









2. Design Completion Plan







The following will be available for the NRC during the first part of procurement phase (prior to material procurement) to close "Design ITAAC":

- 1. "Stress Reports" for the remaining low risk PSCs
- 2. "Environment Fatigue Analyses" for the remaining low risk Class 1 PSCs
- 3. "Pipe Break Hazard Analyses" for the remaining piping

Design Reconciliation during Construction

During the construction phase, as-built PSCs will be reconciled with the following information to close "Construction ITAAC":

- 1. ASME Certified Design Specification (CDS)
- 2. ASME Certified Design Report
- 3. LBB Evaluation Report
- 4. Pipe Break Hazard Analysis Report

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

UAP-HF-09526-14

A	vailat	ole D	ocume	nts fo	or PS	C Des	ign (
		Phase			Design F	hase (During DCD F	Review)	
Piping Systems and Components			Design Specifications	Stress Report	Environmental Fatigue Analysis	LBB Analysis	Pipe Break Hazard Analysis	
	Components			x	x	x	NA	NA
I		Ri	sk significant	x	X	x	NA	NA NA NA X X* NA
ASME Class CS	Valves		Low risk	x	Х*	X*	NA	NA
& 1	Piping	Ri	sk significant	x	x	x	x	x
		Low risk		x	Х*	X*	NA	X*
		Risk significant	Representative Item (CS/RHR Heat Exchanger, ESWP Outlet Strainer)	x	x	NA	NA	NA
	Components		Others	x	x	NA	NA	NA
		Low risk	Representative Item (Accumulator)	x	x	NA	NA	NA
ASME Class 2 & 3	Ì		Others	x	Х*	NA	NA	NA
	Valves	Ri	sk significant	x	Х	NA	NA	NA
I	Valves		Low risk	x	Х*	NA	NA	NA
		Risk	Representative Item (MS piping)	x	x	NA	x	X*
ļ	Piping	significant	Others	x	х	NA	NA	X*
	l		Low risk	x	Х*	NA	NA	X*

* Prior to material procurement

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.



	Proposed Revision o (All proposed	of DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.3-2 d changes are in Blue.)
Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
1.a The ASME Code Section III, Class 1 piping systems and components (PSC) are designed to retain their pressure integrity and functional capability under internal design and operating pressures and design basis loads.	1.a <u>.i</u> An inspection of the stress report for the <u>risk-significant</u> ASME Code, Section III, Class 1 PSC will be performed.	1.a <u>.i</u> The stress report(s) exist and conclude that the design of the <u>risk-significant</u> ASME Code Section III Class 1 PSC comply with the requirements of the ASME Code Section III.
	<u>1.a.ii An inspection of the stress report</u> <u>for low risk ASME Code Section</u> <u>III, Class 1 PSC will be</u> <u>performed.</u>	1.a.ii The stress report(s) exist and conclude that the design of low risk ASME Code Section III Class 1 PSC comply with the requirements of ASME Code Section III.

- The ITAAC entry 1.a.i is expected to be removed after the NRC's audit in 2010 once satisfied.
- The ITAAC entry 1.a.ii will be closed during the procurement phase.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

UAP-HF-09526-18

US • APWR

ITAAC Closure Plan (2/3) (Design ITAAC for Stress Report of Class 2&3 PSC)

DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.3-2

	Design Commitment		nspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria		
3.	The ASME Code Section III, Class 2 and 3 piping systems and components (PSC) are designed to retain their pressure integrity and functional capability under internal design and operating pressures and design basis loads.	3.i	An inspection of the stress report for the risk-significant ASME Code, Section III, Class 2 and 3 PSC will be performed.	3.i	The stress report(s) exist and conclude that the design of the risk- significant ASME Code Section III Class 2 and 3 PSC comply with the requirements of ASME Code Section III.	
		3.ii	An inspection of the stress report for low risk ASME Code Section III, Class 2 and 3 PSC will be performed.	3.ii	The stress report(s) exist and conclude that the design of low risk ASME Code Section III Class 2 and 3 PSC comply with the requirements of ASME Code Section III.	

- The ITAAC entry 3.i is expected to be removed after the NRC's audit in 2010 once satisfied.
- The ITAAC entry 3.ii will be closed during the procurement phase.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

ITAAC Closure Plan (3/3) (Example of Construction ITAAC for Design Report)

Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria			
2.a.ii The ASME Code Section III components of the ECCS identified in Table 2.4.4-2 are reconciled with the design requirements.	2.a.ii A reconciliation analysis of the components using as-designed and as-built information and ASME Code Section III design report(s) (NCA-3550) will be performed.	2.a.ii The ASME Code Section III design report(s) (certified, when required by ASME Code) exist and conclude that the as-built ASME Code Section III components of the ECCS identified in Table 2.4.4-2 are reconciled with the design requirements. The report documents the results of the reconciliation analysis.			
2.b.ii The ASME Code Section III piping of the ECCS, including supports, identified in Table 2.4.4-3 are reconciled with the design requirements.	2.b.ii A reconciliation analysis of the piping of the ECCS, including supports, using as-designed and as-built information and ASME Code Section III design report(s) (NCA-3550) will be performed.	2.b.ii The ASME Code Section III design report(s) (certified, when required by ASME Code) exist and conclude that the as-built ASME Code Section III piping of the ECCS, including supports, identified in Table 2.4.4-3 is reconciled with the design requirements. The report documents the results of the reconciliation analysis.			

Such ITAAC will be closed during the construction phase.

UAP-HF-09526-20

📩 MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

<image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><image><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>

ITAAC Closure Plan (1/5) (Proposed Design ITAAC for Environmental Fatigue Analysis)

Proposed Revision of DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.3-2 (All proposed changes are in Blue.)

	Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
1.b	The usage factors for ASME Code Section III Class 1 <u>PSC</u> are evaluated for both air and reactor coolant environments.	1.b <u>.i</u> An analysis of the <u>risk-significant</u> ASME Code, Section III, Class 1 <u>PSC</u> will be performed.	1.b.i Report(s) exist and conclude that the usage factors for <u>risk-</u> <u>significant</u> ASME Code Section III Class 1 <u>PSC</u> are evaluated for air and reactor coolant environments.
		<u>1.b.ii An analysis of the low risk ASME</u> <u>Code. Section III. Class 1 PSC</u> <u>will be performed.</u>	1.b.ii Report(s) exist and conclude that the usage factors for low risk ASME Code Section III Class 1 PSC are evaluated for air and reactor coolant environments.

- The ITAAC entry 1.b.i is expected to be removed after the NRC's audit in 2010 once satisfied.
- The ITAAC entry 1.b.ii will be closed during the procurement phase.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

UAP-HF-09526-22

APWR

ITAAC Closure Plan (2/5) (Design ITAAC for LBB Analysis) DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.3-2

	Design Commitment		Inspections, Tests, Analyses		Acceptance Criteria		
2.	RCPB and MSS piping systems are designed in accordance with the LBB method.	2.	A LBB analysis using the LBB method will be performed for each RCPB and MSS piping system.	2.	The results of the LBB analysis conclude that the stress values conform to the LBB acceptance criteria using the LBB assumptions.		

The ITAAC entry is expected to be removed after the NRC's audit in 2010 once satisfied.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

ITAAC Closure Plan (3/5) (Example of Construction ITAAC for LBB Analysis)

DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.4.4-5

	Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses		Acceptance Criteria
13.	Each of the as-built piping identified in Table 2.4.4-3 as designed for LBB meets the LBB criteria, or an evaluation is performed of the protection from the dynamic effects of a rupture of the line.	13. Inspections of the as-built piping will be performed based on the evaluation report for LBB or the protection from dynamic effects of a pipe break, as specified in Section 2.3.	13.	The LBB acceptance criteria are met by the as-built piping and pipe materials, or the protection is provided for the dynamic effects of the piping break.

Such ITAAC will be closed during the construction phase.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

UAP-HF-09526-24

	Proposed Revision of DCD Rev. 2 Tier 1 Table 2.3-2 (All proposed changes are in Blue.)							
	Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria					
<u>4.</u>	Safety-related SSCs are protected against or qualified to withstand the dynamic and environmental effects associated with analyses of postulated failures in high-energy piping and moderate piping systems.	<u>4.i</u> <u>Dynamic effect analysis will be</u> <u>performed for the high-energy</u> <u>piping system. The analysis</u> <u>includes the evaluation of pipe</u> <u>whip and jet impingement.</u>	4.i Report(s) exist and conclude that for each postulated piping failure, the reactor can be shut down safely and maintained in a safe, cold shutdown condition without offsite power. The report confirms whether (A) piping stresses in the containment penetration area are within allowable stress limits, (B) pipe whip restraints and jet shield designs can mitigate pipe break loads, (C) loads on safety-related SSCs are within design load limits.					
		4.ii Environmental effect analysis will be performed for the high- energy piping and moderate- energy piping systems. The analysis includes the evaluation for spray wetting. flooding, environmental conditions, as appropriate.	4.ii Report(s) exist and conclude that for each postulated piping failure, the reactor can be shut down safely and maintained in a safe, cold shutdown condition without offsite power. The report confirms whether SSCs are protected or qualified to withstand the environmental effects of postulated failures.					

This ITAAC will be closed during the procurement phase.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.





_				•	

		Phase		Design Phase (During DCD Review)					
Piping Systems and Components				Design Specifications	Stress Report	Environmental Fatigue Analysis	LBB Analysis	Pipe Break Hazard Analysis	
	Components			3/2009	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	
	Mahaa	Ri	sk significant	12/2009	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	
ASME Class CS	Valves	Low risk		12/2009	(3)	(3)	NA	NA	
& 1	Piping	Risk significant		3/2009	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	12/2009 ⁽⁴⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	
			Low risk	12/2009	(3)	(3)	NA	NA (3)	
	Components		Risk significant	Representative Item (CS/RHR Heat Exchanger, ESWP Outlet Strainer)	12/2009	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	NA
		Components	Others	12/2009	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	NA	
ASME				Low risk	Representative Item (Accumulator)	3/2009	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA
Class 2 & 3			Others	12/2009	(3)	NA	NA	NA	
	Values	Risk significant		12/2009	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	NA	
	vaives		Low risk	12/2009	(3)	NA	NA	Pipe Break Hazard Analysis NA NA 12/2009 ⁽⁴⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾ (3) NA NA NA NA NA NA (3) (3) (3)	
		Risk	Representative Item (MS piping)	3/2009	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	12/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 12/2010 ⁽²⁾	(3)	
	Piping	significant	Others	12/2009	12/2010 ⁽²⁾	NA	NA	NA NA 12/2009 (4) 12/2010 (2) (3) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA (3) (3)	
			Low risk		(3)	NA	NA	(3)	

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

Proposed Audit Plan for PSC Design

Because of no change in the design criteria and methodology between DCD Rev. 1 and Rev. 2, MHI proposes an NRC audit as early as January 2010 for the representative PSCs (i.e. PSCs provided in the submitted Technical Reports in March and May 2009). MHI wants NRC feedback so it can be incorporated into the DCD. MHI believes such an approach will facilitate the NRC's review and maintain the current review schedule.

> Following documents will be available for January 2010 audit:

- ✓ Design Specifications
- ✓ Stress Reports (based on DCD Revision 1 inputs)
- ✓ Additional Reports (based on DCD Revision 1 inputs)
 - ✓ Environmental Fatigue Analysis for all of the risk-significant Class 1 PSCs
 - ✓ LBB Analysis for all of the risk-significant piping
 - ✓ Pipe Break Hazard Analysis (Detailed methodology of "Pipe Break Hazard Analysis" for a representative risk-significant Class 1 piping)
- ✓ Verification results for Computer Codes used for the analyses

> DCD revision 2 reports will be available by the end of 2010.

📩 MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.

UAP-HF-09526-30

<image> Conclusions • MHI's updated PSC design completion plan following the DCD Rev. 2 is presented using a graded approach. • Design ITAAC will remain for certain low risk PSC. However, most of the Design ITAAC entries for risk-significant PSCs are expected to be removed during the DCD review phase. • MHI proposes an audit of the design criteria and methodology of the PSC stress reports beginning in January 2010 for representative PSCs. • Additional design information using DCD Rev. 2 will be available for audit at the end of 2010. • MHI believes such an approach will facilitate the NRC's review and maintain the current review schedule.

MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.