



Tennessee Valley Authority
1101 Market Street, LP 3R
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

R. M. Krich
Vice President
Nuclear Licensing

April 27, 2011

10 CFR 50.4

ATTN: Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-77 and DPR-79
NRC Docket Nos. 50-327 and 50-328

Subject: **Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report - 2010**

Enclosed is the subject report for the period of January 1 to December 31, 2010. This report is being submitted as required by the respective Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN), Units 1 and 2, Technical Specification 6.9.1.6 and SQN's Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Administrative Control Section 5.1, each of which specifies that the report be submitted prior to May 1st of each year.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Geoff M. Cook at (423) 843-7170.

Respectfully,

R. M. Krich

Enclosure: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report,
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, 2010

cc (Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator – Region II
NRC Resident Inspector – Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

IE25
MRR

Enclosure

**Sequoyah Nuclear Plant
Units 1 and 2**

**Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant
2010**

**Annual
Radiological
Environmental
Operating Report**

**Sequoyah
Nuclear Plant
2010**



ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT
SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
2010

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

April 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Table of Contents | ii |
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| Introduction | 2 |
| Naturally Occurring and Background Radioactivity | 2 |
| Electric Power Production | 4 |
| Site/Plant Description | 6 |
| Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program | 7 |
| Direct Radiation Monitoring | 10 |
| Measurement Techniques | 10 |
| Results | 11 |
| Atmospheric Monitoring | 13 |
| Sample Collection and Analysis | 13 |
| Results | 14 |
| Terrestrial Monitoring | 15 |
| Sample Collection and Analysis | 15 |
| Results | 16 |
| Liquid Pathway Monitoring | 18 |
| Sample Collection and Analysis | 18 |
| Results | 19 |
| Assessment and Evaluation | 21 |
| Results | 21 |
| Conclusions | 22 |
| References | 23 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Appendix A Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program and Sampling Locations. | 27 |
| Appendix B Program Modifications. | 38 |
| Appendix C Program Deviations | 40 |
| Appendix D Analytical Procedures | 43 |
| Appendix E Nominal Lower Limits of Detection (LLD). | 46 |
| Appendix F Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program | 51 |
| Appendix G Land Use Survey | 56 |
| Appendix H Data Tables and Figures. | 62 |

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Comparison of Program Lower Limits of Detection with Regulatory
Limits for Maximum Annual Average Effluent Concentrations
Released to Unrestricted Areas and Reporting Levels. 24

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Figure 1 | Tennessee Valley Region. | 25 |
| Figure 2 | Environmental Exposure Pathways of Man Due to Releases of Radioactive Materials to the Atmosphere and Lake. | 26 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the radiological environmental monitoring program (REMP) conducted by TVA in the vicinity of the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) in 2010. The program includes the collection of samples from the environment and the determination of the concentrations of radioactive materials in the samples. Samples were collected from locations in the general area of the plant and from areas that would not be influenced by plant operations. Monitoring includes the sampling of air, water, milk, foods, soil, fish, shoreline sediment and the measurement of direct radiation levels. Results from stations near the plant are compared with data from control stations and with preoperational measurements to determine potential impacts of site operations.

The vast majority of radioactivity measured in environmental samples from the SQN program resulted from naturally occurring radioactive materials. Trace quantities of cesium-137 (Cs-137) were measured in soil and fish. Strontium-90 (Sr-90) at very low levels was detected in milk samples. The concentrations were typical of the levels expected to be present in the environment from past nuclear weapons testing or operation of other nuclear facilities in the region. Consistent with the results from previous years, tritium at concentrations slightly above the analytical detection limit was detected in a limited number of water samples collected from Chickamauga Reservoir. These levels would not represent a significant contribution to the radiation exposure to Members of the Public.

INTRODUCTION

This report describes and summarizes the results of radioactivity measurements taken in the vicinity of SQN and laboratory analyses of samples collected in the area. The measurements are taken to comply with the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, Criterion 64 and 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, Sections IV.B.2, IV.B.3 and IV.C, and to determine potential effects on public health and safety. This report satisfies the annual reporting requirements of SQN Plant Technical Specification (TS) 6.9.1.6 and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) Administrative Control 5.1. The data presented in this report include results from the prescribed program and other information to help correlate the significance of results measured by this monitoring program to the levels of environmental radiation resulting from naturally occurring radioactive materials.

Naturally Occurring and Background Radioactivity

Many materials in our world contain trace amounts of naturally occurring radioactivity. For example, approximately 0.01 percent of all potassium is radioactive potassium-40 (K-40) which has a half-life of 1.3 billion years. An individual weighing 150 pounds contains about 140 grams of potassium (Reference 1). This is equivalent to approximately 100,000 picoCuries (pCi) of K-40 which delivers a dose of 15 to 20 mrem per year to the bone and soft tissue of the body. Other examples of naturally occurring radioactive materials are beryllium (Be)-7, bismuth (Bi)-212 and 214, lead (Pb)-212 and 214, thallium (Tl)-208, actinium (Ac)-228, uranium (U)-238 and 235, thorium (Th)-234, radium (Ra)-226, radon (Rn)-222, carbon (C)-14, and hydrogen (H)-3 (generally called tritium). These naturally occurring radioactive materials are in the soil, our food, our drinking water, and our bodies. The radiation from these materials makes up a part of the low level natural background radiation. The remainder of the natural background radiation is produced by cosmic rays. The relative hazard of different types of radiation sources can be compared by evaluating the amount of radiation the U.S. population receives from each type of radiation source as displayed in the following table. This table was adapted from References 2 and 3.

U.S. GENERAL POPULATION AVERAGE DOSE EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES

| Source | Millirem/Year Per Person |
|---|--------------------------|
| Natural background dose equivalent | |
| Cosmic | 27 |
| Cosmogenic | 1 |
| Terrestrial | 28 |
| In the body | 39 |
| Radon | 200 |
| Total | 295 |
| Release of radioactive material in natural gas, mining, ore processing, etc. | 5 |
| Medical (effective dose equivalent) | 53 |
| Nuclear weapons fallout | less than 1 |
| Nuclear energy | 0.28 |
| Consumer products | 0.03 |
| Total | 355 (approximately) |

As can be seen from the table, natural background radiation dose equivalent to the U.S. population normally exceeds that from nuclear plants by several hundred times. The 0.28 mrem attributable to nuclear plant operations results in a population radiation dose equivalent which is insignificant compared to that which results from natural background radiation.

Electric Power Production

Nuclear power plants are similar in many respects to conventional coal burning (or other fossil fuel) electric generating plants. The basic process behind electrical power production in both types of plants is that fuel is used to heat water to produce steam which provides the force to turn turbines and generators. In a nuclear power plant, the fuel is uranium and the heat is produced in the reactor through the fission of the uranium. Nuclear plants include many complex systems to control the nuclear fission process and to safeguard against the possibility of reactor malfunction. The nuclear reactions produce radionuclides commonly referred to as fission and activation products. Very small amounts of these fission and activation products are released into the plant systems. This radioactive material can be transported throughout plant systems and some of it released to the environment.

The pathways through which radioactivity is released are monitored. Liquid and gaseous effluent monitors record the radiation levels for each release. These monitors provide alarm mechanisms to prompt termination of release above limits.

Releases are monitored at the onsite points of release and through the environmental monitoring program which measures the environmental radiation in areas around the plant. In this way, not only is the release of radioactive materials from the plant tightly controlled, but measurements are made in surrounding areas to verify that the population is not being exposed to significant levels of radiation or radioactive materials.

The SQN ODCM, which is required by the plant Technical Specifications, prescribes limits for the release of radioactive effluents, as well as limits for doses to the general public from the release of these effluents.

The dose to a member of the general public from radioactive materials released to unrestricted areas, as given in Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) guidelines and the ODCM, is limited as follows:

Liquid Effluents

| | |
|------------|---------------------|
| Total body | ≤ 3 mrem/year |
| Any organ | ≤ 10 mrem/year |

Gaseous Effluents

Noble gases:

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Gamma radiation | ≤ 10 mrad/year |
| Beta radiation | ≤ 20 mrad/year |

Particulates:

| | |
|-----------|---------------------|
| Any organ | ≤ 15 mrem/year |
|-----------|---------------------|

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limits for the total dose to the public in the vicinity of a nuclear power plant, established in the Environmental Dose Standard of 40 CFR 190, are as follows:

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Total body | ≤ 25 mrem/year |
| Thyroid | ≤ 75 mrem/year |
| Any other organ | ≤ 25 mrem/year |

Appendix B to 10 CFR 20 presents annual average limits for the concentrations of radioactive materials released in gaseous and liquid effluents at the boundary of the unrestricted areas. Table 1 of this report compares the nominal lower limits of detection (LLD) for the SQN monitoring program with the regulatory limits for maximum annual average effluent concentrations released to unrestricted areas and levels requiring special reports to the NRC. It should be noted that the levels of radioactive materials measured in the environment are typically only slightly above the lower limit of detection. The data presented in this report indicate compliance with the regulations.

SITE/PLANT DESCRIPTION

Sequoyah is located on a site near the geographical center of Hamilton County, Tennessee, on a peninsula on the western shore of Chickamauga Lake at Tennessee River Mile (TRM) 484.5. Figure 1 shows the site in relation to other TVA projects. The SQN site, containing approximately 525 acres, is approximately 7.5 miles northeast of the nearest city limit of Chattanooga, Tennessee, 14 miles west-northwest of Cleveland, Tennessee, and approximately 31 miles south-southwest of TVA's Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) site.

Population is distributed unevenly within 10 miles of the SQN site. Approximately 60 percent of the population is in the general area between 5 and 10 miles from the plant in the sectors ranging from the south, clockwise, to the northwest sector. This concentration is a reflection of suburban Chattanooga and the town of Soddy-Daisy. This area is characterized by considerable vacant land with scattered residential subdivisions. Residential subdivision growth has continued within a 10-mile radius of the plant. There is also some small-scale farming and at least one dairy farm located within 5 miles of the plant.

Chickamauga Reservoir is one of a series of highly controlled multiple-use reservoirs located on the Tennessee River whose primary uses are flood control, navigation, and the generation of electric power. Secondary uses include industrial and public water supply and waste disposal, commercial fishing, and recreation. Public access areas, boat docks, and residential subdivisions have been developed along the reservoir shoreline.

SQN consists of two pressurized water reactors. Fuel was loaded in Unit 1 on March 1, 1980, and the unit achieved criticality on July 5, 1980. Fuel was loaded in Unit 2 in July 1981, and the unit achieved initial criticality on November 5, 1981.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Most of the radiation and radioactivity generated in a nuclear power reactor is contained within the reactor itself or one of the other plant systems. Plant effluent monitors are designed to detect the small amounts of radioactive material released to the environment. Environmental monitoring provides a final verification that the systems are performing as planned. The monitoring program is designed to monitor the pathways between the plant and the general public in the immediate vicinity. Sample types are chosen so that the potential for detection of radioactivity in the environment will be maximized. The radiological environmental monitoring program is outlined in Appendix A.

There are two primary pathways by which radioactivity can move through the environment to humans: air and water (see Figure 2). The air pathway can be separated into two components: the direct (airborne) pathway and the indirect (ground or terrestrial) pathway. The direct airborne pathway consists of direct radiation and inhalation by humans. In the terrestrial pathway, radioactive materials may be deposited on the ground or on plants and subsequently be ingested by animals and/or humans. Human exposure through the liquid pathway may result from drinking water, eating fish, or by direct exposure at the shoreline. The types of samples collected in this program are designed to monitor these pathways.

A number of factors were considered in determining the locations for collecting environmental samples. The locations for the atmospheric monitoring stations were determined from a critical pathway analysis based on weather patterns, dose projections, population distribution, and land use. Terrestrial sampling stations were selected after reviewing such factors as the locations of dairy animals and gardens in conjunction with the air pathway analysis. Liquid pathway stations were selected based on dose projections, water use information, and availability of media such as fish and sediment. Table A-2 (Appendix A, Table 2: This identification system is used for all tables and figures in the appendices.) lists the sampling stations and the types of samples collected. There were no modifications made to the SQN monitoring program in 2010. Appendix B, "Program Modifications," is included in this report as a place keeper. Deviations from the sampling and analysis schedule are presented in Appendix C.

To determine the amount of radioactivity in the environment prior to the operation of SQN, a preoperational radiological environmental monitoring program was initiated in 1971 and operated until the plant began operation in 1980. Measurements of the same types of radioactive materials that are measured currently were assessed during the preoperational phase to establish normal background levels for various radionuclides in the environment. The knowledge of pre-existing radionuclide patterns in the environment permits a determination, through comparison and trending analyses, of any impact on the environment due to the operation of SQN.

The determination of impact from the plant during the operating phase also utilizes the data from control stations that have been established in the monitoring program. Results of environmental samples taken at control stations (far from the plant) are compared with those from indicator stations (near the plant) to establish the extent of SQN influence.

Samples are analyzed by TVA's Environmental Radiological Monitoring and Instrumentation (ERM&I) group located at the Western Area Radiological Laboratory (WARL) in Muscle Shoals, Alabama, with the exception of the Sr-89, 90 analysis of soil samples which was performed by a contract laboratory. Analyses are conducted in accordance with written and approved procedures and are based on accepted methods. A summary of the analysis techniques and methodology is presented in Appendix D. Data tables summarizing the sample analysis results are presented in Appendix H.

The radiation detection devices and analysis methods used to determine the radionuclide content of samples collected in the environment are very sensitive to small amounts of radioactivity. The sensitivity of the measurements process is defined in terms of the lower limit of detection. A description of the nominal LLDs for the radioanalytical laboratory is presented in Appendix E.

The ERM&I laboratory employs a comprehensive quality assurance/quality control program to monitor laboratory performance throughout the year. The program is intended to detect any problems in the measurement process as soon as possible so they can be corrected. This program includes equipment checks, to ensure that the radiation detection instruments are working

properly, and the analysis of quality control samples. The laboratory participated in a blind cross check program administrated by a vendor. This program provided an independent interlaboratory comparison program. A complete description of the laboratory's quality assurance/quality control program is presented in Appendix F.

DIRECT RADIATION MONITORING

Direct radiation levels are measured at various monitoring points around the plant site. These measurements include contributions from cosmic radiation, radioactivity in the ground, fallout from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests conducted in the past, and any radioactivity that may be present as a result of plant operations. Because of the relatively large variations in background radiation as compared to the small levels from the plant, contributions from the plant may be difficult to distinguish.

Measurement Techniques

The Landauer InLight environmental dosimeter is used in the radiological environmental monitoring program for the measurement of direct radiation. This dosimeter contains four elements consisting of aluminum oxide detectors with varying plastic and copper filtrations to provide qualitative information about conditions during the exposure.

The dosimeters are placed approximately 1 meter above the ground, with two at each monitoring location. Sixteen monitoring points are located around the plant near the site boundary, one location in each of the 16 compass sectors. One monitoring point is also located in each of the 16 compass sectors at a distance of approximately four to five miles from the plant.

Dosimeters are also placed at additional monitoring locations out to approximately 32 miles from the site. The dosimeters are exchanged every 3 months. The dosimeters are sent to Landauer for processing and results reporting. The values are corrected for transit and shielded background exposure. An average of the two dosimeter results is calculated for each monitoring point. The system meets or exceeds the performance specifications outlined in American National Standards Institute (ANSI) N545-1975 and Health Physics Society (HPS) Draft Standard N13.29 for environmental applications of dosimeters.

Results

The results for environmental dosimeter measurements are normalized to a standard quarter (91.25 days or 2190 hours). The monitoring locations are grouped according to the distance from the plant. The first group consists of all monitoring points within 2 miles of the plant. The second group is made up of all locations greater than 2 miles from the plant. Past data have shown that the average results from the locations more than 2 miles from the plant are essentially the same. Therefore, for purposes of this report, monitoring points 2 miles or less from the plant are identified as “onsite” stations and locations greater than 2 miles are considered “offsite.”

The quarterly gamma radiation levels determined from the dosimeters deployed around SQN in 2010 are summarized in Table H-1. The exposures are measured in milliroentgens (mR). For purposes of this report, one milliroentgen, one millirem (mrem) and one millirad (mrad) are assumed to be numerically equivalent.

The rounded average annual exposures, as measured in 2010, are shown below. For comparison purposes, the average direct radiation measurements made in the preoperational phase of the monitoring program are also shown.

| | Annual SQN Average Direct Radiation Levels mR/Year | |
|------------------|--|----------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>1976-79</u> |
| Onsite Stations | 42 | 79 |
| Offsite Stations | 38 | 63 |

The data in Table H-1 indicate that the average quarterly direct radiation levels at the SQN onsite stations are approximately 1.0 mR/quarter higher than levels at the offsite stations. This difference is consistent with levels measured for the preoperation and construction phases of TVA nuclear power plant sites where the average levels onsite were slightly higher than levels offsite. Figure H-1 compares plots of the data from the

onsite stations with those from the offsite stations over the period from 1976 through 2010.

The results from the Landauer InLight Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dosimeters are lower across all locations when compared to the results previously obtained using the Panasonic UD-814 dosimeters. This difference is most likely due to the manner in how background badge data was applied for the in house processing of Panasonic dosimeters as compared to the method used by the vendor.

The data in Table H-2 contains the results of the individual monitoring stations. The results reported in 2010 are consistent with direct radiation levels identified at locations which are not influenced by the operation of SQN. There is no indication that SQN activities increased the background radiation levels normally observed in the areas surrounding the plant.

ATMOSPHERIC MONITORING

The atmospheric monitoring network is divided into three groups identified as local, perimeter, and remote. Four local air monitoring stations are located on or adjacent to the plant site in the general directions of greatest wind frequency. Four perimeter air monitoring stations are located in communities out to about 10 miles from the plant, and four air monitors are located between 10-20 miles. These four stations are used as control or baseline stations. The monitoring program and the locations of monitoring stations are identified in the tables and figures of Appendix A.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Air particulates are collected by continuous sampling of air at a flow rate of approximately 2 cubic feet per minute (cfm) through a 2-inch glass fiber filter. The sampling system consists of a pump, magnehelic gauge for measuring the drop in pressure across the system, and a dry gas meter to measure the volume of air sampled. This sampling system is housed in a metal building. The filter is contained in a sampling head mounted on the outside of the monitor building. The filter is replaced weekly. Each filter is analyzed for gross beta activity about 3 days after collection to allow time for the radon daughters to decay. Every 4 weeks composites of the filters from each location are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

The presence of gaseous radioiodine is monitored using a commercially available cartridge containing TEDA impregnated charcoal. This system is designed to collect iodine (I) in both the elemental form and as organic compounds. The cartridge is located in the same sampling head as the air particulate filter and is downstream of the particulate filter. The cartridge is changed at the same time as the particulate filter and samples the same volume of air. Each cartridge is analyzed for I-131 by gamma spectroscopy analysis.

Results

The results from the analysis of air particulate samples are summarized in Table H-3. Gross beta activity in 2010 was consistent with levels reported in previous years. The average gross beta activity for air filter samples was 0.022 pCi/m³. The annual average of the gross beta activity in air particulate filters at these stations for the years 1971-2010 are presented in Figure H-2.

Increased levels due to fallout from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing are evident, especially in 1971, 1977, 1978, and 1981. Evidence of a small increase resulting from the Chernobyl accident can also be seen in 1986. These patterns are consistent with data from monitoring programs conducted during the preoperation and construction phases at other TVA nuclear plant sites.

Only naturally occurring radionuclides were identified by the monthly gamma spectral analysis of the air particulate samples. No fission or activation products were detected. As shown in Table H-4, I-131 was not detected in any of the charcoal cartridge samples collected in 2010.

TERRESTRIAL MONITORING

Terrestrial monitoring is accomplished by collecting samples of environmental media that may transport radioactive material from the atmosphere to humans. For example, radioactive material may be deposited on a vegetable garden and be ingested along with the vegetables or it may be deposited on pasture grass where dairy cattle are grazing. When the cow ingests the radioactive material, some of it may be transferred to the milk and consumed by humans who drink the milk. Therefore, samples of milk, soil, and food crops are collected and analyzed to determine potential impacts from exposure through this pathway. The results from the analysis of these samples are shown in Tables H-5 through H-12.

A land use survey is conducted annually to locate milk producing animals and gardens within a 5-mile radius of the plant. One dairy farm was located on the east side of the river between 4 and 5 miles from the plant and one small farm with a milk cow is located approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the plant. These two locations were sampled in accordance with the SQN sampling program. The results of the 2010 land use survey are presented in Appendix G.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Milk samples are collected every 2 weeks from the indicator locations and from at least one control dairy. A radiochemical separation analysis for I-131 and a gamma spectroscopy analysis are performed on each sample and Sr-89, 90 analysis is performed quarterly.

The monitoring program includes provision for sampling of vegetation from locations where milk is being produced when milk sampling cannot be conducted. There were no periods during 2010 when vegetation sampling was necessary.

Soil samples are collected annually from the air monitoring locations. The samples are collected with either a “cookie cutter” or an auger type sampler. After drying and grinding, the sample is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and for Sr-89, 90.

Samples representative of food crops raised in the area near the plant are obtained from individual gardens. Types of foods may vary from year to year as a result of changes in the local vegetable gardens. In 2010 samples of apples, cabbage, corn, green beans, potatoes, and tomatoes were collected from local gardens. Samples of these same food crops were purchased from area produce markets to serve as control samples. The edible portion of each sample is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Results

The results from the analysis of milk samples are presented in Table H-5. No radioactivity attributable to SQN operations was identified. The I-131 results were less than the established nominal LLD of 0.4 pCi/liter. The results for the quarterly Sr-89 analysis were also less than the nominal LLD value of 3.5 pCi/liter. Two of the samples of milk did contain low levels of Sr-90. The Sr-90 concentration measured in these samples averaged 2.4 pCi/liter. The presence of low levels of Sr-90 in milk samples is consistent with levels in the environment resulting from past nuclear weapons testing. By far the predominant isotope reported in milk samples was the naturally occurring K-40. The average K-40 concentration was approximately 1330 pCi/liter for milk samples analyzed in 2010.

The gamma analysis of soil samples detected trace levels of Cs-137. The concentrations of Cs-137 are consistent with levels previously reported from fallout. All other radionuclides reported were naturally occurring isotopes. The soil analysis data are provided in Table H-6.

A plot of the annual average Cs-137 concentrations in soil is presented in Figure H-3. The concentrations of Cs-137 in soil are steadily decreasing as a result of the cessation of weapons testing in the atmosphere, the 30-year half-life of Cs-137 and transport through the environment.

Radionuclides reported in food samples were all naturally occurring. Analysis of these samples indicated no contribution from plant activities. The results are reported in Tables H-7 through H-12.

LIQUID PATHWAY MONITORING

Potential exposures from the liquid pathway can occur from drinking water, ingestion of edible fish, or from direct radiation exposure from radioactive materials deposited in the river sediment. The monitoring program includes the collection of samples of surface water, groundwater, drinking water supplies, fish, and shoreline sediment. Samples from the reservoir are collected both upstream and downstream from the plant.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Samples of surface water are collected from the Tennessee River downstream and upstream of the plant using automatic sampling systems. A timer turns on the system at least once every 2 hours and the sample is collected into a composite jug. A 1-gallon sample is removed from the composite jug at 4-week intervals and the remaining water in the jug is discarded. The composite sample is analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides and gross beta activity. A quarterly composite sample is analyzed for tritium.

Samples are collected by an automatic sampling system at the first downstream drinking water intake and at the water intake for the city of Dayton located approximately 20 miles upstream. At other selected locations, grab samples are collected from drinking water systems which use the Tennessee River as their source. The drinking water samples are analyzed every 4 weeks by gamma spectroscopy and for gross beta activity. A quarterly composite sample from each station is analyzed for tritium. Additional tritium analyses are performed on samples from two of the locations that are shared with the Watts Bar monitoring program. The sample collected at the water intake for the city of Dayton also serves as control sample for surface water.

Groundwater is sampled from an onsite well and from a private well in an area unaffected by SQN. Gamma spectroscopy analysis is performed monthly on a composite sample from the onsite well and quarterly on samples from an offsite well. Analyses are also performed for gross beta activity and tritium.

Samples of commercial and game fish species are collected semiannually from each of two reservoirs: the reservoir on which the plant is located (Chickamauga Reservoir) and the upstream reservoir (Watts Bar Reservoir). The samples are collected using a combination of netting techniques and electrofishing. Samples are prepared from filleted fish. After drying and grinding, the samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Samples of shoreline sediment are collected from two downstream recreational use areas and one upstream location. The samples are dried and ground and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Results

There were no fission or activation product radionuclides identified from the gamma spectroscopy analyses performed on surface water samples. The tritium analysis of surface water samples detected tritium in a limited number of samples. The average tritium concentration in samples collected from the downstream monitoring location was 398 pCi/liter and the average concentration for samples from the upstream location was 403 pCi/liter. These tritium levels represented only a small fraction of the EPA drinking water limit of 20,000 pCi/liter. Gross beta activity above the nominal LLD value was measured in most surface water samples. The gross beta concentrations in samples from the indicator locations averaged 2.5 pCi/liter and control location samples averaged 2.7 pCi/liter. The values were consistent with previously reported levels. A trend plot of the gross beta activity in surface water samples from 1971 through 2010 is presented in Figure H-4. A summary table of the results is shown in Table H-13.

There were no fission or activation product radionuclides identified by the gamma analysis of drinking water samples. Similar to the results for surface water samples, tritium was detected at low levels in a limited number of samples. The average tritium concentration for samples from the downstream location was 525 pCi/liter. The average concentration for samples from the upstream location was 403 pCi/liter. These tritium levels were significantly below the EPA drinking water limit. Average gross beta activity was 2.4 pCi/liter for the downstream stations and 2.7 pCi/liter at the control station. The results are shown in Table H-14 and a trend plot of the gross beta activity in drinking water from 1971 to the present is presented in Figure H-5.

No fission or activation products were detected by the gamma analyses performed on groundwater samples from the two REMP monitoring locations. The results for tritium analysis of samples from these locations were all less than the nominal LLD. The average gross beta concentrations in samples from the onsite well was 2.0 pCi/liter, and the average from the offsite well was 7.1 pCi/liter. These gross beta levels are representative of the levels typically found in groundwater. The results from the analysis of groundwater samples are presented in Table H-15.

Cesium-137 was identified in a total of three fish samples. The maximum Cs-137 concentration for indicator samples was 0.04 pCi/g, while the maximum Cs-137 concentration for control location samples was 0.05 pCi/g. A plot of the annual Cs-137 concentration in samples of game fish is presented in Figure H-6. The results are summarized in Tables H-16 and H-17.

The gamma analysis of shoreline sediment samples detected only naturally occurring radionuclides. Results from the analysis of shoreline sediment samples are shown in Table H-18.

Figure H-7 presents a plot of the Cs-137 concentrations measured in shoreline sediment since 1980.

ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

Potential doses to the public are estimated from measured effluents using computer models. These models were developed by TVA and are based on methodology provided by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.109 for determining the potential dose to individuals and populations living in the vicinity of a nuclear power plant. The results of the effluent dose calculations are reported in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report. The doses calculated are a representation of the dose to a "maximum exposed individual." Some of the factors used in these calculations (such as ingestion rates) are maximum expected values which will tend to overestimate the dose to this "hypothetical" person. The calculated maximum doses due to plant effluents are small fractions of the applicable regulatory limits. In reality, the expected dose to actual individuals is significantly lower.

Based on the very low concentrations of radionuclides actually present in the plant effluents, radioactivity levels measured in the environment as a result of plant operations are expected to be negligible. The results for the radiological environmental monitoring conducted for the SQN 2010 operations confirm this expectation.

Results

As stated earlier in this report, the estimated increase in radiation dose equivalent to the general public resulting from the operation of SQN is negligible when compared to the dose from natural background radiation. The results from environmental samples are compared with the concentrations from the corresponding control stations as well as appropriate preoperational and background data to determine influences from the plant. Measurable levels of Cs-137 were detected in fish and soil, and Sr-90 was detected in milk. The Cs-137 and Sr-90 concentrations are consistent with levels identified previously that are the result of fallout from past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. The low levels of tritium measured in water samples from Chickamauga Reservoir represented concentrations that were significantly lower than the EPA drinking water limit.

Conclusions

It is concluded from the above analysis of the environmental sampling results and from the trend plots presented in Appendix H that the exposure to members of the general public which may have been attributable to SQN plant operations is negligible. The radioactivity reported herein is primarily the result of fallout or natural background radiation. Any activity which may be present as a result of plant operations does not represent a significant contribution to the radiation exposure to Members of the Public.

REFERENCES

1. Merrill Eisenbud, Environmental Radioactivity, Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1987.
2. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 93, "Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States," September 1987.
3. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 8.29, "Instruction Concerning Risks from Occupational Radiation Exposure," July 1981.

Table 1

COMPARISON OF
PROGRAM LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION WITH THE REGULATORY LIMITS FOR
MAXIMUM ANNUAL AVERAGE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATIONS
RELEASED TO UNRESTRICTED AREAS
AND REPORTING LEVELS

| | <u>Concentrations in Water, pCi/Liter</u> | | | <u>Concentrations in Air, pCi/Cubic Meter</u> | | |
|--------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | <u>Effluent</u> <u>Concentration¹</u> | <u>Reporting</u> <u>Level²</u> | <u>Lower limit</u> <u>of Detection³</u> | <u>Effluent</u> <u>Concentration¹</u> | <u>Reporting</u> <u>Level²</u> | <u>Lower limit</u> <u>of Detection³</u> |
| H-3 | 1,000,000 | 20,000 | 270 | 100,000 | | |
| Cr-51 | 500,000 | | 45 | 30,000 | | 0.02 |
| Mn-54 | 30,000 | 1,000 | 5 | 1,000 | | 0.005 |
| Co-58 | 20,000 | 1,000 | 5 | 1,000 | | 0.005 |
| Co-60 | 3,000 | 300 | 5 | 50 | | 0.005 |
| Zn-65 | 5,000 | 300 | 10 | 400 | | 0.005 |
| Sr-89 | 8,000 | | 5 | 1,000 | | 0.0011 |
| Sr-90 | 500 | | 2 | 6 | | 0.0004 |
| Nb-95 | 30,000 | 400 | 5 | 2,000 | | 0.005 |
| Zr-95 | 20,000 | 400 | 10 | 400 | | 0.005 |
| Ru-103 | 30,000 | | 5 | 900 | | 0.005 |
| Ru-106 | 3,000 | | 40 | 20 | | 0.02 |
| I-131 | 1,000 | 2 | 0.4 | 200 | 0.9 | 0.03 |
| Cs-134 | 900 | 30 | 5 | 200 | 10 | 0.005 |
| Cs-137 | 1,000 | 50 | 5 | 200 | 20 | 0.005 |
| Ce-144 | 3,000 | | 30 | 40 | | 0.01 |
| Ba-140 | 8,000 | 200 | 25 | 2,000 | | 0.015 |
| La-140 | 9,000 | 200 | 10 | 2,000 | | 0.01 |

Note: 1 pCi = 3.7×10^{-2} Bq.

Note: For those reporting levels that are blank, no value is given in the reference.

1 Source: Table 2 of Appendix B to 10 CFR 20

2 Source: SQN Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, Table 2.3-2

3 Source: Table E-1 of this report





Figure 1

Figure 2

**ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE PATHWAYS OF MAN
DUE TO RELEASES OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL
TO THE ATMOSPHERE AND LAKE.**



APPENDIX A

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM AND
SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Table A-1
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM^a

| <u>Exposure Pathway and/or Sample</u> | <u>Number of Samples and Locations^b</u> | <u>Sampling and Collection Frequency</u> | <u>Type and Frequency of Analysis</u> |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1. AIRBORNE | | | |
| a. Particulates | 4 samples from locations (in different sectors) at or near the site boundary (LM-2, LM-3, LM-4, and LM-5). | Continuous sampler operation with sample collection once per 7 days (more frequently if required by dust loading). | Analyze for gross beta radioactivity greater than or equal to 24 hours following filter change. Perform gamma isotopic analysis on each sample when gross beta is greater than 10 times yearly mean of control samples. Composite at least once per 31 days (by location) for gamma scan. |
| | 4 samples from communities approximately 6-10 miles from the Plant (PM-2, 3, 8, and 9). | | |
| | 4 samples from control locations greater than 10 miles from the plant (RM-1 RM-2, RM-3 and RM-4). | | |
| b. Radioiodine | Same locations as air particulates. | Continuous sampler operation with charcoal canister collected at same time as particulate filters at least once per 7 days. | I-131 by gamma scan on each sample. |
| c. Soil | Samples from same locations as air particulates | Once per year. | Each sample is analyzed by gamma isotopic and for Sr-89 and Sr-90. |

Table A-1 (continued)
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM^a

| <u>Exposure Pathway and/or Sample</u> | <u>Number of Samples and Locations^b</u> | <u>Sampling and Collection Frequency</u> | <u>Type and Frequency of Analysis</u> |
|---|--|--|---|
| 2. DIRECT RADIATION | 2 or more dosimeters placed at locations at or near the site boundary in each of the 16 sectors. | At least once per 92 days. | Gamma dose at least once per 92 days. |
| | 2 or more dosimeters placed at stations located approximately 4 to 5 Miles from the plant in each of the 16 sectors. | | |
| | 2 or more dosimeters in other locations of special interest. | | |
| 3. WATERBORNE | | | |
| a. Surface water | TRM 503.8 ^d TRM 483.4 | Collected by automatic sequential-type sampler ^c with composite samples collected over a period of less than or equal to 31 days. | Gross beta and gamma scan on each composite sample. Composite for tritium analysis at least once per 92 days. |
| b. Groundwater | 1 sample adjacent to the plant (Well No. 6). | At least once per 31 days. | Composited for gross beta, gamma scan, and tritium at least once per 92 days. |
| | 1 sample from groundwater source upgradient (Farm HW). | At least once per 92 days. | Gross beta, gamma scan, and tritium at least once per 92 days. |

Table A-1 (continued)
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM^a

| <u>Exposure Pathway and/or Sample</u> | <u>Number of Samples and Locations^b</u> | <u>Sampling and Collection Frequency</u> | <u>Type and Frequency of Analysis</u> |
|---|---|---|--|
| c. Drinking Water | 1 sample at the first potable water supply downstream from the plant (TRM 473.0). | Collected by automatic sequential-type sampler ^c with composite sample collected over a period of less than or equal to 31 days. | Gross beta and gamma scan on each composite sample. Composite for tritium at least once per 92 days. |
| | 1 sample at the next 2 downstream potable water systems (greater than 10 miles downstream) (TRM 469.9 and TRM 465.3). | Grab sample once per 31 days. | |
| | 1 sample at the upstream control location (TRM 503.8 ^d). | Samples collected by sequential-type sampler ^c with composite sample collected over a period of less than or equal to 31 days. | |
| d. Shoreline sediment | TRM 485 TRM 480 TRM 479 | At least once per 184 days. | Gamma scan of each sample. |

Table A-1 (continued)
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM^a

| Exposure Pathway and/or Sample | Number of Samples and Locations ^b | Sampling and Collection Frequency | Type and Frequency of Analysis |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 4. INGESTION | | | |
| a. Milk | 1 sample from milk producing animals in each of 1-3 areas indicated by the cow census where doses are calculated to be highest. If samples are not available from a milk animal location, doses to that area will be estimated by projecting the doses from concentrations detected in milk from other sectors or by sampling vegetation where milk is not available. | At least once per 15 days. | Gamma isotopic and I-131 analysis of each sample. Sr-89 and Sr-90 once per quarter. |
| | At least one sample from a control location | | |
| b. Fish | 1 sample each from Chickamauga and Watts Bar Reservoirs. | At least once per 184 days. One sample representing a commercially important species and one sample representing a recreationally important species. | Gamma scan on edible portion. |

Table A-1 (continued)
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

| <u>Exposure Pathway and/or Sample</u> | <u>Number of Samples and Locations^b</u> | <u>Sampling and Collection Frequency</u> | <u>Type and Frequency of Analysis</u> |
|---|--|--|---|
| c. Food Products | 1 sample each of principal food products grown at private gardens and/or farms in the immediate vicinity of the plant. | At least once per 365 days at time of harvest. The types of foods available for sampling will vary. Following is a list of typical foods which may be available: Cabbage, lettuce, or greens Corn Green Beans Potatoes Tomatoes | Gamma scan on edible portion. |
| | One sample of each of the same foods grown at greater than 10 miles distance from the plant. | | |
| d. Vegetation ^c | Samples from farms producing milk but not providing a milk sample. | At least once per 31 days. | I-131 and gamma scan at least once per 31 days. |
| | Control sample from one control dairy farm when sampling is performed at an indicator location. | | |

-
- a. The sampling program outlined in this table is that which was in effect at the end of 2010.
 - b. Sample locations, sector and distance from plant, are described in Table A-2 and A-3 and shown in Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3.
 - c. Composite samples shall be collected by collecting an aliquot at intervals not exceeding 2 hours.
 - d. The sample collected at this location shall be considered a control for the drinking water and surface water.
 - e. Vegetation sampling is applicable only for farms that meet the criteria for milk sampling and when implementation of milk sampling is not possible.

Table A-2
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM
 SAMPLING LOCATIONS

| Map Location Number ^a | Station | Sector | Approximate Distance (Miles) | Indicator (I) or Control (C) | Samples Collected ^b |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | LM-2 | N | 0.7 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 3 | LM-3 | SSW | 2.0 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 4 | LM-4 | NE | 1.5 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 5 | LM-5 | NNE | 1.8 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 7 | PM-2 | SW | 3.8 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 8 | PM-3 | W | 5.6 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 9 | PM-8 | SSW | 8.7 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 10 | PM-9 | WSW | 2.6 | I | AP,CF,S |
| 11 | RM-1 | SW | 16.7 | C | AP,CF,S |
| 12 | RM-2 | NNE | 17.8 | C | AP,CF,S |
| 13 | RM-3 | ESE | 11.3 | C | AP,CF,S |
| 14 | RM-4 | NW | 20.0 | C | AP,CF,S |
| 19 | Farm HW | NW | 1.2 | I | M,W ^c |
| 21 | Farm HS | E | 4.6 | I | M |
| 23 | Farm EH | ENE | 9.5 | C | M |
| 24 | Well No. 6 | NNE | 0.15 | I | W |
| 25 | Farm K | NE | 40.0 | C | M |
| 31 | TRM ^c 473.0 (East Side Utilities) | -- | 10.7 ^d | I | PW |
| 32 | TRM 469.9 (E. I. DuPont) | -- | 13.8 ^d | I | PW |
| 33 | TRM 465.3 (Chattanooga) | -- | 18.4 ^d | I | PW |
| 35 | TRM 503.8 (Dayton) | -- | 20.1 ^d | C | PW,SW |
| 37 | TRM 485.0 | -- | 1.3 ^d | C | SS |
| 38 | TRM 483.4 | -- | 0.3 ^d | I | SW |
| 40 | TRM 479.0 | -- | 4.7 ^d | I | SS |
| 44 | TRM 480.0 | -- | 3.7 ^d | I | SS |
| 46 | Chickamauga Reservoir (TRM 471-530) | -- | -- | I | F |
| 47 | Watts Bar Reservoir (TRM 530-602) | -- | -- | C | F |

a. See Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3

b. Sample codes:

AP = Air particulate filter

CF = Charcoal filter

F = Fish

M = Milk

PW = Public Water

S = Soil

SS = Shoreline Sediment

SW = Surface water

W = Well water

c. A control for well water.

d. Distance from plant discharge (TRM 483.7).

e. TRM = Tennessee River Mile

Table A-3
 SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 ENVIRONMENTAL DOSIMETER LOCATIONS

| Map Location Number ^a | Station | Sector | Approximate Distance (miles) | Onsite (On) ^b or Offsite (Off) |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|---|
| 3 | SSW-1C | SSW | 2.0 | On |
| 4 | NE-1A | NE | 1.5 | On |
| 5 | NNE-1 | NNE | 1.8 | On |
| 7 | SW-2 | SW | 3.8 | Off |
| 8 | W-3 | W | 5.6 | Off |
| 9 | SSW-3 | SSW | 8.7 | Off |
| 10 | WSW-2A | WSW | 2.6 | Off |
| 11 | SW-3 | SW | 16.7 | Off |
| 12 | NNE-4 | NNE | 17.8 | Off |
| 13 | ESE-3 | ESE | 11.3 | Off |
| 14 | NW-3 | NW | 20.0 | Off |
| 49 | N-1 | N | 0.6 | On |
| 50 | N-2 | N | 2.1 | Off |
| 51 | N-3 | N | 5.2 | Off |
| 52 | N-4 | N | 10.0 | Off |
| 53 | NNE-2 | NNE | 4.5 | Off |
| 55 | NE-1 | NE | 2.4 | Off |
| 56 | NE-2 | NE | 4.1 | Off |
| 57 | ENE-1 | ENE | 0.2 | On |
| 58 | ENE-2 | ENE | 5.1 | Off |
| 59 | E-1 | E | 1.2 | On |
| 60 | E-2 | E | 5.2 | Off |
| 62 | ESE-1 | ESE | 1.2 | On |
| 63 | ESE-2 | ESE | 4.9 | Off |
| 66 | SE-1 | SE | 1.4 | On |
| 67 | SE-2 | SE | 1.9 | On |
| 68 | SE-4 | SE | 5.2 | Off |
| 69 | SSE-1 | SSE | 1.6 | On |
| 70 | SSE-2 | SSE | 4.6 | Off |
| 71 | S-1 | S | 1.5 | On |
| 72 | S-2 | S | 4.7 | Off |
| 73 | SSW-1 | SSW | 0.6 | On |
| 74 | SSW-2 | SSW | 4.0 | Off |
| 75 | SW-1 | SW | 0.7 | On |
| 76 | WSW-1 | WSW | 0.9 | On |
| 77 | WSW-2 | WSW | 2.5 | Off |
| 78 | WSW-3 | WSW | 5.7 | Off |
| 79 | WSW-4 | WSW | 7.8 | Off |
| 81 | W-1 | W | 0.6 | On |
| 82 | W-2 | W | 4.3 | Off |
| 83 | WNW-1 | WNW | 0.4 | On |
| 84 | WNW-2 | WNW | 5.3 | Off |
| 85 | NW-1 | NW | 0.4 | On |
| 86 | NW-2 | NW | 5.2 | Off |
| 87 | NNW-1 | NNW | 0.6 | On |
| 88 | NNW-2 | NNW | 1.7 | On |
| 89 | NNW-3 | NNW | 5.3 | Off |
| 90 | SSW-1B | SSW | 1.5 | On |

a. See Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3.

b. Dosimeters designated "onsite" are located 2 miles or less from the plant; "offsite" are located more than 2 miles from the plant.

Figure A-1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations

Within 1 mile of the Plant




Figure A-2

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations

Between 1 and 5 miles from the Plant





Figure A-3

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations

More than 5 miles from the Plant



APPENDIX B
PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS

Appendix B

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Modification

No modifications were made to the SQN REMP during 2010.

APPENDIX C
PROGRAM DEVIATIONS

Appendix C

Program Deviations

Problems with the sampling equipment prevented the collection of a limited number of air monitoring samples. A review of the details of the sampler failures did not identify any adverse trend in the equipment performance. The air filter sample from one air monitoring location was lost after collection during the week of 3/03/10. Problems with the sampling pump on the onsite Well No. 6 prevented collection of the groundwater composite sample during the first quarter of the year. The shoreline sediment sample could not be collected from the upstream location during the first semiannual sampling period due to high lake levels.

Table C-1 provides additional details on these missed samples.

Table C-1
Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Deviations

| Date | Station | Location | Remarks |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| 1/19/10 – 3/16/10 | Well 6 | 0.15 miles NNE | The composite sampler for the onsite well was not operating for the first three sampling cycles of the year due to failure of the starter relay for the pump and safety issues with the power line to the sampler. The problems were documented with PER 212325. |
| 3/03/10 | RM-3 | 11.3 miles ESE | The air filter sample for the weekly sampling period ending on 3/3/10 was lost in transit. A search of the area around monitor and the vehicle used for sample collection was conducted but did not find the missing filter. This missed sample was documented with PER 219501. |
| 4/05/10 | LM-5 | 1.8 miles NNE | The air filter and charcoal samples were not collected due to problems with the sampling pump. The drive motor was replaced and the sampler was returned to service in time for the next sampling period. The missed samples were documented with PER 224960. |
| 4/15/10 | TRM 485.0 | 1.3 miles upstream | The shoreline sediment sample from the upstream sampling location could not be collected during first semiannual sampling period due to high lake levels. A PER was not applicable to this sampling issue. |
| 5/13/10 – 5/17/10 | RM-4 | 20.0 miles NW | The air filter and charcoal cartridge samples could not be collected for two consecutive sampling cycles due to problems with the sampling pump. Delays in completing the repairs prevented returning the sampler to operation following the initial problem identification on 5/13/10. The missed samples were documented with PER 230430. |
| 5/18/10 – 5/24/10 | PM-2 | 3.8 miles SW | The sampling system was not running at the time of weekly sample collection on 5/18/10. The problem was a loss of power. The utility company supplying power to the location was working on lines in the area. When power was restored to the location, a problem with the sampling pump resulted in a low sample volume for the next sampling cycle. The missed air filter and charcoal cartridge samples were documented with PER 230633. |
| 10/4/10 | LM-3 | 2.0 miles SSW | The air filter and charcoal cartridge sample volume was not adequate for the sampling period ending 10/4/10. The problem was a failed sampling pump. The missed samples were documented with PER 263131. |
| 12/13/10 | RM-4 | 20.0 miles NW | The sampling pump was not running at the time of weekly sample collection on 12/13/10 and the total volume for the air filter and charcoal cartridge indicated that the sampler had only operated for a small fraction of the sampling period. The problem was corrected by replacing the drive motor for pump. The missed samples were documented with PER 328958 |

APPENDIX D
ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Appendix D

Analytical Procedures

Analyses of environmental samples, except for the Sr-89, 90 analysis of soil samples, are performed by the radioanalytical laboratory located at the Western Area Radiological Laboratory facility in Muscle Shoals, Alabama. The analysis procedures are based on accepted methods. A summary of the analysis techniques and methodology follows. The Sr-89, 90 analyses for soil samples are performed by a commercial laboratory.

The gross beta measurements are made with an automatic low background counting system. Normal counting times are 50 minutes. Water samples are prepared by evaporating 500 ml of sample to near dryness, transferring to a stainless steel planchet and completing the evaporation process. Air particulate filters are counted directly in a shallow planchet.

The specific analysis of I-131 in milk is performed by first isolating and purifying the iodine by radiochemical separation and then counting the final precipitate on a beta-gamma coincidence counting system. The normal count time is 50 minutes. With the beta-gamma coincidence counting system, background counts are virtually eliminated and extremely low levels of activity can be detected.

After a radiochemical separation, milk samples analyzed for Sr-89, 90 are counted on a low background beta counting system. The sample is counted a second time after minimum ingrowth period of six days. From the two counts the Sr-89 and Sr-90 concentrations can be determined.

Water samples are analyzed for tritium content by first distilling a portion of the sample and then counting by liquid scintillation. A commercially available scintillation cocktail is used.

Gamma analyses are performed in various counting geometries depending on the sample type and volume. All gamma counts are obtained with germanium type detectors interfaced with a computer based multichannel analyzer system. Spectral data reduction is performed by the computer program HYPERMET.

The charcoal cartridges used to sample gaseous radioiodine are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy using a high resolution gamma spectroscopy system with germanium detectors.

The necessary efficiency values, weight-efficiency curves, and geometry tables are established and maintained on each detector and counting system. A series of daily and periodic quality control checks are performed to monitor counting instrumentation. System logbooks and control charts are used to document the results of the quality control checks.

APPENDIX E

NOMINAL LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION (LLD)

Appendix E
Nominal Lower Limits of Detection

A number of factors influence the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for a specific analysis method, including sample size, count time, counting efficiency, chemical processes, radioactive decay factors, and interfering isotopes encountered in the sample. The most probable values for these factors have been evaluated for the various analyses performed in the environmental monitoring program. The nominal LLDs are calculated from these values, in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the ODCM. The current nominal LLD values achieved by the ERM&I radioanalytical lab are listed in Table E-1. For comparison, the maximum values for the lower limits of detection specified in the ODCM are given in Table E-2.

The nominal LLDs are also presented in the data tables in Appendix H. For analyses for which LLDs have not been established, an LLD of zero is assumed in determining if a measured activity is greater than the nominal LLD.

Table E-1
 Nominal LLD Values
 A. Radiochemical Procedures

| | Air Filters (pCi/m ³) | Water (pCi/L) | Milk (pCi/L) | Wet Vegetation (pCi/kg wet) | Sediment and Soil (pCi/g dry) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Gross Beta | 0.002 | 1.9 | | | |
| Tritium | | 270 | | | |
| Iodine-131 | | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.0 | |
| Strontium-89 | | 5.0 | 3.5 | 31.0 | 1.6 |
| Strontium-90 | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 0.4 |

Table E-1 (continued)
 Nominal LLD Values
 B. Gamma Analyses

| | Air Particulates <u>pCi/m³</u> | Charcoal Filter <u>pCi/m³</u> | Water and Milk <u>pCi/L</u> | Vegetation and Grain <u>pCi/g, dry</u> | Wet Vegetation <u>pCi/kg, wet</u> | Soil and Sediment <u>pCi/g, dry</u> | Fish <u>pCi/g, dry</u> | Clam Flesh <u>pCi/g, dry</u> | Foods Tomatoes Potatoes, etc. <u>pCi/kg, wet</u> |
|--------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Ce-141 | .005 | .02 | 10 | .07 | 35 | .10 | .07 | .35 | 20 |
| Ce-144 | .01 | .07 | 30 | .15 | 115 | .20 | .15 | .85 | 60 |
| Cr-51 | .02 | 0.15 | 45 | .30 | 200 | .35 | .30 | 2.4 | 95 |
| I-131 | .005 | 0.03 | 10 | .20 | 60 | .25 | .20 | 1.7 | 20 |
| Ru-103 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 25 | .03 | .03 | .25 | 25 |
| Ru-106 | .02 | 0.12 | 40 | .15 | 190 | .20 | .15 | 1.25 | 90 |
| Cs-134 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 30 | .03 | .03 | .14 | 10 |
| Cs-137 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 25 | .03 | .03 | .15 | 10 |
| Zr-95 | .005 | 0.03 | 10 | .05 | 45 | .05 | .05 | .45 | 45 |
| Nb-95 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .25 | 30 | .04 | .25 | .25 | 10 |
| Co-58 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 20 | .03 | .03 | .25 | 10 |
| Mn-54 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 20 | .03 | .03 | .20 | 10 |
| Zn-65 | .005 | 0.03 | 10 | .05 | 45 | .05 | .05 | .40 | 45 |
| Co-60 | .005 | 0.02 | 5 | .03 | 20 | .03 | .03 | .20 | 10 |
| K-40 | .04 | 0.30 | 100 | .40 | 400 | .75 | .40 | 3.50 | 250 |
| Ba-140 | .015 | 0.07 | 25 | .30 | 130 | .30 | .30 | 2.4 | 50 |
| La-140 | .01 | 0.04 | 10 | .20 | 50 | .20 | .20 | 1.4 | 25 |
| Fe-59 | .005 | 0.04 | 10 | .08 | 40 | .05 | .08 | .45 | 25 |
| Be-7 | .02 | 0.15 | 45 | .25 | 200 | .25 | .25 | 1.9 | 90 |
| Pb-212 | .005 | 0.03 | 15 | .04 | 40 | .10 | .04 | .30 | 40 |
| Pb-214 | .005 | 0.07 | 20 | .50 | 80 | .15 | .50 | .10 | 80 |
| Bi-214 | .005 | 0.05 | 20 | .10 | 55 | .15 | .10 | .50 | 40 |
| Bi-212 | .02 | 0.20 | 50 | .25 | 250 | .45 | .25 | 2.0 | 130 |
| Tl-208 | .002 | 0.02 | 10 | .03 | 30 | .06 | .03 | .25 | 30 |
| Ra-224 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .75 | -- | -- | -- |
| Ra-226 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .15 | -- | -- | -- |
| Ac-228 | .01 | 0.07 | 20 | .10 | 70 | .25 | .10 | .75 | 50 |

Table E-2
 Maximum Values for the Lower Limits of Detection (LLD)
 Specified by the SQN Offsite Dose Calculation Manual

| <u>Analysis</u> | <u>Water pCi/L</u> | <u>Airborne Particulate or Gases pCi/m³</u> | <u>Fish pCi/kg, wet</u> | <u>Milk pCi/L</u> | <u>Food Products pCi/kg, wet</u> | <u>Sediment pCi/kg, dry</u> |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| gross beta | 4 | 1 x 10 ⁻² | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| H-3 | 2000 ^a | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Mn-54 | 15 | N.A. | 130 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Fe-59 | 30 | N.A. | 260 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Co-58,60 | 15 | N.A. | 130 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Zn-65 | 30 | N.A. | 260 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Zr-95 | 30 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| Nb-95 | 15 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| I-131 | 1 ^b | 7 x 10 ⁻² | N.A. | 1 | 60 | N.A. |
| Cs-134 | 15 | 5 x 10 ⁻² | 130 | 15 | 60 | 150 |
| Cs-137 | 18 | 6 x 10 ⁻² | 150 | 18 | 80 | 180 |
| Ba-140 | 60 | N.A. | N.A. | 60 | N.A. | N.A. |
| La-140 | 15 | N.A. | N.A. | 15 | N.A. | N.A. |

- a. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 3000 pCi/liter may be used.
- b. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 15 pCi/liter may be used.

APPENDIX F

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Appendix F

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program

A quality assurance program is employed by the laboratory to ensure that the environmental monitoring data are reliable. This program includes the use of written, approved procedures in performing the work, provisions for staff training and certification, internal self assessments of program performance, audits by various external organizations, and a laboratory quality control program.

The quality control program employed by the radioanalytical laboratory is designed to ensure that the sampling and analysis process is working as intended. The program includes equipment checks and the analysis of quality control samples along with routine samples. Instrument quality control checks include background count rate and counts reproducibility. In addition to these two general checks, other quality control checks are performed on the variety of detectors used in the laboratory. The exact nature of these checks depends on the type of device and the method it uses to detect radiation or store the information obtained.

Quality control samples of a variety of types are used by the laboratory to verify the performance of different portions of the analytical process. These quality control samples include blanks, replicate samples, blind samples, or cross-checks.

Blanks are samples which contain no measurable radioactivity or no activity of the type being measured. Such samples are analyzed to determine whether there is any contamination of equipment or commercial laboratory chemicals, cross-contamination in the chemical process, or interference from isotopes other than the one being measured.

Duplicate samples are generated at random by the sample computer program which schedules the collection of the routine samples. For example, if the routine program calls for four milk samples every week, on a random basis each farm might provide an additional sample several

times a year. These duplicate samples are analyzed along with other routine samples. They provide information about the variability of radioactive content in the various sample media.

If enough sample is available for a particular analysis, the laboratory staff can split it into two portions. Such a sample provides information about the variability of the analytical process since two identical portions of material are analyzed side by side.

Analytical knowns are another category of quality control sample. A known amount of radioactivity is added to a sample medium. The lab staff knows the radioactive content of the sample. Whenever possible, the analytical knowns contain the same amount of radioactivity each time they are run. In this way, analytical knowns provide immediate data on the quality of the measurement process.

Blind spikes are samples containing radioactivity which are introduced into the analysis process disguised as ordinary environmental samples. The lab staff does not know the sample contains radioactivity. Since the bulk of the ordinary workload of the environmental laboratory contains no measurable activity or only naturally occurring radioisotopes, blind spikes can be used to test the detection capability of the laboratory or can be used to test the data review process. If an analysis routinely generates numerous zeroes for a particular isotope, the presence of the isotope is brought to the attention of the laboratory supervisor in the daily review process. Blind spikes test this process since the blind spikes contain radioactivity at levels high enough to be detected. Furthermore, the activity can be put into such samples at the extreme limit of detection (near the LLD) to verify that the laboratory can detect very low levels of activity.

Another category of quality control samples is the internal cross-checks. These samples have a known amount of radioactivity added and are presented to the lab staff labeled as cross-check samples. This means that the quality control staff knows the radioactive content or "right answer" but the lab personnel performing the analysis do not. Such samples test the best

performance of the laboratory by determining if the lab can find the “right answer”. These samples provide information about the accuracy of the measurement process. Further information is available about the variability of the process if multiple analyses are requested on the same sample. Like blind spikes or analytical knowns, these samples can also be spiked with low levels of activity to test detection limits. The lab results for the internal quality control program samples met the program performance goals.

To provide for an independent verification of the laboratory’s ability to make accurate measurements, the laboratory participated in an environmental level cross-check program available through Analytics, Inc., during 2010. The results of TVA’s participation in this cross-check program are presented in Table F-1. All results were within the program agreement limits.

The quality control data are routinely collected, examined and reported to laboratory supervisory personnel. They are checked for trends, problem areas, or other indications that a portion of the analytical process needs correction or improvement. The end result is a measurement process that provides reliable and verifiable data and is sensitive enough to measure the presence of radioactivity far below the levels which could be harmful to humans.

Table F-1

Results For 2010 External Cross Checks

| <u>Test Period</u> | <u>Sample Type / Analysis</u> | <u>Known</u> | <u>Results</u> | | <u>Agreement</u> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| | | | | <u>TVA</u> | |
| First Quarter | Water (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | Gross Beta | 2.60E+02 | 2.92E+02 | Yes | |
| First Quarter | Water (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | ³ H | 1.20E+04 | 1.23E+04 | Yes | |
| First Quarter | Water (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | ¹³¹ I | 7.22E+01 | 8.18E+01 | Yes | |
| | ¹⁴¹ Ce | 2.63E+02 | 2.60E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵¹ Cr | 3.64E+02 | 3.60E+02 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁴ Cs | 1.79E+02 | 1.63E+02 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁷ Cs | 1.59E+02 | 1.66E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁸ Co | 1.44E+02 | 1.45E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁴ Mn | 2.09E+02 | 2.18E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁹ Fe | 1.38E+02 | 1.35E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁵ Zn | 2.56E+02 | 2.70E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁰ Co | 1.85E+02 | 1.87E+02 | Yes | |
| First Quarter | Milk (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | ¹³¹ I | 1.17E+02 | 1.06E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁸⁹ Sr | 1.11E+02 | 9.88E+01 | Yes | |
| First Quarter | Milk (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | ⁹⁰ Sr | 1.52E+01 | 1.47E+01 | Yes | |
| | | | | | |
| Third Quarter | Water (pCi/L) | | | | |
| | ³ H | 4.02E+03 | 4.48E+03 | Yes | |
| Third Quarter | Sand (pCi/gram) | | | | |
| | ¹⁴¹ Ce | 4.13E-01 | 4.10E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵¹ Cr | 7.42E-01 | 7.86E-01 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁴ Cs | 2.95E-01 | 3.05E-01 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁷ Cs | 3.00E-01 | 3.16E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁸ Co | 2.34E-01 | 2.41E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁴ Mn | 3.79E-01 | 4.08E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁹ Fe | 2.90E-01 | 2.95E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁵ Zn | 6.47E-01 | 6.97E-01 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁰ Co | 5.42E-01 | 5.67E-01 | Yes | |
| Third Quarter | Air Filter (pCi/Filter) | | | | |
| | Gross Beta | 9.20E+01 | 8.45E+01 | Yes | |
| Third Quarter | Air Filter (pCi/Filter) | | | | |
| | ¹⁴¹ Ce | 1.16E+02 | 1.11E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵¹ Cr | 2.07E+02 | 2.11E+02 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁴ Cs | 8.26E+01 | 6.94E+01 | Yes | |
| | ¹³⁷ Cs | 8.39E+01 | 8.89E+01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁸ Co | 6.54E+01 | 6.72E+01 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁴ Mn | 1.06E+02 | 1.11E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁵⁹ Fe | 8.09E+01 | 7.73E+01 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁵ Zn | 1.81E+02 | 1.89E+02 | Yes | |
| | ⁶⁰ Co | 1.52E+02 | 1.52E+02 | Yes | |

APPENDIX G

LAND USE SURVEY

Appendix G

Land Use Survey

A land use survey is conducted annually to identify the location of the nearest milk producing animal, the nearest residence, and the nearest garden of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy vegetables in each of 16 meteorological sectors within a distance of 5 miles from the plant.

The land use survey is conducted between April 1 and October 1 using appropriate techniques such as door-to-door survey, mail survey, telephone survey, aerial survey, or information from local agricultural authorities or other reliable sources.

Using survey data, relative radiation doses are projected for individuals living near the plant. These projections use the data obtained in the survey and historical meteorological data. They also assume that releases are equivalent to the design basis source terms. The calculated doses are relative in nature and do not reflect actual exposures received by individuals living near SQN. Calculated doses to individuals based on measured effluents from the plant are well below applicable dose limits.

In response to the 2010 SQN land use survey, annual dose projections were calculated for air submersion, vegetable ingestion, and milk ingestion. External doses due to radioactivity in air (air submersion) are calculated for the nearest resident in each sector, while doses from drinking milk or eating foods produced near the plant are calculated for the areas with milk producing animals and gardens, respectively.

There were no changes in the location of the nearest resident as identified in 2010 compared to 2009. The location of the nearest garden changed in seven sectors as identified in 2010.

For milk ingestion, there were no changes as compared to 2009.

Tables G-1, G-2, and G-3 show the comparative relative calculated doses for 2009 and 2010.

Table G-1

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT

Relative Projected Annual Air Submersion Dose to the Nearest Resident
 Within Five Miles of Plant
 mrem/year

| <u>Sector</u> | <u>2009 Survey</u> | | <u>2010 Survey</u> | |
|---------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | <u>Approximate Distance Miles</u> | <u>Annual Dose</u> | <u>Approximate Distance Miles</u> | <u>Annual Dose</u> |
| N | 0.8 | 0.12 | 0.8 | 0.12 |
| NNE | 1.5 | 0.07 | 1.5 | 0.07 |
| NE | 1.5 | 0.06 | 1.5 | 0.06 |
| ENE | 1.3 | 0.02 | 1.3 | 0.02 |
| E | 1.0 | 0.02 | 1.0 | 0.02 |
| ESE | 1.0 | 0.02 | 1.0 | 0.02 |
| SE | 1.1 | 0.02 | 1.1 | 0.02 |
| SSE | 1.3 | 0.03 | 1.3 | 0.03 |
| S | 1.1 | 0.11 | 1.1 | 0.11 |
| SSW | 1.3 | 0.15 | 1.3 | 0.15 |
| SW | 1.4 | 0.06 | 1.4 | 0.06 |
| WSW | 0.6 | 0.05 | 0.6 | 0.05 |
| W | 0.6 | 0.06 | 0.6 | 0.06 |
| WNW | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.02 |
| NW | 0.8 | 0.04 | 0.8 | 0.04 |
| NNW | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.5 | 0.14 |

Table G-2

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT

Relative Projected Annual Dose to Child's Bone from
Ingestion of Home-Grown Foods
mrem/year

| <u>Sector</u> | <u>2009 Survey</u> | | <u>2010 Survey</u> | |
|---------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | <u>Approximate Distance Miles</u> | <u>Annual Dose</u> | <u>Approximate Distance Miles</u> | <u>Annual Dose</u> |
| N | 1.1 | 2.25 | 1.1 | 2.25 |
| NNE | 2.5 | 1.13 | 2.3 | 1.25 |
| NE | 3.8 | 0.49 | 3.8 | 0.49 |
| ENE | 2.8 | 0.26 | 2.8 | 0.26 |
| E | 1.5 | 0.42 | 1.5 | 0.42 |
| ESE | 1.1 | 0.50 | 1.1 | 0.50 |
| SE | 2.1 | 0.28 | 2.0 | 0.30 |
| SSE | 1.3 | 1.03 | 1.4 | 0.92 |
| S | 2.6 | 1.00 | 2.4 | 1.12 |
| SSW | 2.8 | 1.70 | 2.0 | 2.74 |
| SW | 2.2 | 1.12 | 2.2 | 1.12 |
| WSW | 0.7 | 1.45 | 0.7 | 1.45 |
| W | 0.9 | 1.03 | 0.9 | 1.03 |
| WNW | 1.1 | 0.62 | 2.1 | 0.24 |
| NW | 0.9 | 1.26 | 0.8 | 1.26 |
| NNW | 1.2 | 1.23 | 1.2 | 1.23 |

Table G-3

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT

Relative Projected Annual Dose to Receptor Thyroid
from Ingestion of Milk
mrem/year

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Sector</u> | Approximate Distance (Miles) ^a | Annual Dose | | <u>X/Q</u> (units-s/m ³) |
|----------------------|---------------|---|-------------|-------------|---|
| | | | <u>2009</u> | <u>2010</u> | |
| Farm HS ^b | E | 4.6 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 6.74 E-8 |
| Farm HW | NW | 1.2 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 5.48 E-7 |

-
- a. Distances measured to nearest property line.
b. Grade A dairy.

APPENDIX H

DATA TABLES AND FIGURES

Table H - 1

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Average External Gamma Radiation Levels Onsite and Offsite
 Sequoyah Nuclear Plant for Each Quarter - 2010
 mR / Quarter (a)

Average External Gamma Radiation Levels (b)

| | 1st qtr | 2nd qtr | 3rd qtr | 4th qtr | mR/yr |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Average, 0 - 2 miles (onsite) | 9.1 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 42 |
| Average, > 2 miles (offsite) | 8.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 38 |

(a) Field periods normalized to one standard quarter (2190 hours)

(b) Average of the individual measurements in the set

TABLE H - 2

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Individual Stations at Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

| Map Location Number | TLD Station Number | Direction, degrees | Approx Distance, miles | Environmental Radiation Levels | | | | Annual Exposure mR/year |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | mR / quarter | | | | |
| | | | | 1st Qtr Jan-Mar 2010 | 2nd Qtr Apr-Jun 2010 | 3rd Qtr Jul-Sep 2010 | 4th Qtr Oct-Dec 2010 | |
| 49 | N-1 | 3 | .6 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 41.8 |
| 50 | N-2 | 4 | 2.1 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 39.0 |
| 51 | N-3 | 358 | 5.2 | 7.9 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 37.7 |
| 52 | N-4 | 355 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 37.1 |
| 5 | NNE-1 | 13 | 1.8 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 17.9 | 15.8 | 59.3 |
| 53 | NNE-2 | 31 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 34.0 |
| 12 | NNE-4 | 32 | 17.8 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 37.2 |
| 55 | NE-1 | 38 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 37.3 |
| 4 | NE-1A | 50 | 1.5 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 40.0 |
| 56 | NE-2 | 51 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 27.4 |
| 57 | ENE-1 | 73 | .2 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 36.3 |
| 58 | ENE-2 | 66 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 35.2 |
| 59 | E-1 | 96 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 31.7 |
| 60 | E-2 | 87 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 39.8 |
| 62 | ESE-1 | 110 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 9.8 | 41.0 |
| 63 | ESE-2 | 112 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 43.2 |
| 13 | ESE-3 | 117 | 11.3 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 34.4 |
| 66 | SE-1 | 131 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 25.1 |
| 67 | SE-2 | 129 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 36.9 |
| 68 | SE-4 | 136 | 5.2 | 13.8 | 14.7 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 58.2 |
| 69 | SSE-1 | 154 | 1.6 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 29.0 |
| 70 | SSE-2 | 158 | 4.6 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 45.5 |

TABLE H - 2 continued

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Individual Stations at Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

| Map Location <u>Number</u> | TLD Station <u>Number</u> | Direction, <u>degrees</u> | Approx Distance, <u>miles</u> | Environmental Radiation Levels | | | | Annual Exposure <u>mR/year</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | mR / quarter | | | | |
| | | | | 1st Qtr Jan-Mar <u>2010</u> | 2nd Qtr Apr-Jun <u>2010</u> | 3rd Qtr Jul-Sep <u>2010</u> | 4th Qtr Oct-Dec <u>2010</u> | |
| 71 | S-1 | 183 | 1.5 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 12.2 | 58.0 |
| 72 | S-2 | 185 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 26.6 |
| 73 | SSW-1 | 203 | .6 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 41.9 |
| 90 | SSW-1B | 192 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 25.6 |
| 3 | SSW-1C | 198 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 30.7 |
| 74 | SSW-2 | 204 | 4.0 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 15.8 | 59.9 |
| 9 | SSW-3 | 203 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 11.7 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 40.0 |
| 75 | SW-1 | 228 | .7 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 49.3 |
| 7 | SW-2 | 227 | 3.8 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 5.1 | 9.0 | 35.2 |
| 11 | SW-3 | 228 | 16.7 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 48.4 |
| 76 | WSW-1 | 241 | .9 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 50.4 |
| 77 | WSW-2 | 238 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 28.8 |
| 10 | WSW-2A | 250 | 2.6 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 32.8 |
| 78 | WSW-3 | 248 | 5.7 | 7.9 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 48.7 |
| 79 | WSW-4 | 244 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 32.1 |
| 81 | W-1 | 260 | .6 | 12.9 | 15.2 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 55.4 |
| 82 | W-2 | 275 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 29.6 |
| 8 | W-3 | 280 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 27.0 |
| 83 | WNW-1 | 292 | .4 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 41.7 |
| 84 | WNW-2 | 295 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 32.5 |
| 85 | NW-1 | 315 | .4 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 63.6 |
| 86 | NW-2 | 318 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 34.8 |
| 14 | NW-3 | 320 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 31.9 |
| 87 | NNW-1 | 344 | .6 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 46.8 |
| 88 | NNW-2 | 342 | 1.7 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 29.4 |
| 89 | NNW-3 | 334 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 10.7 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 34.5 |

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN AIR FILTER

$\text{pCi/m}^3 = 0.037 \text{ Bq/m}^3$

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
 Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description with Distance and Direction | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| GROSS BETA - 616 | | | | | | |
| | 2.00E-03 | 2.21E-02 (412 / 412) 2.28E-03 - 4.41E-02 | LM-5 WARE POINT 1.8 MILES NNE | 2.28E-02 (51 / 51) 1.19E-02 - 3.80E-02 | 2.25E-02 (204 / 204) 2.18E-03 - 4.48E-02 | |
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 156 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 1.00E-02 | 104 VALUES < LLD | PM-9 LAKESIDE 2.6 MILES WSW | 13 VALUES < LLD | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| BE-7 | 2.00E-02 | 1.13E-01 (104 / 104) 7.01E-02 - 1.68E-01 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.17E-01 (13 / 13) 7.16E-02 - 1.60E-01 | 1.15E-01 (52 / 52) 7.08E-02 - 1.68E-01 | |
| BI-214 | 5.00E-03 | 1.97E-02 (101 / 104) 6.00E-03 - 5.62E-02 | LM-5 WARE POINT 1.8 MILES NNE | 2.37E-02 (13 / 13) 6.30E-03 - 5.43E-02 | 2.09E-02 (51 / 52) 5.50E-03 - 7.48E-02 | |
| ⁹⁰ K-40 | 4.00E-02 | 104 VALUES < LLD | LM-3 HARRISON BAY RD 2.0 MILES SSW | 13 VALUES < LLD | 4.41E-02 (2 / 52) 4.29E-02 - 4.53E-02 | |
| PB-212 | 5.00E-03 | 104 VALUES < LLD | PM-9 LAKESIDE 2.6 MILES WSW | 13 VALUES < LLD | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| PB-214 | 5.00E-03 | 1.91E-02 (100 / 104) 5.90E-03 - 5.96E-02 | PM-9 LAKESIDE 2.6 MILES WSW | 2.30E-02 (12 / 13) 6.00E-03 - 4.46E-02 | 2.12E-02 (50 / 52) 5.30E-03 - 7.56E-02 | |
| TL-208 | 2.00E-03 | 104 VALUES < LLD | PM-9 LAKESIDE 2.6 MILES WSW | 13 VALUES < LLD | 52 VALUES < LLD | |

Table H-3

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
 2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN CHARCOAL FILTER

$\text{pCi/m}^3 = 0.037 \text{ Bq/m}^3$

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
 Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
 Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description with Distance and Direction</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 617 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 5.00E-02 | 8.33E-02 (134 / 412) 5.03E-02 - 3.03E-01 | PM-3 DAISY TN 5.6 MILES W | 9.13E-02 (16 / 52) 5.06E-02 - 3.03E-01 | 9.11E-02 (56 / 205) 5.08E-02 - 3.04E-01 | |
| I-131 | 3.00E-02 | SEE NOTE 3 | | | | |
| K-40 | 3.00E-01 | 3.98E-01 (74 / 412) 3.05E-01 - 6.15E-01 | LM-5 WARE POINT 1.8 MILES NNE | 4.23E-01 (18 / 51) 3.21E-01 - 6.15E-01 | 3.76E-01 (32 / 205) 3.00E-01 - 6.04E-01 | |
| PB-212 | 3.00E-02 | 412 VALUES < LLD | PM-9 LAKESIDE 2.6 MILES WSW | 52 VALUES < LLD | 3.47E-02 (1 / 205) 3.47E-02 - 3.47E-02 | |
| PB-214 | 7.00E-02 | 9.94E-02 (100 / 412) 7.00E-02 - 2.14E-01 | PM-8 HARRISON TN 8.7 MILES SSW | 1.18E-01 (13 / 52) 7.48E-02 - 2.14E-01 | 1.30E-01 (38 / 205) 7.01E-02 - 3.83E-01 | |
| TL-208 | 2.00E-02 | 412 VALUES < LLD | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 50 VALUES < LLD | 205 VALUES < LLD | |

-67-

Table H-4

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

3. The analysis of Charcoal Filters was performed by Gamma Spectroscopy. No I-131 was detected. The LLD for I-131 by Gamma Spectroscopy was 0.03 pCi/m^3 .

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN MILK
pCi/L = 0.037 Bq/L

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1 | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2 | Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description with Distance and Direction | Mean (F) Range See Note 2 | Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2 | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| IODINE-131 - 104 | 4.00E-01 | 52 VALUES < LLD | | | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 104 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.00E+01 | 4.15E+01 (1 / 52) 4.15E+01 - 4.15E+01 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 4.15E+01 (1 / 26) 4.15E+01 - 4.15E+01 | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-214 | 2.00E+01 | 2.46E+01 (11 / 52) 2.09E+01 - 3.09E+01 | H. SMITH FARM 4.6 MILES E | 2.50E+01 (6 / 26) 2.23E+01 - 2.71E+01 | 3.72E+01 (17 / 52) 2.02E+01 - 9.14E+01 | |
| K-40 | 1.00E+02 | 1.39E+03 (52 / 52) 1.17E+03 - 1.61E+03 | H. SMITH FARM 4.6 MILES E | 1.42E+03 (26 / 26) 1.27E+03 - 1.56E+03 | 1.27E+03 (52 / 52) 1.07E+03 - 1.63E+03 | |
| PB-212 | 1.50E+01 | 52 VALUES < LLD | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 26 VALUES < LLD | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| PB-214 | 2.00E+01 | 2.58E+01 (4 / 52) 2.18E+01 - 2.93E+01 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 2.71E+01 (3 / 26) 2.45E+01 - 2.93E+01 | 3.11E+01 (16 / 52) 2.04E+01 - 6.87E+01 | |
| TL-208 | 1.00E+01 | 52 VALUES < LLD | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 26 VALUES < LLD | 52 VALUES < LLD | |
| STRONTIUM-89 - 15 | | | | | | |
| | 3.50E+00 | 7 VALUES < LLD | | | 8 VALUES < LLD | |
| STRONTIUM-90 - 15 | | | | | | |
| | 2.00E+00 | 2.41E+00 (2 / 7) 2.18E+00 - 2.64E+00 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 2.41E+00 (2 / 3) 2.18E+00 - 2.64E+00 | 8 VALUES < LLD | |

Table H-5

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN SOIL
pCi/g (dry) = 0.037 Bq/g (dry)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description with Distance and Direction | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 12 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.50E-01 | 1.04E+00 (8 / 8) 7.32E-01 - 1.34E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.34E+00 (1 / 1) 1.34E+00 - 1.34E+00 | 9.53E-01 (4 / 4) 8.04E-01 - 1.33E+00 | |
| BE-7 | 2.50E-01 | 3.07E-01 (1 / 8) 3.07E-01 - 3.07E-01 | LM-5 WARE POINT 1.8 MILES NNE | 3.07E-01 (1 / 1) 3.07E-01 - 3.07E-01 | 4 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-212 | 4.50E-01 | 1.08E+00 (8 / 8) 6.27E-01 - 1.38E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.38E+00 (1 / 1) 1.38E+00 - 1.38E+00 | 1.05E+00 (4 / 4) 8.47E-01 - 1.41E+00 | |
| BI-214 | 1.50E-01 | 1.09E+00 (8 / 8) 6.80E-01 - 1.50E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.50E+00 (1 / 1) 1.50E+00 - 1.50E+00 | 7.81E-01 (4 / 4) 6.47E-01 - 8.96E-01 | |
| CS-137 | 3.00E-02 | 5.30E-01 (6 / 8) 6.64E-02 - 1.22E+00 | PM-8 HARRISON TN 8.7 MILES SSW | 1.22E+00 (1 / 1) 1.22E+00 - 1.22E+00 | 2.97E-01 (2 / 4) 1.35E-01 - 4.59E-01 | |
| -69- K-40 | 7.50E-01 | 6.21E+00 (8 / 8) 3.00E+00 - 1.16E+01 | LM-2 NORTH 0.8 MILES NORTH | 1.16E+01 (1 / 1) 1.16E+01 - 1.16E+01 | 9.36E+00 (4 / 4) 4.51E+00 - 2.06E+01 | |
| PB-212 | 1.00E-01 | 9.88E-01 (8 / 8) 6.65E-01 - 1.36E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.36E+00 (1 / 1) 1.36E+00 - 1.36E+00 | 9.32E-01 (4 / 4) 7.95E-01 - 1.29E+00 | |
| PB-214 | 1.50E-01 | 1.18E+00 (8 / 8) 7.89E-01 - 1.61E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.61E+00 (1 / 1) 1.61E+00 - 1.61E+00 | 8.49E-01 (4 / 4) 7.07E-01 - 1.02E+00 | |
| RA-226 | 1.50E-01 | 1.09E+00 (8 / 8) 6.80E-01 - 1.50E+00 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 1.50E+00 (1 / 1) 1.50E+00 - 1.50E+00 | 7.43E-01 (3 / 4) 6.47E-01 - 8.70E-01 | |
| TL-208 | 6.00E-02 | 3.39E-01 (8 / 8) 2.23E-01 - 4.47E-01 | PM-2 COUNTY PARK TN 3.8 MILES SW | 4.47E-01 (1 / 1) 4.47E-01 - 4.47E-01 | 3.11E-01 (4 / 4) 2.55E-01 - 4.44E-01 | |
| STRONTIUM-89 - 12 | | | | | | |
| | 1.60E+00 | 8 VALUES < LLD | | | 4 VALUES < LLD | |
| STRONTIUM-90 - 12 | | | | | | |
| | 4.00E-01 | 8 VALUES < LLD | | | 4 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN APPLES
pCi/Kg (wet) = 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)</u> <u>See Note 1</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description with Distance and Direction</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 2.01E+02 (1 / 1) 2.01E+02 - 2.01E+02 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 2.01E+02 (1 / 1) 2.01E+02 - 2.01E+02 | 5.85E+01 (1 / 1) 5.85E+01 - 5.85E+01 | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 8.57E+02 (1 / 1) 8.57E+02 - 8.57E+02 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 8.57E+02 (1 / 1) 8.57E+02 - 8.57E+02 | 9.55E+02 (1 / 1) 9.55E+02 - 9.55E+02 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 1.73E+02 (1 / 1) 1.73E+02 - 1.73E+02 | H WALKER FARM 1.2 MILES NW | 1.73E+02 (1 / 1) 1.73E+02 - 1.73E+02 | 1 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN CABBAGE
pCi/Kg (wet) - 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean Distance and Direction | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 4.06E+01 (1 / 1) 4.06E+01 - 4.06E+01 | 1 MILES NW | 4.06E+01 (1 / 1) 4.06E+01 - 4.06E+01 | 1.04E+02 (1 / 1) 1.04E+02 - 1.04E+02 | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 1.82E+03 (1 / 1) 1.82E+03 - 1.82E+03 | 1 MILES NW | 1.82E+03 (1 / 1) 1.82E+03 - 1.82E+03 | 1.35E+03 (1 / 1) 1.35E+03 - 1.35E+03 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1 MILES NW | 1 VALUES < LLD | 9.06E+01 (1 / 1) 9.06E+01 - 9.06E+01 | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN CORN
pCi/Kg (wet) = 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)</u> <u>See Note 1.</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean</u> <u>Distance and Direction</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 7.43E+01 (1 / 1) 7.43E+01 - 7.43E+01 | 1.0 MILES NW | 7.43E+01 (1 / 1) 7.43E+01 - 7.43E+01 | 4.03E+01 (1 / 1) 4.03E+01 - 4.03E+01 | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 2.16E+03 (1 / 1) 2.16E+03 - 2.16E+03 | 1.0 MILES NW | 2.16E+03 (1 / 1) 2.16E+03 - 2.16E+03 | 2.62E+03 (1 / 1) 2.62E+03 - 2.62E+03 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1.0 MILES NW | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN GREEN BEANS
pCi/Kg (wet) = 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean Distance and Direction | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 5.00E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1.0 MILES N | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 6.96E+01 (1 / 1) 6.96E+01 - 6.96E+01 | 1.0 MILES N | 6.96E+01 (1 / 1) 6.96E+01 - 6.96E+01 | 2.21E+02 (1 / 1) 2.21E+02 - 2.21E+02 | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 1.87E+03 (1 / 1) 1.87E+03 - 1.87E+03 | 1.0 MILES N | 1.87E+03 (1 / 1) 1.87E+03 - 1.87E+03 | 1.43E+03 (1 / 1) 1.43E+03 - 1.43E+03 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 8.11E+01 (1 / 1) 8.11E+01 - 8.11E+01 | 1.0 MILES N | 8.11E+01 (1 / 1) 8.11E+01 - 8.11E+01 | 2.22E+02 (1 / 1) 2.22E+02 - 2.22E+02 | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN POTATOES
pCi/Kg (wet) = 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean <u>Distance and Direction</u> | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 7.50E+01 (1 / 1) 7.50E+01 - 7.50E+01 | 1.0 MILES NW | 7.50E+01 (1 / 1) 7.50E+01 - 7.50E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 2.99E+03 (1 / 1) 2.99E+03 - 2.99E+03 | 1.0 MILES NW | 2.99E+03 (1 / 1) 2.99E+03 - 2.99E+03 | 3.01E+03 (1 / 1) 3.01E+03 - 3.01E+03 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1.0 MILES NW | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN TOMATOES
pCi/Kg (wet) = 0.037 Bq/Kg (wet)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean <u>Distance and Direction</u> | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 2 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 4.00E+01 | 5.29E+01 (1 / 1) 5.29E+01 - 5.29E+01 | 1.0 MILES N | 5.29E+01 (1 / 1) 5.29E+01 - 5.29E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | |
| K-40 | 2.50E+02 | 1.27E+03 (1 / 1) 1.27E+03 - 1.27E+03 | 1.0 MILES N | 1.27E+03 (1 / 1) 1.27E+03 - 1.27E+03 | 2.48E+03 (1 / 1) 2.48E+03 - 2.48E+03 | |
| PB-214 | 8.00E+01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1.0 MILES N | 1 VALUES < LLD | 1 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN SURFACE WATER (Total)

pCi/L = 0.037 Bq/L

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean <u>Location Description</u> | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| GROSS BETA - 26 | | | | | | |
| | 1.90E+00 | 2.46E+00 (5 / 13) 1.99E+00 - 3.08E+00 | TRM 483.4 | 2.46E+00 (5 / 13) 1.99E+00 - 3.08E+00 | 2.67E+00 (6 / 13) 1.97E+00 - 3.20E+00 | |
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 26 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.00E+01 | 2.01E+01 (1 / 13) 2.01E+01 - 2.01E+01 | TRM 483.4 | 2.01E+01 (1 / 13) 2.01E+01 - 2.01E+01 | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-214 | 2.00E+01 | 3.23E+01 (5 / 13) 2.06E+01 - 4.45E+01 | TRM 483.4 | 3.23E+01 (5 / 13) 2.06E+01 - 4.45E+01 | 3.67E+01 (6 / 13) 2.30E+01 - 6.22E+01 | |
| K-40 | 1.00E+02 | 13 VALUES < LLD | TRM 483.4 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| -76- PB-212 | 1.50E+01 | 13 VALUES < LLD | TRM 483.4 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| PB-214 | 2.00E+01 | 2.59E+01 (3 / 13) 2.08E+01 - 3.24E+01 | TRM 483.4 | 2.59E+01 (3 / 13) 2.08E+01 - 3.24E+01 | 4.33E+01 (2 / 13) 4.15E+01 - 4.50E+01 | |
| TL-208 | 1.00E+01 | 13 VALUES < LLD | TRM 483.4 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| TRITIUM - 21 | | | | | | |
| | 2.70E+02 | 3.98E+02 (2 / 4) 3.64E+02 - 4.32E+02 | TRM 483.4 | 3.98E+02 (2 / 4) 3.64E+02 - 4.32E+02 | 4.03E+02 (3 / 17) 2.96E+02 - 5.97E+02 | |

Table H-13

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN PUBLIC WATER (Total)

pCi/L = 0.037 Bq/L

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| GROSS BETA - 52 | | | | | | |
| | 1.90E+00 | 2.41E+00 (13 / 39) 1.94E+00 - 3.01E+00 | CHATTANOOGA TRM 465.3 | 2.64E+00 (5 / 13) 2.41E+00 - 3.01E+00 | 2.67E+00 (6 / 13) 1.97E+00 - 3.20E+00 | |
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 52 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.00E+01 | 2.55E+01 (1 / 39) 2.55E+01 - 2.55E+01 | E.I. DUPONT TRM 470.5 | 2.55E+01 (1 / 13) 2.55E+01 - 2.55E+01 | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-214 | 2.00E+01 | 3.93E+01 (16 / 39) 2.12E+01 - 7.88E+01 | E.I. DUPONT TRM 470.5 | 4.67E+01 (7 / 13) 2.49E+01 - 7.88E+01 | 3.67E+01 (6 / 13) 2.30E+01 - 6.22E+01 | |
| K-40 | 1.00E+02 | 39 VALUES < LLD | CF INDUSTRIES TRM 473.0 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| -77- PB-212 | 1.50E+01 | 39 VALUES < LLD | CHATTANOOGA TRM 465.3 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| PB-214 | 2.00E+01 | 3.67E+01 (10 / 39) 2.25E+01 - 6.36E+01 | E.I. DUPONT TRM 470.5 | 4.17E+01 (6 / 13) 2.25E+01 - 6.36E+01 | 4.33E+01 (2 / 13) 4.15E+01 - 4.50E+01 | |
| TL-208 | 1.00E+01 | 39 VALUES < LLD | E.I. DUPONT TRM 470.5 | 13 VALUES < LLD | 13 VALUES < LLD | |
| TRITIUM - 42 | | | | | | |
| | 2.70E+02 | 5.25E+02 (3 / 25) 4.99E+02 - 5.44E+02 | CF INDUSTRIES TRM 473.0 | 5.25E+02 (3 / 17) 4.99E+02 - 5.44E+02 | 4.03E+02 (3 / 17) 2.96E+02 - 5.97E+02 | |

Table H-14

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN WELL WATER (Total)
pCi/L = 0.037 Bq/L

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)</u> <u>See Note 1</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean</u> <u>Location Description with Distance and Direction</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| GROSS BETA - 7 | 1.90E+00 | 2.00E+00 (1 / 3) 2.00E+00 - 2.00E+00 | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 2.00E+00 (1 / 3) 2.00E+00 - 2.00E+00 | 7.06E+00 (4 / 4) 3.88E+00 - 1.16E+01 | |
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 14 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.00E+01 | 10 VALUES < LLD | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 10 VALUES < LLD | 3.67E+02 (1 / 4) 3.67E+02 - 3.67E+02 | |
| BI-214 | 2.00E+01 | 3.77E+01 (2 / 10) 2.08E+01 - 5.47E+01 | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 3.77E+01 (2 / 10) 2.08E+01 - 5.47E+01 | 4.04E+02 (4 / 4) 2.75E+02 - 6.84E+02 | |
| K-40 | 1.00E+02 | 10 VALUES < LLD | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 10 VALUES < LLD | 4 VALUES < LLD | |
| -78- PB-212 | 1.50E+01 | 10 VALUES < LLD | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 10 VALUES < LLD | 4 VALUES < LLD | |
| PB-214 | 2.00E+01 | 3.47E+01 (2 / 10) 2.59E+01 - 4.35E+01 | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 3.47E+01 (2 / 10) 2.59E+01 - 4.35E+01 | 3.79E+02 (4 / 4) 2.77E+02 - 6.21E+02 | |
| TL-208 | 1.00E+01 | 10 VALUES < LLD | SQN WELL #6 0.15 miles NNE | 10 VALUES < LLD | 4 VALUES < LLD | |
| TRITIUM - 14 | 2.70E+02 | 10 VALUES < LLD | | | 4 VALUES < LLD | |

Table H-15

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.

2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN COMMERCIAL FISH

pCi/g (dry) = 0.037 Bq/g (dry)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| <u>Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed</u> | <u>Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)</u> <u>See Note 1</u> | <u>Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Location with Highest Annual Mean</u> <u>Location Description</u> | <u>Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Control Locations Mean (F) Range</u> <u>See Note 2</u> | <u>Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements</u> |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 4 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 1.00E-01 | 1.27E-01 (2 / 2) 1.25E-01 - 1.29E-01 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 1.27E-01 (2 / 2) 1.25E-01 - 1.29E-01 | 2.06E-01 (2 / 2) 1.44E-01 - 2.68E-01 | |
| CS-137 | 3.00E-02 | 4.04E-02 (1 / 2) 4.04E-02 - 4.04E-02 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 4.04E-02 (1 / 2) 4.04E-02 - 4.04E-02 | 2 VALUES < LLD | |
| K-40 | 4.00E-01 | 1.33E+01 (2 / 2) 1.12E+01 - 1.53E+01 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 1.33E+01 (2 / 2) 1.12E+01 - 1.53E+01 | 1.14E+01 (2 / 2) 9.48E+00 - 1.33E+01 | |
| PB-214 | 5.00E-01 | 2 VALUES < LLD | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 2 VALUES < LLD | 2 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN GAME FISH
pCi/g (dry) = 0.037 Bq/g (dry)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean Location Description | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 4 | | | | | | |
| BI-214 | 1.00E-01 | 4.66E-01 (1 / 2) 4.66E-01 - 4.66E-01 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 4.66E-01 (1 / 2) 4.66E-01 - 4.66E-01 | 2.14E-01 (2 / 2) 1.15E-01 - 3.13E-01 | |
| CS-137 | 3.00E-02 | 3.34E-02 (1 / 2) 3.34E-02 - 3.34E-02 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 3.34E-02 (1 / 2) 3.34E-02 - 3.34E-02 | 5.02E-02 (1 / 2) 5.02E-02 - 5.02E-02 | |
| K-40 | 4.00E-01 | 1.38E+01 (2 / 2) 1.22E+01 - 1.54E+01 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 1.38E+01 (2 / 2) 1.22E+01 - 1.54E+01 | 1.32E+01 (2 / 2) 1.25E+01 - 1.38E+01 | |
| PB-214 | 5.00E-01 | 5.07E-01 (1 / 2) 5.07E-01 - 5.07E-01 | CHICKAMAUGA RES TRM 471-530 | 5.07E-01 (1 / 2) 5.07E-01 - 5.07E-01 | 2 VALUES < LLD | |

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Tennessee Valley Authority

RADIOACTIVITY IN SHORELINE SEDIMENT
pCi/g (dry) = 0.037 Bq/g (dry)

Name of Facility: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT
Location of Facility: HAMILTON, TENNESSEE

Docket Number: 50-327,328
Reporting Period: 2010

| Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed | Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) <u>See Note 1</u> | Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Location with Highest Annual Mean <u>Location Description</u> | Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Control Locations Mean (F) Range <u>See Note 2</u> | Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| GAMMA ISOTOPIC - 5 | | | | | | |
| AC-228 | 2.50E-01 | 7.16E-01 (4 / 4) 3.39E-01 - 1.08E+00 | TRM 480.0 TRM 480.0 | 7.23E-01 (2 / 2) 5.79E-01 - 8.67E-01 | 9.44E-01 (1 / 1) 9.44E-01 - 9.44E-01 | |
| BE-7 | 2.50E-01 | 5.49E-01 (1 / 4) 5.49E-01 - 5.49E-01 | TRM 480.0 TRM 480.0 | 5.49E-01 (1 / 2) 5.49E-01 - 5.49E-01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | |
| BI-212 | 4.50E-01 | 1.01E+00 (2 / 4) 8.45E-01 - 1.18E+00 | TRM 479.0 TRM 479.0 | 1.18E+00 (1 / 2) 1.18E+00 - 1.18E+00 | 1.03E+00 (1 / 1) 1.03E+00 - 1.03E+00 | |
| BI-214 | 1.50E-01 | 6.64E-01 (4 / 4) 3.27E-01 - 9.30E-01 | TRM 480.0 TRM 480.0 | 7.25E-01 (2 / 2) 5.19E-01 - 9.30E-01 | 9.09E-01 (1 / 1) 9.09E-01 - 9.09E-01 | |
| K-40 | 7.50E-01 | 2.57E+00 (4 / 4) 1.56E+00 - 4.55E+00 | TRM 479.0 TRM 479.0 | 3.17E+00 (2 / 2) 1.80E+00 - 4.55E+00 | 4.07E+00 (1 / 1) 4.07E+00 - 4.07E+00 | |
| PB-212 | 1.00E-01 | 8.92E-01 (3 / 4) 7.89E-01 - 1.05E+00 | TRM 479.0 TRM 479.0 | 1.05E+00 (1 / 2) 1.05E+00 - 1.05E+00 | 8.93E-01 (1 / 1) 8.93E-01 - 8.93E-01 | |
| PB-214 | 1.50E-01 | 9.36E-01 (3 / 4) 9.09E-01 - 9.78E-01 | TRM 480.0 TRM 480.0 | 9.50E-01 (2 / 2) 9.22E-01 - 9.78E-01 | 1.00E+00 (1 / 1) 1.00E+00 - 1.00E+00 | |
| RA-226 | 1.50E-01 | 4.23E-01 (2 / 4) 3.27E-01 - 5.19E-01 | TRM 480.0 TRM 480.0 | 5.19E-01 (1 / 2) 5.19E-01 - 5.19E-01 | 1 VALUES < LLD | |
| TL-208 | 6.00E-02 | 2.67E-01 (3 / 4) 1.60E-01 - 3.60E-01 | TRM 479.0 TRM 479.0 | 3.60E-01 (1 / 2) 3.60E-01 - 3.60E-01 | 3.00E-01 (1 / 1) 3.00E-01 - 3.00E-01 | |


-81-

Table H-18

Notes: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E-1.
2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Figure H-1


Direct Radiation



Dosimeters are processed quarterly. This chart shows trends in the average measurement for all dosimeters grouped as "on-site" or "off-site". The data from preoperational phase, prior to 1980, show the same trend of "on-site" measurements higher than "off-site" measurements that is observed in current data indicating that the slightly higher "on-site" direct radiation levels are not related to plant operations. The graph shows an overall decrease in quarterly values associated with changes in dosimeter models in 1990 and in 2007. This difference resulted from improvements in dosimeter models and changes in the method for adjusting for background badge readings.

Figure H-2


Radioactivity in Air Filters



As can be seen in the trend plot of gross beta activity, the gross beta levels in air particulates have remained relatively constant with the exception of years when the beta activity was elevated due to fallout from nuclear weapons testing. The data also shows that there is no difference in the gross beta activity levels for sampling conducted at the indicator stations as compared to the control stations.

Figure H-3


Cs-137 in Soil



Cesium-137 was produced by past nuclear weapons testing and is present in almost every environmental soil sample exposed to the atmosphere. The "control" and "indicator" locations have generally trended downward with year-to-year variation, since the end of atmospheric nuclear weapons testing in 1980.

Figure H-4


Gross Beta Activity in Surface Water



As shown in the graph, the gross beta activity in samples from the downstream indicator locations has been essentially the same as the activity in samples from the upstream control locations. The average gross beta activity in these samples has been trending down since the early 1980's.

Figure H-5


Gross Beta Activity in Drinking Water



The average gross beta activity in drinking water samples from the upstream control locations has typically been slightly higher than activity level measured in samples from the downstream indicator locations. The annual average gross beta activity has been relatively constant since the start of plant operations in 1980 and is slightly lower than preoperational levels.

Figure H-6


Radioactivity in Fish



The concentrations of Cs-137 found in fish are consistent with levels present in the Tennessee River due to past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing and operation of other nuclear facilities in the upper reaches of the Tennessee River Watershed. As shown in the graph, the levels of Cs-137 have been decreasing consistent with the overall levels of Cs-137 in the environment.

Figure H-7

Radioactivity in Shoreline Sediment



The Cs-137 present in the shoreline sediments of the Tennessee River system was produced both by past atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons and the operation of other nuclear facilities in the upper reaches of the Tennessee River Watershed. The abnormally high value for the 2009 data from the downstream locations resulted from a problem with one sample collected in April, 2009. The sample was collected during a period of high water levels and was actually surface soil and not the normal shoreline sediment material. This sample contained Cs-137 at a level typical for environmental soil but was much higher than levels normally found in shoreline sediment. This issue was discussed in the 2009 SQN report.