Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Columbia Generating Station

License Renewal Public Hearing

Evening Session

Docket Number: 50-397

Location: Richland, Washington

Date: Tuesday, April 6, 2010

Work Order No.: NRC-146 Pages 1-30

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION
5	LICENSE RENEWAL PROCESS AND
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING MEETING
7	+ + + +
8	6:00 P.M. SESSION
9	TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 2010
10	+ + + +
11	RICHLAND PUBLIC LIBRARY
12	RICHLAND, WASHINGTON
13	+ + + +
14	NRC PERSONNEL:
15	LANCE J. RAKOVAN, Presiding
16	RONALD B. COHEN
17	PAULA E. COOPER
18	DANIEL I. DOYLE
19	EVELYN H. GETTYS
20	A. LOUISE LUND
21	JEFFREY J. RIKHOFF
22	PRESENT FOR WASHINGTON STATE REPRESENTATIVE DOC
23	HASTINGS:
24	BARB LISK, District Director
25	
	NEAL D. CDOSS
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
Į.	COUNTIES ON ENGINEERO

1	T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
2	Opening Comment
3	Lance Rakovan3
4	License Renewal Process and Environmental Scoping
5	Meeting
6	Evelyn Gettys, Safety Project Manager Division
7	of License Renewal7
8	Dan Doyle, Environmental Project Manager15
9	Public Questions22
10	Public Comment
11	Alvin Ankrum, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory21
12	Ed Harrington22
13	Dan Jordheim
14	Gene Kinsey
15	Carrie Mathews
16	Closing28
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	NEAL D. CDOCC

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6:00 p.m.

MR. RAKOVAN: Good evening everyone. My name is Lance Rakovan. I am a communication specialist at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission or NRC as you'll hear it referred to tonight.

For those of you who were here for the afternoon meeting, welcome back. You'll see pretty much the same thing probably tonight. Although I see a number of fresh faces out there so hopefully we'll get some new questions and some new comments.

I'm going to basically be helping keep things on task tonight as your facilitator. The purpose of tonight's meeting is to discuss the license renewal and environmental scoping process for review of the license renewal application for renewal of the operating license for Columbia Generating Station. And of course to provide you with an opportunity to ask questions and make environmental scoping comments.

You're going to hear that term a lot tonight, scoping. We'll be going into a little more detail on that during our presentations but in case you're unfamiliar with it, it basically just means what we should take into the scope of the

environmental review for this license renewal.

I'd like to stress that this is an NRC public meeting. NRC is not part of the Department of Energy. Our mission is to regulate the nation's civilian use of byproduct, source, and special nuclear materials to ensure adequate protection for public health and safety, and to promote the common defense and security and to protect the environment.

While the Department of Energy's overall mission is to advance the national economic and energy security of the United States. To promote scientific and technological innovations in support of that mission and to ensure the environmental cleanup of the national nuclear weapons complex. There are some similarities between what they do and what we do, a lot of differences. But we just wanted to make sure that you were aware NRC is an independent government agency.

For those of you who saw the agenda, the meeting's going to have essentially two parts tonight.

We're going to start out with a few presentations by NRC staff and then effectively we're going to open up the meeting to you. We'll start out to see if you have any questions, specifically clarifying questions on the presentation that we're going to give. And

then after that we're going to turn the microphone over to you to see what kind of environmental scoping comments you have.

We had a number of you that filled out the yellow cards that we asked you to. If you didn't fill one of the cards out and you wish to make a statement, that's okay. You can certainly fill the card out at that point. We ask that you fill these cards out primarily so that we can keep track of who spoke and also to make sure we get we get your name correct on the transcript for tonight's meeting.

And we are transcribing tonight's meeting. That's to make sure whatever environmental scoping comments you make, we have down word-for-word and that's why I'm using a microphone even though you could probably hear me if I were just speaking in a room this size. We'll ask that if you are going to ask a question or make an environmental scoping comment, that you do use a microphone and that you introduce yourself as well. Again just to make sure that we keep a clean transcript and so we make sure we know who's talking at all times.

Something else you can help us do to get a clean transcript is to put any electronic devices you have on vibrate or turn them off. What happened

NEAL R. GROSS

actually while I was standing here giving the opening comments that I did because mine started vibrating as I was giving the opening comments, so I ask that you do the same.

If you noticed on the sign in table on the way in there were copies of the presentation, the agenda, and also a public meeting feedback form. That's something that you can take a moment to fill out, let us know what you thought of tonight's meeting, if you thought it went well, if you have some suggestions on how we can do things better in the future. You can leave that with any of the NRC staff here tonight or you can drop it in the mail after the meeting, postage is free so that'll get to us. And we really do take a look at these and they really do change how we do our meetings. So if you'd take a moment to do that, you would really appreciate it.

We're going to do our best to address any questions you have tonight. We primarily got people here who are familiar with the license renewal and environmental scoping process. If you have a question that's outside of those topicS we may try to take a shot at it, but if we don't have the best person to address your question here, we'll get your information and we'll find the right person to get back to you

NEAL R. GROSS

after the meeting.

For those of you who haven't found the restrooms, if you head back and pretend like you're about to enter the library proper, if you will, they're on your right, just in case you need one of those.

I'd like to take a moment to introduce a few of the staff members here today. Evelyn Gettys, is the Safety Project Manager for this review and one of our presenters.

Also Dan Doyle is the Environmental Project Manager and he's our other presenter tonight.

I'd also like to introduce Louise Lund, she's one of our managers in license renewal at the NRC.

And finally Mahdi Hayes -- he had to leave? Okay. Sorry. All right. He was our Resident Inspector, apparently he had to duck out.

With that I will turn this over to Evelyn.

We'll ask that you hold any questions you have until

both Evelyn and Dan have had a chance to speak and

that way we could open the floor to questions and

comments. So Evelyn, if you would?

MS. GETTYS: Good Evening. Can you hear me okay

My name is Evelyn Gettys and I'm the Safety Project Manager for the Division of License Renewal. I'll be coordinating safety reviews and I'd like to thank you all for coming out tonight.

Tonight we will provide an overview of the license renewal process, which includes extensive safety review and environmental review.

We will describe to you the numerous ways and opportunities the public can participate in the Columbia License Renewal process through either the safety or the environmental component. We will also describe in more details, the environmental review process associated with a license renewal review. But the most important part of tonight's meeting is to receive your comments that you may have on the scoping of the environmental review.

I hope that the information we provide to you will be helpful in the roles that you play in the process. This will help us to ensure that our environmental review considers relevant information.

Before I get into the discussion of the license renewal process, I'd like to take a minute to talk about NRC in terms of what we do and what our mission is.

The NRC is a federal agency. It was

NEAL R. GROSS

established by the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 and we regulate the civilian use of nuclear materials. The Atomic Energy Act of 1954 authorized NRC to grant 40 years operating license to nuclear reactors. This 40 year term was based primarily on economic reasons and antitrust factors and monitoring safety or technical limitations.

The National Environmental Policy of 1969 established a national policy for considering the federal decision impact of making human environment. The NRC's regulatory governing nuclear security and environmental protection safety, in Title 10 in the Code contained of Federal Regulations, which is commonly referred to as 10 CFR.

In exercising its regulatory authority, the NRC's mission is three-fold: To ensure adequate protection of public health and safety; to promote the common defense in security, and; to protect NRC accomplishes its mission through a environment. combination of regulatory programs and processes, such Establishing rules and regulations; conducting as: inspections; ensuring enforcement action; assessing performance, evaluating license and; operating experience from nuclear plants across the country and internationally.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has resident inspectors at all the operating nuclear plants. These inspectors are considered the eyes and ears of the NRC. They carry out our safety mission on a daily basis and are on the front lines of ensuring acceptable safety performances in compliance with our regulations.

would like to mention a Ι few important areas in NRC oversight that routinely come up during our interactions with the members of the public. The NRC staff addresses these areas everyday the ongoing performance on regulatory oversights provided for all current operating power reactors. They include: Current safety performances; emergency planning, and; security. For information on current performance of Columbia use the link provided on the slide and this is also in your handout.

The NRC monitors and provides regulatory oversight of activities in these areas on an ongoing basis. That's not to say that they aren't important, but we just don't duplicate the regulatory process in the area of license renewal.

The NRC received application for the license renewal of Columbia on January the $20^{\rm th}$, 2010. The current operating license for Columbia expires in

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2023. A licensee can submit an application for license renewal after 20 years of operation. The length of a license cannot exceed 40 years.

The first step of the license renewal process is to perform an acceptance and sufficiency review on the application. When the NRC receives a license renewal application, the NRC staff examines it to determine whether the application has sufficient information to justify the staff's review. The staff looks to see whether the applicant has provided enough in terms of the technical information, the technical specifications, and the environmental report so that staff can begin its work. If the applicant has enough in it to warrant the staff's review, then application is considered acceptable and sufficient and is put on the NRC's formal docket.

The Columbia application was found acceptable and placed on the docket on March the $11^{\rm th}$ of this year.

This is a simplified diagram about license renewal process. A license renewal involves two parallel reviews, the safety review and the environmental review. These two reviews evaluate separate aspects of the license renewal application. There are other considerations in the Commission's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

decision on whether or not to renew the operating license. One of these considerations is the independent review of the Advisory Committee Reactor Safeguards. It was mandated by the Atomic Energy Act of 1964. and the ACRS is a group of scientists and nuclear safety experts who serve as a consulting body to the Commission. The ACRS reviews application, the NRC license renewal safety evaluation and the inspection findings. findings and ACRS reports their recommendations directly to the Commission.

also be conducted if Hearings may interested stakeholders submit concerns or contentions and their request for a hearing is granted. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will conduct the The Commission considers the outcome of the hearing process and its decision on whether or not to operating license. renew the As part of the staff environmental review, the consults with local state, federal, and travel officials and the staff holds public meetings to receive comments on the draft Environmental Impact Statement.

The licensing renewal process is designed to take 22 months and that leaves 30 months if there is a hearing.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To better understand the license renewal process it is good to know the safety principles that guide license renewal.

The first principle is that the current regulating process is adequate to ensure that the license basis of all operating plants provide and maintain an acceptable level of safety.

The second principle is that the current plant licensing date must be maintained during the renewed term in the same manner and to the same extent as during the original licensing. In other words, the same rules that apply under the current license will apply to a renewed term.

In addition a renewed license will include conditions that must be met to ensure aging of structures and components and components of safety is adequately managed so that the plant's current license basis is maintained during the period of extended operations.

The safety review focuses on the aging of passive and long lived structures and components and systems that the NRC deem important to plant safety. There are safety-related system structures and components, nonsafety-related system structures and component whose failure could prevent the satisfactory

NEAL R. GROSS

accomplishments of safety-related systems, structures, and components functions. The SSCs relied on perform analysis to а function demonstrates compliance with regulation 54.4(a)(3) Fire protection, environmental qualification, thermal shock, anticipated transits pressurized without scram and station blackouts.

The staff's main objective in its review is to determine if the effects of aging would be adequately managed by the applicant. The results of the safety review are documented in the safety evaluation report, or an SER.

The safety review comprises numerous, vigorous aspects. The technical staff reviews the applicant's license renewal application and supporting documents. The staff uses site audits to verify the technical basis of the license renewal application and to confirm that the applicant's aging management programs and activities conform with how they are described in the application. The staff documents the basis and conclusions of its review in the safety evaluation or the SER.

In addition, a team of specialized inspectors travel to the site to verify the aging management programs are being implemented, modified,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

planned consistent with the license 1 or renewal 2 application. Finally, as I have mentioned, the ACRS 3 4 performs an independent review of the license renewal application, the staff's safety evaluation report with 5 respect to the findings and makes their recommendation 6 7 to the Commission regarding the proposed action to 8 issue a renewed operating license. 9 The staff will have several opportunities 10 to participate and be heard during the license renewal 11 process. This slide lists the three opportunities for involvement through the safety track of the process. 12 This concludes the description of 13 14 safety review. Now I'd like to turn it over so that Mr. 15 16 Doyle can explain the environmental 17 process. MR. DOYLE: Thank you, Evelyn. 18 Good evening. My name is Dan Doyle. 19 the Environmental Project Manager for this project. 20 21 I'm going to take few minutes to describe 22 environmental review process and how today's meeting fits into that. 23 24 This review is performed in accordance 25 with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 or

NEPA. The NEPA established the basic national environmental policy of the United States. It also requires that federal agencies prepare an Environmental Impact Statement or EIS to assess both the impacts and the reasonable alternative to any major federal action that may significantly effect the quality of the human environment.

NEPA requires that all federal agencies follow an objective interdisciplinary and systematic approach in preparing an EIS.

Columbia EIS will contain The strong disclosure positions which inform decision makers and the public of the impacts and reasonable alternatives to the proposal. This EIS will assess the impact of both license renewal and reasonable the the license renewal, including alternatives to alternative of taking no action which in this case would be to deny license renewal.

The NRC has issued its own agency specific regulations for implementing NEPA which are contained in 10 CFR Part 51.

This slide shows the major milestones in the environmental review process.

The yellow boxes represent opportunities for public participation. The NRC issued a public

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS on March $11^{\rm th}$, 2010.

This meeting today is the second milestone, the public scoping meeting to solicit comments.

Next the NRC staff will prepare a draft Environmental Impact Statement which will then be issued for public comment including a meeting similar to today's. The schedule currently planning to issue the draft document in December of this year and we would have a public meeting, similar to today's, in January 2011.

All comments received will be considered in the preparation of a final document which will then be issued for public review. This final document will be an important part of the decision making process for whether or not to renew the operating license. You all can play an important role in helping us ensure that the final Environmental Impact Statement is accurate and complete. The purpose of today's meeting is to solicit your input and comments regarding the scope of the impact alternative and issues that the EIS should evaluate. We are not here make a decision regarding Columbia's license renewal application. The scoping process is

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

specifically intended to solicit comments regarding the scope of the actions, the impact, and the alternatives.

For a license renewal review, the NRC environmental staff looks at a wide range of impacts. Additionally, we consult with various federal, state, and local officials, as well as leaders of Indian We gather pertinent information from these sources and ensure that it's considered in For example, we have formal consultations analysis. with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Environmental Protection Agency, and the State Historic Preservation Office, among others.

This slide illustrates the NRC's various considerations for deciding if a renewed operating license will be issued. It is a rigorous review involving a safety evaluation report that Evelyn discussed, regional inspections, and so on.

Also as indicated on the slide, public comments are an important part of the environmental review process. We consider all of the comments that we receive during the scoping process as part of preparing the Environmental Impact Statement.

In general, we are looking for information about the environmental impact for the continued

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

operation of Columbia. You can assist us in that 1 2 process by telling us for example: What aspects of your local community we 3 4 should focus on; local environmental social 5 What and economic issues the NRC should examine during our 6 7 environmental review, and; What reasonable alternatives are 8 most 9 appropriate for this region. 10 These are just some examples of the input 11 we're looking for and they represent the kinds of 12 information we seek through the environmental scoping process. We don't know your community like you do so 13 14 your comments tonight will provide insight and ensure a thorough review. 15 you submit comments? 16 So how do Ιn addition to the opportunity to provide verbal and 17 this meeting today, there's 18 written comments at 19 several other ways you can submit comments for our 20 environmental review process. 21 You can provide written comments by mail 22 to the Chief of our Rulemaking and Directive Branch at the address provided on the slide, which is also in 23 24 your handout.

may also submit comments via the

federal rulemaking website, regulations.gov. To find this document on that website, you can search for the docket ID that's shown on the screen or you can also do a search at the website for Columbia Generating Station and there will be a link and instructions about how to submit comments to that.

You can also send comments to me using my work email provided at the end of this presentation. But the preferred method if via mail or the website, regulations.gov.

As I mentioned, the deadline for submitting comments is May $14^{\rm th}$, 2010.

This slide shows important milestones for the environmental review process. These are the primary opportunities for you, the public, to participate in the Columbia license renewal review.

The opportunity to submit contentions for a hearing closes on May $14^{\rm th}$.

For environmental scoping comments, you also have until May $14^{\rm th}$, if you chose not submit a comment today.

Please note that a draft Environmental Impact Statement is scheduled to be issued for public comment in December of 2010, with an associated public meeting to receive your comments on the preliminary

NEAL R. GROSS

conclusions in that document in January 2011.

Here's the contact information for myself and Evelyn, we are the primary points of contact for this review.

Also the Richland Public Library and Kennewick branch of the Mid-Columbia library have both agreed to make license renewal documents available for public inspection. The draft and final Environmental Impact Statements, when they're published will be available at these libraries also. These documents will also be available on the NRC's website shown at the bottom of the page.

As you came in you were asked to fill out a registration card at our reception table. If you've included your email address on that card, we will send you an electronic copy of the draft and final EIS.

In the back of the room there's a stack of CDs, they include the entire license renewal application as well as our guidance documents and various public information back sheets. Feel free to take a copy of that if you would like one of those.

This concludes my remarks.

 $\label{eq:And I will now turn it back over to Lance} % \begin{center} \end{center} % \begin{ce$

MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. We'd like to

transition now just to see if any of you have clarifying questions on the license renewal process for the environmental scoping process that Dan and Evelyn just went over. If you do, just raise your hand or you can, you know, come up to the microphone here or I've got a handheld that I can bring you. If there's any questions before we transition to scoping comments. Any questions at this point?

Okay. Keep in mind this is not the only time that you can do so. If you feel more comfortable having a one-on-one conversation with an NRC staffer once the meeting has adjourned, anybody with a name tag you can approach, ask a question. If they're not the right person to help you, they'll be more than happy to find the right person to.

So right now I'm going to go ahead and move on to the yellow cards that I have. Again, for those of you who filled out your yellow cards to let us know that you're interested in speaking tonight, I appreciate that. If you decide that you would like to speak and you haven't filled out a yellow card, that's okay too. We'll allow that once I get through the cards that I have.

So in the order that I have them here, I'd like to start first with Alvin Ankrum and then move on

NEAL R. GROSS

to Ed Harrington and Dan Jordheim.

So Alvin please?

MR. ANKRUM: Thank you, Lance.

As you mentioned my name is Al. And I work at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. I would just like to submit a statement in favor of renewing the operating license for Columbia Generating Station. They've been a conscientious neighbor and a good resident of this community supplying reliable electricity. And a good employer. And at the heart of the foundation for the economic well being as this community.

Thank you.

MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. Let's move on to Ed Harrington please. Then Dan Jordheim and Gene Kinsey.

MR. HARRINGTON: Well I didn't really know what the content of this meeting was going to be but I've got four years of my professional life invested in that plant. And I have a tendency to be a little protective of it.

I didn't build it to last just 40 years, our intent was to put up an absolute perfect plant in every step of the way. And we think we turned out a good product.

And I'm sure that you'll continue to give

us a good investigation before you relicense it, we encourage that. But just believe that it was a great plant when we built it.

Thank you.

MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. Dan?

MR. JORDHEIM: I'm Dan Jordheim.

As a Tri-City's resident one of the things
I love to brag about to people from out of state is
that my power company, that delivers power to my
house, tells me that 95 percent of the power delivered
to my house comes from non-green house gas, non-global
warming sources. And that's something we're proud of
and I'd like to see continue. Ten percent of that
comes from the Columbia Generating Station, so it
seems appropriate to me that the Environmental Impact
Statement's side of this incorporates some positive
aspects of the non-global greenhouse gas side of it.

The other part, just to comment on my part, Columbia's about 20 miles that way and my house is about five miles that way. Which means that where my daughter lays her head to sleep each night is 25 or 30 miles from the Columbia plant. And the people that operate this plant have shown me for some two or three decades now that I can trust them with my daughter's life.

Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. The last yellow card that I have is for Gene Kinsey.

MR. KINSEY: I'm Gene Kinsey. Can you hear me?

I was a welder at this plant years ago when they were building it.

I've got to be able to see who I'm talking to.

So if you don't mind I'm going to read a statement that I -- and anybody, you know, if you want to ask me questions about it later on to, your welcome. But here's what I wrote, I says: In my view of this event, I can truly say that the license and continued operation of renewal the Energy Northwest facility is reasonable to expect. I am not only in favor of the license renewal, I believe that it would be prudent to add other nuclear plants on this 500 plus square miles of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation.

In the nuclear energy field, we as a nation have only scratched the surface of using this energy to provide a larger and more useful source for public use. When you realize that a pellet, a little larger than the eraser an the end of a pencil, has the

ultimate energy of a ton of coal. If this little pellet is recycled to its fullest energy content, the unusable residue would fit on the head of a straight pin.

Somewhere in our future the truth will emerge regarding this powerful energy resource. In the early days of electrical energy, there was strong resistance to its use. Electrocution by accident or on purpose created a huge fear factor. We know today that electrical energy in the hands of professional and responsible people, like those at Energy Northwest I could say, can create wonders today that people in our nation's early history vaguely dreamed of.

The future of our country abounds with opportunities in the nuclear industry. Nuclear energy can be the door opener for hydrogen as fuel. Too many times the political influence, environmental concerns, and financial history have been twisted and used to slow the progress towards putting this energy source into action. With nuclear power the coal mines and oil wells of tomorrow can come from the air we breathe and the water we drink. If you do not believe this look up, Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis, and I'd encourage you to look at that and talk to a real scientist about that process.

NEAL R. GROSS

My life is proof that a person can live, work, and retire healthy in the nuclear industry. This is not to say that mistakes have not been made but Energy Northwest and others should abound with a bright future on the Hanford Nuclear Reservation.

Thank you for listening.

MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. I'd like to offer up the microphone to anyone here who has -- please, of you would, if you could let us know who you are and who you're with? And if you'd like you can come up and use this microphone, it's much easier for addressing the crowd.

MS. MATHEWS: Hi. My name is Carrie Mathews and I work at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

And I just wanted to pointed kind of a unique situation in the Tri-City that may not exist in other places with nuclear plants. And that situation is that we have a laboratory, which is pursuing research and development and projects which improve non-filtration and nuclear security around the world. And we also have AREVA's fuel fabrication plant, which is producing nuclear fuel for boiling water reactors.

And the nexus of these three facilities

NEAL R. GROSS

28 and capabilities creates an unique opportunity for us to reach out to states around the world who are going to introduce nuclear power and there are a lot of them in regions of a somewhat sense of instability and insecurity. And so we really need to be engaging them to help them do it right and learn from the mistakes that have been made people with a lot of experience. So I'm going to say that Energy Northwest has been extremely helpful in opening their plant for tours and providing lectures and assisting in the outreach to countries that are going to introduce

nuclear power, to help them learn how to operate them safely and securely and to safeguard nuclear material.

So I am just very supportive of the plant and the people that work there and the regulatory process and I'm encouraging, you know, that we move this forward.

So thanks for your attention.

MR. RAKOVAN: Anyone else like sometime at the microphone tonight?

Okay. I will go ahead and turn it over to Louise then to close out the meeting.

MS. LUND: I'd like to thank everybody for coming to our meeting tonight.

And, you know, I just wanted to mention

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we've realized since over half of the operating nuclear reactors, which probably a lot of you know there's a 104, and I think we've got a pretty good idea what it takes to put together robust aging management programs.

You know we're right at the beginning of this license renewal process and our focus is going to be on making sure that the aging management programs that are put into place are robust and position the plant to successfully go into the period of extended operation if renewed. So you're going to be seeing a lot of audits and inspections, and a lot of activity from our staff out here in the local area.

And I also wanted to say is, you know, I know a lot of you folks are living around here. I used to work at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory as well and this had a lot of meaning for me because as some of you know in between the afternoon meeting and the meeting tonight, I was meeting with my stepdaughter and her husband and kids. And they live in Finley, right outside of Kennewick so, you know, it's very important to me that the plant operate safely. It's important to the agency. It's important to me personally.

So, you know, we've got a job ahead of

NEAL R. GROSS

1 ourselves, you know in doing the environmental review 2 and doing a safety review and we're going to get 3 started. Anyway thank you for your attention and 5 thank you for coming out. I also wanted to recognize Paula who's 6 been very good about moving our slides forward. 8 Victor Dricks who came in from Region IV, he's the Public Affairs Officer. And Jeff Rikhoff who is our 9 senior environmental staff member here too. So if you 10 11 have any questions Jeff and Victor, and Lance and Evelyn and Dan and Paula, we're all here to answer 12 13 questions afterwards. 14 So thank you very much and have a good evening. 15 (Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 16 6:40 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25