

GSI-191 Resolution Paths

September 21, 2011

FRN Response

- FRN Response Included 3 Attachments
 - Attachment 1 – Regulatory Framework
 - A summary of the three regulatory frameworks that can be utilized to implement the identified comments and proposals identified in Attachment 2
 - Traditional 50.46
 - NEI 04-07 Section 6 (Transition Break Size)
 - Regulatory Guide 1.174 Resolution Framework
 - Attachment 2 – Recommendations for Incorporating Risk Insights into GSI-191 Resolution
 - Focus of today's meeting
 - Attachment 3 – GSI-191 Operability Evaluations
 - Topic for discussion at a future meeting



FRN Response

■ Attachment 1 – Traditional 50.46

- From GSI-191 Perspective
 - Approach that has been generally used to date
 - Highly mechanistic
- Potential Improvements Available
 - Consider intent of 50.46

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.46, an evaluation model must include sufficient supporting justification to show that the analytical technique realistically describes the behavior of the reactor system during a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). Uncertainties need only be accounted for, so that, when the calculated ECCS cooling performance is compared to the acceptance criteria, there is a high level of probability that the criteria would not be exceeded.



FRN Response

■ Attachment 1 – NEI 04-07 (Alternate Evaluation Model)

– Region I Breaks

- Guillotine break of the largest line connected to RCS or break in RCS equivalent in area to DEGB of a 14-inch Schedule 160 pipe
- Uses conservative analysis methodologies

– Region II Breaks

- Break size up to and including a DEGB of the largest RCS pipe
- Region II analysis performed using more realistic analysis methods and assumptions
 - Lack of clear definition of “realistic” which prevented many licensees from adopting this approach



FRN Response

- **Attachment 1 – RG 1.174 Resolution Framework**
 - **South Texas Project Approach**
 - **Risk-Informed Change to Plant's Licensing Basis**
 - **Provides for Consideration of Defense-In-Depth**
 - **Subject of Separate Meetings with NRC**
 - There is substantial interest by other licensees
 - Topic of discussion in today's meeting as time permits

FRN Response

- Attachment 2 to the FRN Response included multiple suggestions on methods to provide risk insight for the resolution of GSI-191
- The suggestions were identified and associated with an applicable attribute for resolution of GSI-191
 - Break Selection
 - Break Characteristics
 - Break Configuration
 - Debris Generation
 - Debris Transport
 - Strainer Debris Accumulation and Head Loss
 - Chemical Effects
 - In-Vessel Effects
 - Strainer Bypass
 - Water Management



■ Selected items are the focus of today's meeting

Break Location and Break Opening Size For Debris Generation

- **Breaks in piping attached to RCS would be determined per requirements of BTP 3-4**
 - Terminal ends and locations of high stress as defined by BTP 3-4
 - Meets requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i)
 - Supports an evaluation model that includes sufficient supporting justification
 - Analytical technique that realistically describes the behavior of the RCS
 - Provides high level of probability that criteria set forth in paragraph (b) of 10 CFR 50.46 would not be exceeded

Break Location and Break Opening Size For Debris Generation

- Breaks in Qualified Piping Conservatively Sized per Fracture Mechanics Methods**
 - Size exceeds the size established for leakage detection systems**
 - Calculated area of break for leakage detection (10 gpm leak) ranges from 0.030 in² to 0.083 in² (NSSS vendor specific)**
 - Assumed area of break for debris generation ranges from 40 in² to 83 in² (3 orders of magnitude increase)**
 - Meets requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i)**
 - Supports an evaluation model that includes sufficient supporting justification**
 - Analytical technique that realistically describes the behavior of the RCS**
 - Provides high level of probability that criteria set forth in paragraph (b) of 10 CFR 50.46 would not be exceeded**

Break Characteristics for Debris Generation

- **Breaks in Qualified Piping Do Not Occur Instantaneously**
 - Would limit the quantity of debris generated to assumed initial opening of qualified piping (fracture mechanics approach)
 - Subsequent further opening of break assumed to result in minimal additional debris generation
- **10 CFR 50.46 does not require the assumption of an instantaneous break**
 - 10 CFR 50 Appendix K requires assumption of an instantaneous break
- **Technical basis that supports GDC-4 exemption remains valid**

Debris Generation

- **Treat All Breaks that Generate Debris as a Beyond Design Basis Event**
 - Discussed in Commission Voting Records for SRM-SECY-10-0113
 - Potential that latent debris and some of the materials that would fail in the post-LOCA environment would have to be considered to be within design basis
 - Would use mitigative strategies developed for response to IEB 2003-01 and possibly those developed for B.5.b
 - Strainer backwash, flow reductions, alternate water supplies
 - Use of more realistic methodologies for performance of analysis and testing

Debris Generation

- **Increased Credit for Improved Insulation Jacketing and Banding**
 - Double jacketing with seams offset approximately 180° has been shown through testing to prevent generation of debris from underlying insulation
 - Sure-Hold™ Bands have been shown to provide improved resistance to failure for single jacketed materials
 - Increased banding (decreased space between bands) has been shown to provide increased resistance to failure

Debris Generation

- **Use of More Realistic Debris Size Distribution for Fibrous Debris**
 - **Significant confusion exists as to the definition of small fines as referred to in NEI 04-07**
 - **Assumption that 60% small fines means individual fibers is extremely conservative**
 - A PWR jet will wet the fibrous debris generated resulting in a greater agglomeration of fibers
 - **OPG test data determined that 48% was either collected as pieces of debris less than 1 in. or passed through the cage and was not collected NUREG/CR-6762**
 - Not an indicator that this value was all individual fines
- **Generation of shards is expected to be negligible**



Debris Transport

- **Realistic Allowance for Debris Transport to Inactive Volumes**
 - Current **15%** limit not realistic for some plant geometries
- **More Realistic Models for Break Flow and Other Flows Entering the Pool**
 - Depending on break orientation, water entering the pool could be in the form of sheets or significantly smaller streams
 - For drainage, breakup could occur from grating or contact with other physical features
 - This would reduce the momentum generated turbulence in the pool allowing greater settling
- **Increased credit for debris interceptors/debris traps**

Debris Transport

- **Increased Allowance for Non-Transport in Quiescent Regions of the Pool**
 - Reduces the total of all debris types that could transport to the strainer
- **Credit for Expected Reductions in Strainer Flow Through Course of the Event**
 - As the event proceeds, flow requirements are substantially reduced
 - Once relatively stable RCS conditions are established, flows will be reduced and pumps stopped through existing procedure network

Debris Composition / Strainer Head Loss

- **Realistic Condition is that Homogeneous Debris Mixture will Exist in the Containment Sump Pool**
 - All testing (strainer, bypass, fuel) should be performed with homogeneous mixture
 - Credit for RMI in the debris mix should also be allowed
- **Maximum Strainer Debris Load should not be Assumed to Exist at Initiation of Recirculation**
 - There is a time dependency associated with arrival of debris at the strainer
 - This would result in development of the maximum strainer head loss significantly later in the event when NPSH margin is greater

Chemical Effects Considerations

- **Maintaining pH Greater Than or Equal to 7 may not be necessary to Ensure Radioactive Iodine Capture**
 - Testing by French suggests that this may be a viable option
 - Would significantly reduce Al corrosion resulting in substantially less chemical precipitate
 - For TSP plants, would also result in less precipitate
 - Concern with stress corrosion cracking of austenitic stainless steel is a restart consideration, not a design basis requirement
 - This will support use of water management

Chemical Effects Considerations

- **Control of Sump Pool Temperature Through Use of Non-Safety Related (NSR) Equipment and Non-RG 1.97 Instrumentation**
 - Shutdown cooling systems utilize both safety related (SR) and NSR components
 - Components in systems have demonstrated high reliability through significant number of reactor years of operation for normal plant cooldowns and heatups
 - Would allow for maintaining sump pool temperature above precipitation limits for chemical species
- **Obtain Concurrence for an Integrated Chemical Effects Testing Protocol**
 - Integrated chemical effects testing performed to date has identified formation of precipitates that are different than the extent and type of approved surrogates
- **Establish more realistic precipitate formation temperature**



Strainer Bypass

- **Realistic Protocol for Performing Strainer Bypass Testing Needs To Be Established**
 - Open dialogue on strainer bypass testing
 - Testing should:
 - Use realistic debris preparation
 - Be performed with a homogeneous debris mixture
 - Consider the time dependent changes in strainer flow rate
 - Consider debris availability at the strainer as the result of erosion
 - This would provide the necessary input for assessing the potential for fuel blockage

Water Management

- Benefits of Water Management Approach Include:
 - Increased time from initiation of event to switchover to recirculation
 - Allows greater time for settling of debris in the pool
 - Extends the time for reduction in core decay heat load
 - Reduced flow rate through strainer following switchover
 - Increased settling of debris in the containment sump pool
 - Reduction in strainer head loss
 - Reduced transport of debris through the strainer potentially impacting core cooling
 - For more probable small LOCAs, greater time available to establish normal decay heat pathways

Water Management

- Benefits of Water Management Approach Include: (cont'd)
 - Extends the time available for taking other mitigative actions
 - Alignment of alternate injection pathway water sources
 - Refill of RWST
 - Other actions established from response to IEB 2003-01
- Water Management Efforts to Date
 - One large dry containment and one ice condenser containment have implemented water management
 - The large dry containment eliminated containment spray actuation for LOCA events
 - The ice condenser containment eliminated automatic containment spray actuation, but does start one containment spray pump after switchover to recirculation based on containment pressure

Water Management

- Why More Plants Did Not Adopt Water Management
 - The time and expense associated with adopting this approach
 - There was a substantial push to close GSI-191
 - Due to the extremely conservative mechanistic methodologies that were required for addressing GSI-191, little benefit was seen
 - For many plants, due to the required core damage following a LOCA, whether an AST plant or not, containment spray is always required to reduce the source term
 - Assumed core damage and the time for release is not expected
 - Instrumentation is available to alert plant operators if unexpected core damage does occur allowing for start of containment spray

Water Management

- **Regulatory Implications**
 - **10 CFR 50.67 does not specify the degree of core damage or the time at which it is assumed to occur**
 - Only that total dose and dose rate limits be met
 - **TID-14844 originally used for siting evaluations for reactor sites**
 - Subsequently used for other design basis applications such as EQ (10 CFR 50.49) and some requirements related to TMI
 - **RG 1.183 specifies the quantity and timing of release of radioactive materials into containment from a hypothesized accident**
- **Use of large and early release from the core requiring containment spray is counter to maintaining injection flow to the core for a longer period of time which provides greater core cooling and reduces the probability for substantial core damage occurring**

Summary

- **Several proposals to inform the resolution path for GSI-191 have been provided**
- **There are actions that need to be taken by both the Industry and NRC to enable use of the proposals presented today**
- **Future interactions are necessary to establish the criteria by which these proposals can be implemented**
- **The response to the FRN provided additional items that were not discussed today but could be discussed in a future meeting**