131 PC shou					submitted to NRC
		the Tricon V10 is operational and perf	•				in May 2012.
		However, it is physically possible for th					
		times, and this should be strictly control	olled via administrative controls (e.g.,				PG&E/Invensys
		place the respective channel out of ser					needs to provide a
		parameters, etc). The LAR does not m	•				technical
		such as this to control the operation of					explanation of how
		keyswitch. Furthermore, in order to lea	•				the MP3008N
		attached to the SR Tricon V10 system position, a detailed FMEA of the TriSta					processor actually
		to ascertain the potential effects this no	•				ignores all
		execution of the safety application prog					commands when in
		division. These issues must be addres					RUN-address the
		determine that the DCPP PPS complie					items in the OI.
		provided in Staff Position 1, Point 11. 1					
		point is unclear at this time.	·				This issue will also
		P&GE response:					have to be
		<u> </u>					addressed for the
		Tricon					ALS platform.
	1	The OMC keyswitch controls only the r	node of the VIU Tricon 3008N MPs.				

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	9			Page 12 of 2
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		In RUN position the 3008N MPs ig	nore* all commands from external devices,				
		whether WRITE commands from e	external operator interfaces or program-				
		related commands from TS1131.					
		The keyswitch is a four-position, three	e-ganged switch so that the three Main				
			the position of the switch independently. The				
			ecuting on the MP application processor				
			h. The three MPs vote the position of the				
			keyswitch is available as a read-only system		1		
			TSAP. This allows alarming the keyswitch				
			JN position. TS1131 messages to and from				
			MPs) are of a defined format. TS1131 SAP) changes – whether download of new				
			he executing control program – are uniquely				
			ved by ETSX and appropriate response				
		-	her things, the position of the keyswitch.				
			ved by ETSX to download a new control				
		-	trol program, ETSX accepts or rejects the				
			position. If the keyswitch is in RUN, all such				
			ch is in PROGRAM, the Tricon is considered				
		out of service and ETSX runs through	the sequence of steps to download the new or				
		modified control program, as appropr	riate.				
		Multiple hardware and software fai	lures would have to occur on the V10				
		Tricon (in combination with human	-performance errors in the control room				
		and at the computer with TS1131 i	nstalled) in order for the application				
		program to be inadvertently reprog	rammed. Therefore, there is no credible				
		single failure on the V10 Tricon that	at would allow the safety-related				
		application program to be inadverted	ently programmed, e.g., as a result of				
		unexpected operation of the conne	ected computer with TS1131 installed on it.				
		The above conclusion will be confi	rmed (for the V10 Tricon portion of the				
		PPS Replacement) in the Failure N	Nodes and Effects Analysis, an ISG-06				
		Phase 2 document planned for sub	omittal to NRC in May 2012. Additionally,				

•	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table Issue Description P&GE response: Status RAI No.				
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	(Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		Invensys Operations Management will su hardware and software associated with the the technical data available for audit. *TS1131 contains function blocks that allo parameters programmed into the applicat duration after which the capability is disat enabled. However, without these function application program neither the applicatio parameters can be modified with the OMO PG&E Administrative controls on use of keyswith to include in procedures in response. Note, TS1131 is not used to change setpo inoperable when keyswitch is not in RUN	w WRITE-access to a limited set of ion software, but only for a limited oled until WRITE-access is re- h blocks programmed into the n program nor application program C keyswitch in the RUN position.				PG&E/Invensys needs to provide a technical explanation of how the MP3008N processor actually ignores all commands when in RUN—address the items in the OI.
8	AR (RS)	[ISG-06 Enclosure B, Item 1.21] <u>Setpoint Methodology:</u> The NRC staff unit (setpoint) Calculations will be provided in of the LAR also states that PGE plans to a TSTF 493. The NRC cannot accept this of future licensing action. The staff therefore summary of setpoint calculations which in used for determining as-found and as-left satisfy all of the informational requirement D.9.4.3.8 without a condition of TSTF 493	Phase 2, however, section 4.10.3.8 submit a separate LAR to adopt dependency on an unapproved e expects the licensee to submit a cludes a discussion of the methods tolerances. This submittal should ts set forth in ISG6 section	Open	N/A		3/7/12 update: PG&E stated that all setpoints determinations will be addressed as part of this LAR, and NOT submitted as a TSTF-493 licensing action. 3/21/12 update:
		P&GE response: The evaluation of the setpoints for the PP performed by Westinghouse in two phase documentation to support 95/95 two-sided	s in order to provide sufficient				The staff may chose to review the Westinghouse calculations at the Westinghouse

April	DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table Src/RI Issue Description P&GF response: Status						Page 14 of 29		
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
		 setpoints. This is because the NRC staff information and additional data and analy uncertainties used in the setpoint calculat statistically sufficient quantity of sample d (to justify the confidence level of the calculations the transmitter and RTD vendors, the to support development of calculations the uncertainty values. The first phase of the evaluation of the set PPS replacement setpoints for the Tricom expected bounding uncertainty values. A includes a discussion of the methods use as-left tolerances will be submitted by Ma commitment 31 in Attachment 1 to the Er LAR. The second phase of the evaluation of the Set PPS Tricon and ALS architecture using sufficient substantiate that the setpoints are based values. The Westinghouse calculations will be available for inspection to performed for another recent utility projection. 	rsis to demonstrate that the tion have been based on a lata to bound the assumed values ulation is appropriate) during recent s. Significant information is required at has never been obtained before, at can support 95/95 two-sided etpoints will include evaluation of the and ALS architecture using a setpoint summary evaluation which d for determining the as-found and by 31, 2012. This is a change to the inclosure to the PPS Replacement e setpoints will include development replacement setpoints for the ent information from vendors to on 95/95 two-sided uncertainty will be completed by December 31, by NRC staff in Washington DC with int group personnel. The NRC staff n Washington DC has been				office in Washington DC. However, if the safety finding is dependent on these calculations, then the setpoint calculations will be required to be submitted on the docket per NRC licensing procedures		
9	AR (BK)	LTR Safety Conclusion Scope and Applic the DCPP PPS LAR refer the reader to the to demonstrate compliance of the system 1991, IEEE 7-4.3.2-203, and ISG-04. Ho the ALS LTR state that compliance with v and ISG-04 are application specific and ro specific license amendment submittal (i.e The staff has not yet had time to evaluate and compare this information with that pro-	with various Clauses of IEEE 603- wever, many important sections of various Clauses of these IEEE Stds efer the reader to an application ., the DCPP PPS LAR in this case).	Open	N/A				

April	2, 12	DC	PP PPS Open Item Summary Table	•			Page 15 of 29
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		there is no missing information. Howeve encouraged to review these two licensing compliance with these IEEE Stds and ISC within both licensing documents.	submittals promptly to verify that				
		P&GE response: PG&E and Westinghouse have revier topical report to verify information is p IEEE 603-1991, IEEE 7-4.3.2-2003, a the ALS topical report. As a result of neither the LAR nor the ALS topical re documents compliance with ISG-04 T platform. PG&E will submit a matrix t ISG-04 Table 5-4 for the DCPP ALS p	rovided to justify compliance with and ISG-04 in either the LAR or the review, it was identified that eport contain a matrix that Table 5-4 for the DCPP ALS hat documents compliance with				
10	RS	<u>Plant Variable PPS Scope -</u> In the Descri 4.1.3, nine plant variables are defined as 4.1.4 lists seven plant variables that are r additional plant variables were also listed Some variables are not listed in section 4 plant parameters. It is therefore assume provided as direct inputs to the SSPS and the completion of required reactor trip or them. Please confirm that these plant pa functions will continue to operate indeper replacement PPS will not adversely impa perform these functions.	being required for RTS and section required for the ESFAS. Three I in section 4.10.3.4. A.10.3.4 as being PPS monitored d that these parameters are d that the PPS is not relied upon for safety functions associated with arameters and associated safety indently from the PPS and that the	Closed	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		
		P&GE response:					
		The PPS Replacement LAR Sections 4.1	.3 and 4.1.4 describe the plant				

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table)			Page 16 of 29
Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		variables from which RTS and ESF	AS protective functions are generated.				
		The initiation signal outputs to the	SSPS coincidence logic are generated in				
			tems, or in some cases, by discrete				Neutron Flux is an
		devices. Section 4.1.3 items 6 (RC	P bus UF, UV, and breaker position, 8				input to Tricon but it
			id stop valve position) and 9 (seismic				is not listed in
			crete devices outside the PPS and provide				Table 4-2 "Process
			Section 1.4 items 6 (Containment Exhaust				Variable inputs to
			ion Permissive P-4) are also generated				Tricon"
			tact inputs to the SSPS. The initiation				
		•	parameters operate independently from				Signals not
		the PPS. The replacement PPS with	•				associated with
		performance of the safety functions	s associated with these plant parameters.				PPS functions will
		The three signals (Mide Bango BC	S Temperature and Pressure and Turbine				be designated as such in the SE and
		Impulse Chamber Pressure) not lis	-				they will not be
			4.10.3.4. The Wide Range RCS Pressure				described since
			to generate the LTOP function described				they are not in
		in DCPP FSAR Section 5. The PP	•				scope.
		Pressure to generate an initiation s	•				
		-	ssive P-13 as discussed in RAI 3, below.				
		Neutron Flux should be added to S	ection 4.2 Table 4-2 as follows:				
			Input to Overtemperature Δ				
		Neutron Flux (Power	Temperature (OTDT) RT				
		Range, Upper & Lower)	Input to Overpower ∆ Temperature (OPDT) RT				
11	RS		on 4.1.7 describes the Existing Power	Closed	RAI		
			nd it states that the Power Range nuclear	*	Require		Only PPS
			e OTDT, and OPDT protection channels. of the described NIS protection functions		d (RAI #		Functions will be
			m. Please clarify exactly what the role of	*RAI	X)		described in the

April	2 , 12	DO	CPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	•			Page 17 of 29
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		the PPS system is for these NIS Protect	ion functions.	still needs			SE.
		P&GE response: Power range analog inputs are provided Set for use in the calculation of the Over Delta-T Setpoint in the Delta-T/Tavg cha interface with the PPS. The NIS Protect permissives) are generated independent bistable comparators. The NIS bistable and have no physical interface with the R	temperature Delta-T and Overpower innels. No other NIS signals ion functions (RT and power range tly by Nuclear Instrumentation outputs are sent directly to the SSPS	to be sent.			
12	RS	Permissive Functions - Several Permiss LAR. It is not clear to the staff whether a performed by the PPS or if the PPS will systems that in turn perform the permiss Section 4.1.9 states that "Settings of the develop the permissives are not affected which implies that all of these permissive other than the PPS. However, it is still u permissive functions described through those permissives relating to Pressurized the permissive functions are being perfo continue to be performed by the replace "not affected" by the PPS replacement p Please provide additional information for clearly define what the role of the PPS sy P-4 Reactor Trip P-6 Intermediate Range Permissive P-7 Low Power Permissive (Bypasses P-8 Loss of Flow Permissive P-9 Power Permissive P-10 Power Range Power Low Permiss P-11 Low Pressurizer Pressure SI Oper P-12 No-Load Low-Low Tave Temperatu	any of these functions are to be only be providing input to external sive logic described in the LAR. bistable comparators used to d by the PPS Replacement Project", e functions are performed by systems inclear if this statement applies to all but the LAR or if it applies only to r Pressure. It is also possible that rmed by the existing PPS and will ment system and therefore remain roject. the following permissive functions to ystem will be for each.	Close	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		

April 2, 12 No Src/RI			DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Tal	ble		Page 18 of		
Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments	
		P-13 Turbine Low Power Permiss P-14 Hi-Hi Steam Generator Leve						
		* The LAR states that "These signa						
		P&GE response:						
		continue to be performed by the re affected" by the PPS replacement	Is generated within the existing PPS will placement PPS and therefore remain "no project. Permissive function initiation idently of the existing PPS will continue to					
		 comparator outputs from th no interface with the PPS. Permissive P-4 initiation sig coincidence logic generated Breakers (RTB). There is r Permissive P-11, P-12, P-1 generated by bistable comp sent to the SSPS. Permissive P-7 is generated NI channels (from NIS - P-1 	nd P-10 initiation signals are bistable e independent NIS to the SSPS. There is gnals are direct contact inputs to the SSP3 d from contacts in the Reactor Trip no interface with the PPS. 3, and P-14 initiation signals are barator outputs generated in the PPS and d in the SSPS from 3 out of 4 power rang 10) below setpoint and 2/2 turbine impulse s below setpoint (From PPS – P13).	e			The response states that P14 is generated in the NIS independently from PPS and it states that P14 is generated by the PPS. Which is it? The coincidence of P7 is not performed as a function of	
		The bistable initiation signals desc	ribed above are monitored by the SSPS. ve when appropriate coincidence of SPS permissive or safety function				PPS. The NRC understands that all permissives are developed within	
			10, and P-13 are functionally described in -4, P-11, P-12, and P-14 are functionally				the SSPS system. Permissives P11 – P14 use inputs	

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		The bistable comparator setpoints expected to change at this time.	for the above-listed permissives are no	ot			provided by PPS system. All other permissives use inputs generated by external systems that are independent of the PPS.
13	RS	D12 Dorminative Contradiction	ne second paragraph of section 4.1.20	Closed	RAI		See 13 below.
13	KO	describes the P-12 interlock and s	section paragraph of section 4.1.20 states that "These signals are developed contradicted in the third paragraph by th	1 in	Require d (RAI # X)		
		"These valves are not safety-relat from the SSPS."	ed, but are interlocked with the P-12 sig	Inal			The NRC
		In conjunction with the response t contradiction in section 4.1.20 of t	o RAI3, please provide a resolution for t he LAR.	his			understands that the P12 signal is
		P&GE response:					generated by the SSPS using signals
		are developed" is referring to th monitored by the SSPS. The PPS itself. The actual P-12 Permissive	ed Section 4.1.20 sentence, "These sign e bistable comparator outputs which are 6 does not generate the P-12 Permissive e is generated by the SSPS when on signals is detected. The SSPS output	e			developed in the PPS.
		The LAR Section 4.1.20 is clarifie "The P-12 Permissive is develo	ed in the third paragraph of Section 4.1. d by the following statement: ped in the SSPS based on coincidence but initiation signals from the PPS				
		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-11 unblock SI from ALS, P13 Turbine d P-14 Steam Generator Level high-high	h			

April							Page 20 of 29
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status		RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		initiating signals (bistable outputs) OI #12. Permissive development coincidence is shown in FSARU 1) from the PPS as noted in the response to , including initiating signals and logic Fables 7.2-2 (RTS) and 7.3-3 (ESFAS). dent logic functions and does not "generate"				
14	RS	"Information concerning the PPS status lamps and annunciators by demultiplexer and to the PPS by v Why would the PPS status need t sentence suggests in the last phra PG&E response:	way of the SSPS computer demultiplexer."	New			
		status lamps and annunciators by demultiplexer and to the <i>Plant Pro</i> computer demultiplexer."	status is transmitted to the control board way of the SSPS control board ocess <i>Computer (PPC)</i> by way of the SSPS agraph, "PPS Status" means "PPS Channel				

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table				Page 21 of 29		
Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	(Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
15	(BK)	with, or referenced in, the LAR for compliance section 4.8 of the LAR nearly all the points of ISG-04. Fi 1E and non-1E communication pa Maintenance Work Station, plant of and 4-20 ma temperature signal to application specific features of the document to be submitted, similar "PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO PROCESS PROTECTION SYSTE POWER PLANT DI&C-ISG-04 CO 993754-1-912 Revision 0, to be su the ALS portion of the PPS applic 04. PG&E response:	the DCPP PPS system was not submitted the W/ALS platform. Instead the ISG-04 R refers the reader to the ALS LTR for g. 4.4 and 4.5 of the LAR indicate various thways to and from ALS processor (e.g., computer, process control, port aggregator, o Tricon processor). These are all PPS and the staff expects a W/CSI ALS in scope and detail to the Invensys MPANY NUCLEAR SAFETY-RELATED EM REPLACEMENT DIABLO CANYON DNFORMANCE REPORT" Document No. ubmitted on the docket, which explains how ation conforms with the guidance of ISG- P PPS specific ISG-4 Compliance Table by bmit the Table by May 31, 2012.	Open	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		No further discussion necessary until May 31, 2012.		

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	•			Page 22 of 29		
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
16	(BK)	PPS Validation Test Plan (VTM media converter, NetOptics Net the MWS will not be within the (ND) group will coordinate with to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. T operation of network communi		Open	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		Please indicate when this action is scheduled for completion.		
17	(ВК)	1-813 Diablo Canyon Triconex the ALS equipment will not be	· · ·	Open	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		Please indicate when this action is scheduled for completion.		

April	2, 12	12 DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table				Page 23 of 29	
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
18	(ВК)	"Verification, Validation, Review Used in Safety Systems of Nucl endorses IEEE (Institute of Elec "IEEE Standard for Software Ver 1997, "IEEE Standard for Software stated in the Regulatory Positio acceptable to the NRC staff for for promoting high functional rel safety systems. Standard Review identify Regulatory Guide 1.168 systems (RTS) and for enginee (ESFAS) The Invensys PPS Replacemer (SVVP), 993754-1-802 does no Invensys SVVP complies with II reference table that explains ho of IEEE 1012-1998. Also, the Westinghouse/ALS 61 Plan, does not provide a clear e with IEEE 1012-1998. Please p	egulatory Guide (RG) 1.168, Revision 1, is and Audits for Digital Computer Software ear Power Plants," dated February 2004 strical and Electronics Engineers) 1012-1998, erification and Validation," and IEEE 1028- are Reviews and Audits," with the exceptions in of RG 1.168. RG 1.168 describes a method complying with parts of the NRC's regulations iability and design quality in software used in w Plan (SRP) Table 7-1 and Appendix 7.1-A as SRP acceptance criteria for reactor trip red safety features actuation systems at Software Verification and Validation Plan t provide a clear explanation of how the EEE 1012-1998. Please provide a cross w the Invensys SVVP implements the criteria 16-00000 Diablo Canyon PPS Management explanation of how the CSI SVVP complies provide a cross reference table that explains its the criteria of IEEE 1012-1998.	5	RAI Require d (RAI # X)		When will Invensys provide this information??

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table	•		_	Page 24 of 29		
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
		•	EEE-1012 compliance map by May 4, 2012 to e matrix to the staff by May 31, 2012.						
19	RS	mitigate Abnormal Operational described in FSAR [26] Chapt however, Chapter 15 of the DCPP FSAR	does not use the terms Abnormal Operational asis Accident (DBE). Instead, the accident conditions as follows; RATION AND ODERATE FREQUENCY	Open	RAI will eventual ly be required (RAI # X)		3/21/12 update: PG&E has created a share point website for NRC to review PPS design drawings that will address this issue as well as OI 20 and 21. NRC staff will determine if they are needed to be submitted on the docket. PG&E will ensure the website is information is only applicable to this licensing action.		

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Ta	PPS Open Item Summary Table			
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		appears to be inaccurate. Plea Conditions described in FSAR	DO's and DBE's are described in the FSAR ase explain the correlation between the chapter 15 and the Abnormal Operational is Events described in the LAR.				
		"Operational Transients" in FS. Chapter 15.1. The design bas II "faults of moderate frequency	are referred to as ANS Condition I AR Chapter 15 and are addressed in FSAR is accidents are referred to as ANS Condition y," ANS Condition III "infrequent faults," and ts" and are addressed in FSAR Chapter 15.2				

April	2, 12	DC	PP PPS Open Item Summary Table	Page 26 of 29			
Νο	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
20	RS	The system description provided in Section performed by other protective systems at functions". In many cases, there is no ex- performing the functions described nor is described functions are being performed As an example, Section 4.1.16 describes of the high-high containment pressure ch- 279 and IEEE 603. The description of the whether this latch feature is being implenen- the SSPS. The staff needs to have a clear understant PPS system being modified in order to me determinations. Please provide additional diagrams to help the staff distinguish PPS by other external systems. PG&E Response: PPS design drawings the Sharepoint site.	DCPP in addition to the PPS planation of what system is there a clarification of whether the by the PPS system. • a bypass function to support testing annel to meet requirements of IEEE is function does not however, state hented within the PPS system or in hding of the functional scope of the ake its regulatory compliance al information such as PPS function S functions from functions performed	Open	RAI will eventual ly be required (RAI # X)		3/21/12 update: PG&E has created a share point website for NRC to review PPS design drawings that will address this issue. NRC staff will determine if they are needed to be submitted on the docket. PG&E will ensure the website is information is only applicable to this licensing action.
21	RA	Westinghouse/CSI document 6116-0000 Plan," states that the ALS-102 FPGA des System. Further, Section 5.3.3 states: "To requirements as possible."	ign is changed for the DCPPS	Open	RAI will eventual ly be required (RAI #		3/21/12 update: PG&E has created a share point website for NRC to review PPS
		Please identify what document describes	the design verification test for this		X)		design drawings

2, 12		Page 27 of 29				
Src/RI	Src/RI Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
	board.					that will address this issue. NRC staff will determine if they are needed to be
	PG&E response: PPS design the Sharepoint site.	drawings have been provided to the staff on				submitted on the docket. PG&E will ensure the website is information is only applicable to this licensing action.
ВК	Section 1.4.4 (pg. 12/38) state converter, NetOptics Network & MWS will not be within the test (ND) group will coordinate with to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. T operation of network communi testing addressed in this VTP.' used to test the network equip Also, section 5.1.4 (3) Hardwa equipment will not be included what procedures will be used t Tricon V10 and ALS platforms	s "The network equipment, including media Aggregator Tap, and gateway hub, and the scope of this VTP. The Nuclear Delivery Pacific Gas & Electric for system staging prior The Nuclear IV&V group will confirm proper cations system interfaces before beginning When, where, and what procedures will be ment?? The Validation Tests states that the ALS in the FAT (pg. 27/38). Where, when, and o fully test the Integrated PPS system (both together) be subjected to FAT.	Open	RAI will be required (RAI # X)		
	Src/RI	Src/RIIssue Descriptionboard.PG&E response: PPS design the Sharepoint site.BKFollow-on OI # 5 question perts Section 1.4.4 (pg. 12/38) state converter, NetOptics Network / MWS will not be within the test (ND) group will coordinate with to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. T operation of network communi testing addressed in this VTP.' used to test the network equip Also, section 5.1.4 (3) Hardwai equipment will not be included what procedures will be used t Tricon V10 and ALS platforms	Src/RI Issue Description P&GE response: board. board. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. BK Follow-on OI # 5 question pertaining to the PPS VTP: Section 1.4.4 (pg. 12/38) states "The network equipment, including media converter, NetOptics Network Aggregator Tap, and gateway hub, and the MWS will not be within the test scope of this VTP. The Nuclear Delivery (ND) group will coordinate with Pacific Gas & Electric for system staging prior to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. The Nuclear IV&V group will confirm proper operation of network communications system interfaces before beginning testing addressed in this VTP." When, where, and what procedures will be used to test the network equipment?? Also, section 5.1.4 (3) Hardware Validation Tests states that the ALS equipment will not be used to fully test the Integrated PPS system (both Tricon V10 and ALS platforms together) be subjected to FAT.	Src/RI Issue Description P&GE response: Status board. board. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. Open BK Follow-on OI # 5 question pertaining to the PPS VTP: Section 1.4.4 (pg. 12/38) states "The network equipment, including media converter, NetOptics Network Aggregator Tap, and gateway hub, and the MWS will not be within the test scope of this VTP. The Nuclear Delivery (ND) group will coordinate with Pacific Gas & Electric for system staging prior to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. The Nuclear IV&V group will confirm proper operation of network communications system interfaces before beginning testing addressed in this VTP." When, where, and what procedures will be used to test the network equipment?? Also, section 5.1.4 (3) Hardware Validation Tests states that the ALS equipment will not be included in the FAT (pg. 27/38). Where, when, and what procedures will be used to fully test the Integrated PPS system (both	Src/RI Issue Description P&GE response: Status RAI No. (Date Sent) board. board. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided in the PPS VTP: NOD group will coordinate with Pacific Gas & Electric for system staging prior to turn over to Nuclear IV&V. The Nuclear IV&V group will confirm proper operation of network communications system interfaces before beginning testing addressed in this VTP." W	Src/RI Issue Description P&GE response: Status RAI No. (Date Sent) RAI Response (Due Date) board. board. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: PPS design drawings have been provided to the staff on the Sharepoint site. PG&E response: 12,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0

April 2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summary Table				Page 28 of 29		
No Src/	/RI Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments		
23 BI	 TCM installed in the Tricon Main utilize two TCM cards in each maprovide two non-safety-related cores PPC Gateway Computer from eacommunications if a single TCM. The NetOptics Model PA-CU/PAL was approved previously by NRC SER Section 3.1.1.4.3 [18]. The electrical isolation provided by use provided by the Port Tap and the the Oconee RPS, there was reas within the Oconee Gateway compadversely affect the ability of the functions. During the SAT PG&E will test the illustrated in Figure 4-13 to verify path associated with port aggreg verify that communications from MVVS on Port B of the port aggree Results of this test will be docum Validation Report. Port aggregat positions will be controlled by DC. In order for the Staff to approve t to shipment of the PPS equipmen will require testing on or before F testing is typically completed during the FAT. Otherwise, if this 	D-CU ¹ PA-CU port aggregator network tap c for a similar application in the Oconee RPS NRC staff determined that due to the se of fiber optic cables and the data isolation Maintenance and Service Interface (MSI) in onable assurance that a fault or failure puter or the Operator Aid Computer will not Oconee RPS to accomplish its safety e Protection Set communications paths that there is no inbound communications ator network tap Port 1. That is, PG&E will Port 1 to either the TCM on Port A or the gator network tap are not permitted. ented in final System Verification and or dual in-line package (DIP) switch CPP configuration management processes." he integrated configuration of the PPS, prior at to DCPP site, all communications paths AT, and before completion of the SER. This ng or before the PPS FAT, otherwise, the fter the SAT. Please provide a test all regulatory requirements prior to or testing will be completed during the SAT, vide a detailed schedule for this testing so	Open	RAI will be required (RAI # X)				

¹ The NetOptics Model PAD-CU has two one-way output ports but is otherwise identical in function to the PA-CU.

April	2, 12		DCPP PPS Open Item Summa	ry Table			Page 29 of 29
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		PG&E response: IN PROGRESS	5				

- 2 -

Please direct any inquiries to me at 301-415-1132 or at Joseph.Sebrosky@nrc.gov.

/RA/

Joseph M. Sebrosky, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch IV Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosures:

- 1. List of Attendees
- 2. Staff identified issues

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION: PUBLIC LPLIV Reading RidsAcrsAcnw MailCTR Resource RidsNrrDeEicb Resource **RidsNrrDorl Resource** RidsNrrDorlLpl4 Resource **RidsNrrLAJBurkhardt Resource** RidsNrrPMDiabloCanyon Resource RidsOgcRp Resource RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource WMaier, RIV TWertz, NRR WKemper, NRR/DE/EICB RStattel, NRR/DE/EICB SMakor, RIV/DRS/EB2 LChang, EDO RIV

ADAMS Accession Nos. Meeting Notice ML120720083; Meeting Summary ML121020065 *per email

OFFICE	DORL/LPL4/PM	DORL/LPL4/LA	NRR/DE/EICB	DORL/LPL4/BC	DORL/LPL4/PM
NAME	JSebrosky	JBurkhardt	WKemper*	MMarkley	JSebrosky
DATE	4/11/12	4/11/12	4/11/12	4/16/12	4/16/12

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY