- 1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIÓN
12	PUBLIC MEETING
13	AUGUST 27, 2009
14	HILO HIGH SCHOOL LIBRARY
15	HILO, HAWAII
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: NANCY P. BLANKENSHIP, CSR NO. 459
25	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER

ı	·
1	APPEARANCES:
2	KU'UMEAALOHA GOMES, MODERATOR
3	
4	NRC:
5	KEITH McCONNELL
6	REBECCA TADESSE
7	JOHN HAYES
8	CHRISTEPHER McKENNEY
9	SARAH MICHONSKI
10	JOHN HULL
11	DAVE McINTYRE
12	JACK WHITTEN
13	BOB EVANS
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MS. GOMES: Hello everybody, welcome to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's public meeting tonight, which they will share with you their process -- the processes that they are responsible for relative to the application for license of possession. This is an extremely informative panel of people here tonight and they'll be sharing a lot of information with you.

At the first part of the meeting they'll have a slide presentation and go over that -- go over their information. Following that is a public comment period. If you want to comment, there are yellow cards there on the table. Please fill them out so that I can call you when it's your turn and we'll go according to when you signed up for it. So each card will be numbered. So please put your name up there.

Now, we will need to be out of here by 8:30. Okay. So all the comments will stop at 8:30. We will not go beyond that. NRC has an agreement with Hilo High School that they will clean everything up and be out of here by 8:45, 9:00 around there. So we will need to stop at 8:30. So I ask for your cooperation with that. Please help us with that. So we can be out of here on time.

I also ask that -- and I know that it will be -- I know that our people, our Kamali'i folks, I know that folks who live here have a real attachment to this island, and protection and preservation of it. So I know things can get emotional, but I ask you in your comments to please be very respectful. Okay.

So without further ado, then I'm going to turn it over to Keith McConnell from the NRC who's going to start off. And it was suggested last night, too, that they introduce themselves and talk a little bit more about their qualifications, their professional background. So they will be doing that also. Okay. Thank you.

1.3

MR. McCONNELL: Thank you. And welcome, everybody. On behalf of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, I do welcome you here tonight to this meeting on the Army's application to the NRC to possess depleted uranium. Okay.

So, again, welcome, but we are here to discuss the Army's application to the NRC for the possession of depleted uranium at the Pahakuloa and Schoffield Village firing range. As indicated, my name is Keith McConnell. I'm deputy director for decommissioning and uranium recovery licensing at the

1 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. And by way of 2 background, my qualifications -- professional 3 qualifications include I have a Ph.D. in geology from 4 the University of South Carolina, I'm a registered 5 professional geologist, I have 25 years' experience 6 with the NRC in a wide variety of positions, mostly 7 in the decommissioning uranium recovery and waste 8 management areas, and I've served as a senior 9 technical advisor to the chairman of the U.S. Nuclear 10 Regulatory Commission. Actually several chairmen of 11 the NRC. 12 We are here tonight to involve you, 13 the members of the public, in our licensing process. 14 The Commission has a policy of openness and transparency in its licensing process. One of the 15 16 mechanisms we use to involve the public is when we 17 get an application like this that we come out to 18 community and have public meetings so that we can 19 hear your comments, hear your concerns and try to 20 answer any questions that you might have about the 21 NRC and our process. I note that we are very early 2.2 in our review process for this application, so mostly 23

what you're going to hear tonight is about what NRC

is, what it does and how we review the license

24

25

application.

I think, Chris, if you could go to that slide. The approach we are going to take is to have a short series of presentations that introduce you to the NRC. We don't have a large presence in the Island and so we think it's important for you -for us to help you understand what we do and how we One thing I would like to make clear up front is we aren't part of the Department of Defense. are not part of the Department of Energy. We are a separate independent regulatory body that was created by Congress in the mid 1970s solely for the purpose of regulating the civilian use of radioactive materials. So our sole function is regulating the civilian use of nuclear materials and that extends into possession only licenses for depleted uranium.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to give you some idea of the scope of the NRC's activities, we license operating nuclear power plants, we license medical facilities that use radioactive material, along with our agreement with state partners, radioactive material in diagnostic and therapeutic testing in hospitals. We license uranium recovery facilities that basically produce uranium. Most of those occur out in the western United States. And, finally, we also license the possession of what we call source material, which

is in -- in short terms uranium and thorium.

So what we are going to do is obviously the action precipitating our presence here tonight is the application for the Army to possess depleted uranium. We are going to give you some background on the NRC, but just in kind of advance of that I will explain that the NRC is an organization of about three to 4,000 people, staff members, based largely in Rockville, Maryland. We have four regional offices, one near Philadelphia, one in Arlington, Texas, one in Atlanta, Georgia and one in Chicago, Illinois.

We are headed by a five member commission who are political appointees. One of those is the chair of the Commission. They serve five-year terms and the terms are staggered, and usually the party that holds the presidential office has the majority of the members on the Commission.

So in the current state, it would normally be three democrats on the commission and two republics.

Because of some vacancies on the Commission there are actually two republicans and one democrat and our chairman is Chairman Jazcko. So we will provide a little bit more background on the NRC in another presentation. We will provide discussions on our

licensing, inspection and enforcement process. We
will provide an overview of the Army's application to
us. We will identify opportunities in the future for
the public to comment on in our licensing process,
beyond this meeting. There are other opportunities.

1.5

And then, finally, at the end of our presentations, we will open it up for comments and questions. I would reiterate that this is an informal meeting. It's not a hearing in our sense. The hearing process at the NRC is a separate process that's run by a separate independent group of judges that runs the hearings.

Okay. So what I'll do now is just introduce the NRC staff that's present here tonight. We brought a large group, because we wanted to make sure that we can answer the range of questions that might come up in a meeting like this. I would introduce Rebecca Tadesse. Rebecca is our materials decommissioning branch chief and it's in that branch where the license application will be reviewed. We have Jack or John Hayes. He goes by either. He's the project manager for the license review and he'll be talking about the Army's application. We have got Rob Evans. He's from our region IV office in Arlington, Texas. He'll talk about our inspection

1 process.

Also in the audience -- oh, and I'm sorry, Chris McKenney. I almost forgot you, Chris. He's the chief of the performance assessment branch and Chris will talk about radiation and in particular depleted uranium and its hazards. And then also in the audience we have Jack Whitten, branch chief for our nuclear decommissioning inspection program in region IV, John Hull from our office of general counsel, Dave McIntyre from our office of public affairs and behind the pillar, which I can't see, is Sarah Michonski, who is a licensing assistant at NRC and is helping us with the organizational aspects of the meeting. So with that, I'll turn it over to Rebecca.

MS. TADESSE: Good evening. My name is Rebecca Tadesse. I'm the branch chief of the materials decommissioning, and my educational background I have a bachelor's of science in physics from Purdue University, I have a master's degree in environmental engineering from Johns Hopkins. I've had about 17 years of experience. I started in the industry and I've been with NRC about ten years. I started in the nuclear reactor area, accident analysis, physics and decommissioning and now in my

1 current position. 2 Tonight I'm going to talk just about 3 our process in terms of how we review our NRC licenses when they come in. As Keith indicated NRC 4 5 is a federal agency, it's an independent agency that 6 reports directly to Congress and our responsibility 7 is to license radioactive material for civilian use. 8 We do licensing, we do inspections, we do 9 enforcement. And within those processes we look at 10 the safety and environmental aspects of each 11 application. 12 Our main mission is to protect the 13 public health and safety and we protect the public as 14 well as the worker that is going to be involved 15 working with the radioactive materials, and in this 16 case would be the workers and the soldiers who are 17 training in that facility.

We require the Army to follow strict NRC requirements for safety, security and environmental protection to make sure that nothing leaves the site, the workers are safe and the environment is also protected.

Next slide.

18

19

20

21

22

23

We perform three types of reviews:

The environmental review, which is documented in our

environmental assessment, we have a safety review and a security review, which are documented in the safety evaluation report. And from those three documents we will make a final decision whether or not we issue a license or we do not issue a license. That's our basis forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you see our flow chart, our review process is very detailed. Once a license gets submitted, we look at the application and we would, if it's acceptable, if they have all the material that is necessary to start our review, we accept it and we -- what we do is we issue a Federal Register notice for opportunity for hearing and for providing The Army's application, that was done in comments. August 13, and you have 60 days to provide comments to us on the application or request for a hearing, and also we have a public meeting, which we have done and we are doing right now. We have had it in Schofield on Tuesday, we had a meeting at Kona yesterday and we are having a meeting tonight. We have had the category 1 meeting, which is with the license -- with the prospective licensee, the Army, and us on Monday afternoon and that was open for the public observation.

Once we have these meetings, we go

back, we start our technical review, and during our technical review, the inputs that we get from the members of the public and any -- and any questions that our technical staff come up with, we do a request for additional information. That's when we ask the Army anything that we haven't been satisfied with their application. We request that they submit more information to us. And that is available for the public to look at it. These are the type of meetings we get information, so that if there's something that we are not aware of, if you provide us that information, we will be able to use that information to see whether or not we need to ask additional questions.

And after that we prepare our safety evaluation and security evaluation and environmental assessments. Then, of course, we, as I said, there is our final decision. If there is a hearing request that has been granted following the process that was outlined in the Federal Register notice, then there will be a hearing that's a formal process, and whatever the decision that the three panel judges make that will be the final decision, the Agency's decision.

So as you can see, there's a number of

opportunities where the public can provide comments to us, and we will ensure that we look at your comments, consider them in our review, and -- and I think I've discussed it earlier, but basically you have until October 13 where you can provide us written comments, and Jack will describe how you can get those comments to us in his presentation. The public meeting is transcribed, our meetings, so that we will be able to take that information into our consideration.

And if you choose to request for a hearing, you have to follow the process that's outlined in the Federal Register notice and the Atomic Licensing Board will make the decision whether it's accepted or not accepted, and they will follow their own separate process.

As the licensee finishes the use of that material, or in the Army's case when they cease to use the facility, they are required to follow our strict regulation to decommission the facility. They have various options to decommission and the goal in decommissioning is to make sure that the property is left behind so that the environment and the public is protected from any -- any risk from radiation, and those standards are within our regulatory

1 responsibility. 2 With that I will turn it to Chris. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I ask you 4 about the licensing board. Is that the one with the 5 two republicans and the one democrat? 6 MR. McKENNEY: No, no, no. 7 MS. TADESSE: That is -- we have one 8 lawyer and then two technical staff that is 9 independent from the staff -- from NRC staff. 10 They're members and basically they run the programs, 11 and we are separate from them. 12 MR. McKENNEY: Okay. My name is Chris 13 McKenney. I've got a bachelor's degree in radiation 14 protection engineering from Oregon State University. 15 I've been with the agency since 1991 and worked in 16 waste management and uranium recovery, working on 17 protecting the public and workers and doing 18 assessment of those doses from releases. 19 Just to get a little primer so that we 20 can make sure it goes through. Certain things in the 21 environment naturally are radioactive, and some other 22 things can be made radioactive. That means that the 23 atoms are -- over time will turn into different types 24 When they do change over, which is also of atoms. 25 known as decay, they emit particles such as alpha

particles, beta particles or other types of things that are called radiation. If that occurs near a person or in a person, that would result in radiation dose. The radiation dose is dependent on the type of particle and the type of radionuclide.

Just like every type of job, there is jargon numbers and we have a unit, we measure radiation dose in a unit called the millirem. It's a unit of measure of radiation dose.

What we are talking about tonight is depleted uranium. It is in our -- we classify materials into three different categories of types of materials we regulate. It falls under what we call source material, which is uranium or thorium in any form. Depending on the amount of material and the type of material, part of our analysis regards the security of radioactive material and how does the licensee secure the material so that it cannot be got in the wrong hands. And, of course, we are speaking about depleted uranium here.

Radiation is all around us. This is a slide of the mainland. It's a little bit more colorful in reverse of normal than Hawaii, because uranium forms naturally in a number of types of rocks in the world. Every type of rock has a different

amount of natural uranium in it. Volcanic rock, such as what the islands of Hawaii are made out of, is the type of rock that has the lowest amount of natural uranium in it. So if I had a similar map up here of Hawaii here, it would all be blue.

. 3

1.5

But the natural uranium, the potassium, stuff from space, they all form sources of radiation that will cause dose to you naturally. Our limits are based on looking at making sure that the doses not -- we don't regulate the natural system. We regulate what it's likely to have and make sure the amount they release is minimally above that. The limits are based on the above background.

Next slide.

Depleted uranium. What is it depleted of? Natural uranium is a form of three different types of uranium. There is -- and the numbers are based on the number of neutrons and protons that are in them. There's about equal amounts of 234 and 238 out there. Less than one out of every 100 atoms of uranium naturally is U235. U235 happens to be the important radionuclide because it is what is necessary for nuclear fuel, on how to make a nuclear reactor run.

So what they do is they take natural

uranium, they take the U235 atoms out of one mass of uranium and they stick it in another and, therefore, they enrich this other amount of uranium and they take that off in nuclear fuel. That leaves this other -- the remaining mass of uranium that they took the uranium 235 atoms out of and that is called depleted uranium. It has been depleted of uranium 235.

. 16

2.0

That has -- well, it was sitting around, so people thought what the heck can we use this for? And they used it for -- it has a number of benefits, because it's twice as dense as led and it's -- relative to the original uranium and some other things it's light. It doesn't have as many emissions. It's not as radioactive. So they use it in counterweights for planes and counterweights in this case for the Davy Crockett round. And later they used it in other military applications and they use it also as shielding for hospitals and other things like that because of its density.

Like a number of things out there, especially various elements, there's both chemicals and radiological issues with depleted uranium. And so we have limits for -- like, workers for -- both to protect them both on the chemical and the radiation

1 wise.

When we talk about public doses, we only usually talk about radiological dose, because the dose limits are so low that you can't get the chemical effects to occur. So we don't have a specific limit for a -- for a chemical based exposure. And, you know, when we are talking about these radiological limits, we have limits for air.

So as John Hayes will talk about one of the most important features of -- important parts about the possession only license is how will they monitor to make sure nothing is coming off the site? I mean, we heard last night and other nights about the, you know, various health concerns of -- that the continued bombing, what will that do? Will that pick it up? Will dust be able to travel off site? And that is the point of our review and setting up whether the environmental monitoring programs that Jack is about to discuss is adequate.

Jack, you're up.

MR. HULL: One of the reasons I wanted to get out from behind there is because there's no air and I'm afraid if we go to 8:30, I'm not going to make it. So I hope you will appreciate that I need to get out where there's air.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is a there a 2 reason why there's no window open and there's no air conditioning on? 3 4 MS. MICHONSKI: They told me the air 5 conditioning is on. I know it doesn't feel like it. 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we turn it 7 up? 8 MS. MICHONSKI: I turned it down to 9 66. If every one is okay with opening the door. 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We need air, 11 please. 12 MR. HULL: While we're opening up the 13 door to give you background in terms of my 14 experience, I have a bachelor's in chemical 15 engineering from Purdue and I have a master's in 16 nuclear engineering also from Purdue. I have over 30 17 years' experience working in the nuclear industry 18 both at an electrical utility and engineering 19 consulting firm and then with the NRC. My workings 20 have been involved with radiation protection in terms 21 of calculation of radioactive doses from normal and 22 accident effluents involved with the ventilation 23 I'm on the ASME Committee on Nuclear Air systems. 24 and Gas Treatment, which is a code writing committee 25 for ASME, and I'm on the executive committee and the

committee chairman for the filtration subcommittee. 1 2 Now, that's my background. 3 What do I want to discuss tonight? Ι 4 want to discuss five main items with you this 5 evening. The first one I want to give you an 6 overview of the Army's application. The second item 7 I would like to discuss with you is documents 8 associated with this application that may be of 9 interest to you and that you may want to refer to in 10 terms of evaluating this application on your own. 11 Third, I'd like to discuss the NRC's review activities and the present status of those review 12 13 activities. The --14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, I 15 understand you never turn down an application from 16 the Army. 17 Sir, you'll have an MR. HULL: 18 opportunity once we stop our presentation to ask any 19 question or make any statement that you have. So for 20 all of you, if you allow the NRC to proceed, I think 21 the meeting will go a lot smoother and every one will 22 have a chance to make their comments. Is that okay? 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh. 24 MR. HULL: The fourth item we will be 25 discussing is how you can participate and I hope we

1 can be able to tell you how you can participate 2 effectively. And, finally, I'd like to discuss how 3 you can obtain access to documentation in the future 4 that the Army will submit to the NRC and the NRC's 5 documents they will put on the record, either in 6 correspondence to the Army or findings of fact. 7 Okay. The Army's application. Army's application is a for possession only license. 8 9 Okay. It will cover multiple Army locations. 10 Next slide, please. 11 The Army has identified that Okav. 12 there are a number of sites which have depleted uranium on them. In addition to the Hawaiian sites, 13 14 you can see that there's roughly seven that are on 15 the mainland. The Army's application came -- was 16 made in November of 2008. What the NRC indicated is 17 for this particular application we needed to have a 18 generic environmental radiation monitoring plant, a 19 generic physical security plant and then site 20 specific applications of radiation environmental 21 monitoring plant. 22 What the Army did in July of this 23 year, they submitted specific radiation monitoring 24 plans for the two Hawaiian sites. Now, what will 25 happen is as these particular sites on the mainland,

as they characterize the material of depleted uranium

at the sites, they will come in with a specific

radiation monitoring plan and that radiation

monitoring plan will be based upon the amount of

material there and the pathways of exposure to

potential individuals.

Next slide, please.

2.4

Okay. Documents of interest. I have listed here four documents that we currently have that are all on our public website. And our public website where you want to get access has the acronym ADAMS. What you do is you go on the NRC public website, go to ADAMS and, for example, you would type in for the first document the number ML090070095 and you will get that document in its entirety. It a PDF version.

I will go -- later in my presentation

I will tell you how you identify what the ML numbers

are and what specific documents are.

Next slide, please.

Now, the NRC has just begun its review process. We have no conclusions. One of the reasons for us coming here is to get information from you that will assist us in our review. Okay. When we complete our review, we will issue a safety

1 evaluation report. Along with that we will issue an 2 environmental assessment. 3 Now, in terms of your participation, 4 okay, we need your comments by October 13. Okay. 5 Next slide, please. Okay. On the 13th of August, we 6 7 published in the Federal Register a notice for an opportunity for a hearing that you can request. What happens when a significant licensing action comes 10 into the NRC? In this case it's a request for A 11 license. When a significant licensing request comes 12 in, the NRC publishes in the Federal Register a notice, an opportunity for hearing, and that notice 13 14 allows 60 days for you to provide a request for a 15 hearing and/or to provide comments on a particular 16 action. 17 Next slide, please. 18 Rebecca has already mentioned the 19 outreach we have done. But, again, I want to 20 reiterate October 13 that's the deadline for you to 21 provide comments, you know, with respect to this 22 particular application. That's an important date for 23 you. 24 Now, next slide, please. 25 What about the NRC's project team

1 doing the review? The NRC's project team doing the 2 review involves a number of various disciplines. 3 have atmospheric science specialists, you have radiation protection health physicists, you have 4 5 people who are involved with groundwater and surface 6 water, hydrology, you have people who are involved 7 with archeological and cultural resources, 8 terrestrial ecology. That's some of the spectrum of 9 analysts that we pull into our review cycles. 10 Now, in some cases some of these 11 disciplines don't apply, because it's not applicable 12 for the particular application or the particular 13 site, but that's just an overview of some of the 14 individuals we would pull into a typical review. 15 Next slide, please. 16 Okay. Comments. Here's my name, 17 address, telephone number, fax, e-mail address. Ιf 18 you have specific comments, you can provide them to 19 me, either by that manner, direct mail. You can send 20 them through a courier service, whatever it is. 21 again, you need to provide them by the 13th. 22 Let's step aside a minute for the type 23 of comments that you need to provide. If you want to 24 be effective, then you need to address the specific 25 application that we have here from the Army.

1 Army has a radiation environmental monitoring 2 That's what we are dealing with. Okay. Ιf program. 3 you find, you know -- if you find a problem with that 4 program, the more you can give us in terms of 5 information, whether it be sources, whether it be the 6 type of monitoring, where the monitors are located. 7 The more specific recommendations and information you can provide to us, will help us in our review. 8 9 Because what the NRC is doing, the 10 NRC, as Rebecca said, we have a request for 11 additional information. It is unusual for anyone to 12 make an application that is so complete that we don't go back to them and ask questions. You know, it just 13 14 doesn't happen, you know. And so we will go out 15 likely to the Army and request additional 16 information. 17 Well, our review can be much more 18 complete if we get citizens' input. Now, it's 19 impossible for us to know everything that has gone on 20 at this site or other sites, so anything that the 21 citizenry can provide is valuable to us. Again, I mentioned the request for 2.2 23 hearing due by October 13th and Rebecca mentioned 24 that if you want to request a hearing, you need to 25 follow the quidelines associated with the Federal

Register notice. It's very specific. It's supposed to be an electronic filing, unless you get an exemption.

Next slide, please.

2.0

In summary, the NRC is going to determine independently if the Army's physical security and radiation monitoring plans will protect public health and safety. We will inspect the Army's implementation of those plans and we will assess the adequacy of the radiation monitoring program as data is received to determine if changes need to be made. And finally, to say that the NRC will take the action it believes is necessary to protect public health and safety.

Next slide.

Okay. If you want any document associated with this action, I'll cut to the chase, see this docket number here, go to the ADAMS and go and put in that docket number, 04009083, and you will get all the documents associated with this application, both from the NRC standpoint and what the Army has provided.

MR. EVANS: My name is Rob Evans. My title is Senior Health Physicist out of the NRC Region IV Office, Arlington, Texas. Just to give you

a real quick background, I have a degree in nuclear engineering and I'm a licensed professional engineer, certified health physicist and I've been conducting inspections of facilities that handle or use uranium in one form or another for about 16 years.

The way the regulations are written is licensees are responsible for compliance with our regulations. What we do is verify that the licensees are complying with regulations. One of the mantras that we consider is trust but verify. So we verify compliance with licensee commitments, regulatory requirements as well as procedures and other protocols that in this case would be the Army has committed to as to how they would run their program.

As part of the inspection process we also conduct a follow-up on previously identified violations and programmatic weaknesses. We want to make sure that these areas which were identified in the past as a problem have been corrected. We also conduct inspections any time there's significant changes in the site, site staffing, or site protocols. This particular facility at the beginning will be a two-year inspection interval, once the license is issued.

If the license were to be issued.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oops. 2 MR. EVANS: I do apologize. 3 talking in terms of inspections with a license in 4 place, so it's just a little slip there. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A Freudian 6 slip. 7 MR. EVANS: The frequency of the 8 inspection may vary. It may be reduced if licensing 9 performance is poor or it may be expanded beyond two 10 years if licensing performance is considered good by 11 our standards. 12 Next slide, please. When we do an inspection, there are 13 14 certain things that we look at almost all the time. 15 One of them is we review a number of very important 16 records. Occupational exposure records, like what 17 are radiation doses the workers are receiving is 18 something that we also take a look at, because we 19 want to make sure that the workers are properly 20 protected and any doses are below regulatory limits. 21 We also take a look at the 22 environmental monitoring program, water sampling, air 23 sampling, groundwater sampling, those sorts of 24 things, because we want to make sure that the 25 operation of the facility or whatever they're doing

with the radioactive material is not impacting the environment as well as the public that's in the environment. We almost always -- any time there's a significant activity ongoing at a site, we observe that particular activity and we compare whatever they're doing to the NRC approved work plan or procedure to make sure that it's being done correctly. So in this particular case, if and when the Army chose to start cleanup activities, I assure you that would be an opportunity that we would be there at that time. That's down the road, of course.

We also have the ability, training and

We also have the ability, training and equipment to perform radiological surveys and we have our own equipment, we maintain it for conducting radiological surveys.

Next slide, please.

uranium sometimes is large enough fragments where you can actually see it and if you're out in the field and you can see it, following proper protocols one way of removing it from the field is shoveling it up, picking it up or something along those lines.

Sometimes the depleted uranium is smaller than what you can see as you're walking across or the surface, like it has grass or something and you can't see it

1 down in there. So at that point a lot of -- in the 2 past lot of similar type projects have used 3 radiological survey meters to survey the ground, and 4 I brought an example with me. This is a FIDLER, it's 5 an acronym, and this instrument happens to be very good at conducting surveys for depleted uranium. 6 7 We also have the ability to collect 8 soil and water samples like, for example, if the Army 9 chose to collect the water sample, we could split the 10 sample with them and have it independently analyzed 11 by a laboratory. We have a contract with Oakridge 12 National Lab, who is a very highly respected 13 laboratory that we send our samples to. 14 We also have the option of, if the 15 Army were to collect samples of any type, air, water, 16 soil, we could take -- let them analyze the sample, 17 we could take the exact same sample and ship it off 18 to our laboratory for an independent analysis, and we 19 do that quite a bit as part of our oversight 20 function. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you collect 22 the samples or does the person applying for the --23 MR. EVANS: We typically do ourselves. 24 I do want to qualify that by sometimes .25 special samples, like water samples, they may collect

1 it under our direct observation and then they 2 actually will take the sample, homogenize it and 3 split it in two. We let them do that under their 4 procedures, but we are there at all times. And then 5 we will take our sample and sometimes immediately put 6 a seal on it so it's tamper proof, or we will just 7 literally take it away. 8 I'm sorry. Wait a couple of minutes, 9 please. 10 MR. McCONNELL: Let's go through this 11 quickly. 12 MR. EVANS: I want to shift gears for 13 just a moment. The NRC does have an enforcement The enforcement policy is there to emphasize 14 policy. importance of compliance with regulatory 15 16 requirements. One of the main reasons we actually 17 have an enforcement policy in the first place is to 18 encourage licensees to identify and correct their own 19 problems. That would indicate, for example, they 20 have a good quality control or good internal 21 oversight. If that doesn't work and if we come and 22 conduct an inspection and identify the violation, we 23 may have less I'm using the term sympathy for the 24 So we encourage them to identify and program. 25 correct their own problems.

Next slide.

. 5

We have three enforcement sanctions
that we -- in our tool belt. One of them is the
notice of violation; a second is an escalating up
would be a civil penalty for some of the more
significant issues; and, finally, orders, which would
have the force of a license which would compel a
licensee to take an action if we feel that there's a
problem at a particular facility.

Next, please.

Violations in simple terms are noncompliances with regulations and/or license conditions. Like if there's a condition, for example, to collect air samples and the entity did not collect air samples for one reason or another, then that would be a potential violation. And the violations are typically identified through the inspection process, which is what we do, compliance with regulations, as well as what's called investigations, which is typically when the NRC, a different group, sort of does a follow up of wrongdoing.

Next, please.

A violation is assigned a -- is based on significance. In simple terms, if there's no

actual safety consequence, we may not cite the violation, but as part of the process we consider the actual and potential safety consequences, the potential impact on the regulatory function. For example, if they -- in this case it would be the Army. If they submitted inaccurate or incomplete information, then that would have an impact on our decision-making process, and less commonly if there's willfulness; they knew that they were supposed to do something, whoever it happened to be, and they chose not to do it.

Next, please.

We assign what's called a severity
level to violations that range from 1, which is most
severe to 4, which is the least severe. But we also
have what's called a non-cited violation where that
is licensee -- commonly if this is licensee
identified and corrected, we choose not to cite it.
Or if it happens to be a minor violation like, for
example, the submittal of a late report, we may
choose not to even go to the effort of submitting a
violation.

In summary, noncompliances with regulatory requirements typically result in enforcement actions. And just for your reference, is

1 I had -- we inspect government agencies and I have 2 actually cited -- identified and cited other 3 government agencies. Region IV has a slogan, we regulate friendly but firm, and I assure you that we 4 5 will make sure that the Army, if they're issued a 6 license, complies with NRC rules and regulations. 7 I will turn it over to Mr. McConnell for final remarks. 8 9 MR. McCONNELL: I don't have any final 10 remarks, other than it's time to open it up for 11 comments, questions and concerns. 12 MS. GOMES: We are going to go in 13 order of the cards that you filled out so that 14 everyone will have a chance who signed up for it and 15 if you haven't handed in your cards, you can do so 16 now. 17 I want to remind people again Okay. 18 that the public comments are going to stop about 19 8:25. We have to be out of here by 8:30. And NRC 20 has to put all the chairs and tables back, because 21 they moved them so that you could have space. 22 they ask for your cooperation. I'm Ku'umeaaloha and 23 I'm the facilitator for tonight and I will be calling 24 out the folks in the order that the cards have been 25 received, and I will call the first person and the

second person so that that second person can get ready.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I ask you to adhere to the three-minute time limit and I will show you the one minute sign and then the pau sign. That is so that every one who wants to speak you can meet that deadline of 8:25 and people will have an opportunity to speak. So let's get started. The first person is John Ota, followed by Dr. Lorrin Pang.

My name is John Ota. I was MR. OTA: born and raised on this island, retired citizen of this county today. I would like to make a statement pertaining to this gathering. Fifty minutes of your time was spent by NRC to sell us how good they are, what their responsibilities are and all the good job that they have accomplished. However, that 50 minutes now is taken away your time to speak. Understand that. They want to close this place down at 8:30. Will all of you have a chance to speak? don't know. But my statement is as follows: you for allowing me to present my opinion on the depleted uranium at Pohakuloa.

Deception and misleading statements to maintain secrecy and security for the country is a continual endeavor by the U.S. Government, even

today. The subject of the depleted uranium was discussed in detail after the first atomic bomb was exploded in the U.S. Transport and usage of depleted uranium was restricted in the 1940s.

2.0

I strongly oppose the Army's proposal to allow the DU to remain in place at Pohakuloa for the following reasons. My comments was timed, I have two minutes and 40 seconds to complete all the statements I have written.

NRC should not grant the license to the Army as requested. One, the Army failed to mention that drinking water for the majority of residents originates from Maunakea, Maunakea Bay, next to Pohakuloa. Underground water flow provides the drinking water for the residents. It is a known fact that depleted uranium can cause serious harm to personal health.

Deception and constant misleading statements made by the Army has failed to convince the public that DU is not a health hazard and the environment is safe. Army records of the 714 rounds of Davy Crockett shipped to Hawaii cannot be accounted for. It would be accurate to state that more than three rounds were fired at Pohakuloa. The Army installed old and outdated DU sensors

manufactured in 1945 to record Big Island DU radiation. The installed DU sensors has been out of commission for more than nine months. Lava terrain and other excuses have been used by the Army for not locating any more rounds.

The history of Pohakuloa goes back to World War II when the marines set up camp in Waimea and used the slopes of Maunakea for combat training. The Department of Defense has directly or indirectly used much of Pohakuloa and Maunakea for many projects. Environment health or welfare of residents were ignored for a very long time.

As recent as two or three years ago, the Army used helicopters to kill sheep and goats on the scopes of Maunakea, leaving the dead carcasses, 180 of them, to rot as they lay. Dead animal carcasses are the cause of many health problems for many. The generals at Schofield Barracks were not disciplined for allowing this situation to occur. This is only one of the many examples of the abuses created by the DOD without concerns for the public.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission created by Congress in 1970 is charged with responsibility to maintain safe health and environment for the citizens and the country. Time

1 lapse since the Davy Crockett episode is more than 55 2 years ago. Continual abuse of the environment and 3 health problems persist on the Big Island. 4 Harold published an article stating Kona area is the 5 highest percentage of cancer problems per capita in all of the U.S. The federal government has not taken 6 7 any action to relieve this problem. Why is this? 8 Is it correct to interpret the non-initiative as the residents of this island are 9 10 being used as Guinea pigs to determine what other 11 types of health problems could arise from long 12 exposure to DU? I urge this Commission to declare 13 that urgent and immediate remedial action must be 14 taken by the Army to safeguard the health and safety of the residents of this island. 15 16 (Applause) 17 MS. GOMES: If you have written 18 testimony, I want you to leave it so that they can 19 type it in and the court reporter also is taking 20 notes. But if you want to give it to Sarah, they 21 will enter it into the record. 22 The next person is Lorrin Pang, 23 Dr. Lorrin Pang, followed by Jim Albertini. 24 DR. PANG: Hi. Good evening, I 25 already spoke to them this afternoon. They know what

I want to say. I'm going to speak to you now. First of all, I'm a physician, I'm from Maui. I speak as a private citizen. I'm retired Army Medical Corps 24 years. My job was to watch out for the community and for the soldiers and I have to say that during my 24 years this thing was going on, but I checked with all my civilians, we had no idea what was going on at PTA, specifically about DU. So let's just start.

I have to apologize to them. There's a little bit of anger toward them, because the Army has frustrated us. The Army has used the NRC's name, whether or not they agreed. The Army says it's safe and NRC, CDC, COH all comply. I don't know if you really comply or not -- agree or not, but nonetheless your name was used, so you're going to get some of that heat just by association.

Our position has always been the precautionary principle, if you don't know if it's safe or you don't know if it's harmful, don't do it, especially with the long half life and hard problem with cleaning up. Well, what's safe? Unfortunately, from the Nuclear Regulatory Agency it has been shown a DU fired as weaponry is a very different animal altogether, probably the likes of what we altogether have never seen before. Not only does it release DU

2 oxides; but that 3,000 degrees temperature -- lava is 3 2200 -- releases what they call nanno particles. Ιf 4 you Google nanotechnology, right there is 5 nanotoxicity. The French believe that the high 6 firing causes minute particles, radioactive or not, 7 of metals, which causes this. So you've got that, 8 you've got the radiation effect, you've got the 9 genetic mutation of the radiation, you have the burns 10 from the radiation, then you have the toxicity 11 itself, but I claim that this kind of weaponry is 12 something new which we really don't know about, 13 period. 14 For the last three years the Army has 15 said, You're wrong, Dr. Pang, we do know about this. 1.6 Whatever the toxicity, the mechanism chemical, 17 radiologic, nano, whatever, our soldiers were exposed 18 to this in what they call the friendly fire incident. 19 Soldiers -- our own soldiers got hit in the tanks, 2.0 breathed the DU stuff and they followed 30 soldiers 21 or something for years and they have no problems. 22 That study has since -- they presented this at 23 Waimea. That study has since been shown to be a farce. 24 That's as kind as I can say it. 25 solders developed tumors, both malignant and benign,

oxides, and maybe you know a little bit about DU

1

1 and when the Army was pressed why didn't you report 2 this? They said we didn't think it was related. Αt 3 the very least we believe in the precautionary 4 principle if this thing is unknown, there should be a 5 moratorium on all activities until we see what 6 activity causes the monitoring in the air, in the 7 I'm mostly for air monitoring and I would 8 like to see persistent air monitoring. Whatever 9 stirs it up, bombing, marching, the Army has to be 10 honest, because those types of activities should be 11 suspended. 12 Thank you. 13 (Applause) 14 MS. GOMES: Jim Albertini followed by 15 Kale Gumapac. 16 Aloha, everyone. The MR. ALBERTINI: 17 first thing I want to mention is that the Pohakuloa 18 Training Area consists of 133,000 acres of so-called 19 ceded lands. Now, those ceded lands are really 20 Kingdom of Hawaii occupied stolen lands and one of 21 the key things is they're talking about expanding the 22 Saddle Road through the newly acquired 24,000 acres 23 of the Army. That's down -- predominantly downwind 24 of the impact area. So the NRC should be putting 25 some kind of restriction on the dust that's going to

be created by the bulldozing of that military Saddle
Road. That has a bearing.

2.0

Let's put this request in perspective. The Army is seeking a license to allow the radiation from weapons training to remain in place, to possess it at Pohakuloa. Now, that's a polite way of saying it wants a formal okay to do what it's already done, and what it has done is establish a radiation waste dump in an active bombing range in the heart of Hawaii island. Now, to bomb a nuclear waste dump is plain nuts and should not be tolerated. If the NRC is in the interest of protecting public health, it should cease -- make sure that there's a cease fire, that the bombing is stopped there at Pohakuloa.

Here's some background. It's been confirmed that hundreds, perhaps as many as 2,000 depleted uranium Davy Crockett spotting rounds have been fired at PTA. That's just one weapons system back in the 1960s.

Now, my research says that there are dozens of depleted uranium rounds in the arsenals of the Army, Navy, Air Force and marines that could have been fired since the early and mid 1960s when the Davy Crockett rounds were fired. The Army claims it has a prohibition since the late '90s against DU to

1 What about the years from 1962 be used in training. 2 until the late '90s? Or is the military still lying 3 about DU being used today? 4 Open live fire at PTA risks spreading 5 the DU radiation already present and, as Dr. Pang has 6 testified, DU is particularly hazardous when small 7 particles burned DU oxide are inhaled. I want to 8 emphasize that the Hawaii County Council more than a 9 year ago, July 2, 2008, called for a halt of all live. 10 fire and other activities at PTA that create dust until there's a complete assessment and cleanup of 11 12 the DU already present. The Council also called for seven additional actions, none of which have been met 13 14 today, more than one year since that council action. 15 So it's now up to the people, I think, 16 to speak loud and clear, to sound the alarm that what 17 we are really calling for is concern for the health 18 and safety of the people, for the aina and for future 19 generations. We want this madness stopped now. 2.0 (Applause) 21 MS. GOMES: Kale Gumapac followed by 22 L.V. Kelley. 23 MR. GUMAPAC: My name is Kale Gumapac. 24 I'm with the Kanaka Council Moka O Keawe. 25 going to hear many people tonight talk about the

1 environmental stuff, you'll hear many people talk about the radiation and so forth, but tonight I'm 2 going to talk to you guys and I'm sure some of you 3 have heard it last night, about the cultural impact. 4 5 The cultural impact that Jim alluded to in terms of 6 the Army's occupation here in Hawaii is illegal. Occupation has continued since the overthrow of the 7 Hawaiian kingdom of 1893. It continued since the 8 illegal territories that the United States brought 9 10 this Hawaiian Kingdom into play. As a result of that, you should deny and oppose this application to 11 12 the Army.

(Applause)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Because of the cultural impact. From our culture, if I was to come to your house and I throw all my opala in your house and left it, how would you feel? As a person, as a Black person, as a haole person, as a Japanese person, you wouldn't like that. They continue to do that in our house.

They've continued to ignore that the lands that they have up at Pohakuloa are crown lands. It's not U.S. Army lands. They took that away. They used World War II as an excuse to come in and occupy the Hawaiian lands. And just by that it's your responsibility to malama the Hawaiians, because what

is the hookupu, the gift that they bring? Radiation.

This is the type of stuff -- and all opala that they

put in the ocean, all of the -- the Army depleted

bombs, everything that they're putting in there, they

never cleaned it.

17.

Good example, Kahoolawe, they did the same thing there. And then they said, we don't have money to clean it. We can give you \$600 million, and then they left it, because they said we ran out of money. Oh, and you, Hawaiians, you can have that island back. They're doing the same thing now. They desecrated our island of Kahoolawe. Now they're coming over here. And I saw your map up there of where you have all of the radiation, all throughout the continent.

Then you said, you know, Hawaii, when we put that thing up on the map, it's all blue. So what we going to do? We are going to wait until it turns red? If it's already clean, why are you allowing this kind of stuff to take place to dirty the place? And you know what can happen? The cleaning of this stuff, they're not going to be able to do a good job. And what is -- I think the scientific terminology of the life span of radiation? What's the half life, quarter life, full life? It's

always going to be there. It's always going to be there and we need for you guys to take care of this by not allowing the military, because they have lied. They have lied from the time they have overthrown the Kingdom all the way up until now. And you guys are coming and you're saying, oh, we are really not the guys that are going to be making the decision, but we are here to listen to you. You can hear everybody talk about this kind of stuff, but you're not going to hear people talking about the cultural impact and what it has done to us.

What is the psychological impact -you need to write this down -- on the Hawaiian? What
is the psychological impact? That is a very
important question because of what has happened to
our people over here. The time that you guys give us
short time. And we come here to give you guys our
manao, but you say, you know what, you only got this
place until 8:30. Why you guys never get a place
that would allow us to be able to speak all night
long, because you need to hear from us. And if it's
necessary to extend the time past 8:30, then extend
it. At least give us -- give us that respect.

(Applause)

MS. GOMES: Sally Miller followed by

1 Cory Harden. 2 MS. MILLER: There's no way I can even 3 come close to that. I'm sorry. Mine will be short. 4 MR. HULL: We need you to use the 5 microphone. MS. MILLER: Okay. Okay. There are 6 7 eight -- the county did pass a resolution, a 8 nonbinding resolution, and I'm sure other people are 9 going to talk about it, but there are two points that 10 I want to mention about the resolution. One of them 11 is just have a permanent high tech monitoring system 12 with procedures to ensure air quality control with 13 public access. If something shows up, see what 14 activity is making -- taking place at the time and 15 stop and forbid that activity from happening again if 16 we are getting spikes in the deplete -- that show 17 depleted uranium being recorded in the dust that's 18 caused by that. And it should happen each time 19 there's a spike and you need to look at what is going 20 on during that time with the spike. The other important thing I think 21 22 should be done is while you're taking -- setting up 23 these monitoring stations is to establish a citizen 24 monitoring -- I'm sorry, establish a citizen 25 monitoring system to work closely with the military

experts to assure transparency and restore community confidence. We also have a part in this.

And then if you are only licensing the non-cleanup of the Davy Crockett, the public needs to know exactly how many Davy Crocketts were fired up on the mountain. I believe radioactive materials should have a paper trail and that licensing should not even be considered until the true number has been determined.

(Applause)

Regarding weaponry used at Pohakuloa,
I understand that the Army is able to use weapons to
their full capacity. If that is the case, then it
follows that the use of depleted uranium weaponry
used in the Iraq wars must have been used at
Pohakuloa or the Army would not have been training
their troops adequately. They must have used it so
the troops could know how to work that stuff to wipe
out the tanks in Iraq. I don't think it is the case
that -- I'm sorry. I don't think that is the case
that -- I'll try this one more time.

It is logical to suspect that more than the Davy Crockett has been used in training exercises, especially since the Army has stated that there's no risk to human health regarding their

residual effects after they have been fired. Should
you decide to grant license for the Davy Crockett,

please limit it only to the Davy Crockett and not to
use the license to include any other depleted uranium
weaponry that may be disclosed later on down the
road.

I also ask that while you are in your hotel rooms tonight or whenever you have a spare moment to please use your laptops to Google earth defects in Iraq and Kosovo and see what the Hawaiian community is so concerned about. It gives the human side to our concerns.

Thank you for considering my recommendations and thank you for taking the time to come here and listen to us. Aloha.

(Applause).

. 7

MR. McCONNELL: While the next person is coming up, I would just like to address the limitation we have tonight. We try when we come out for these meetings to set it up so that we can hear everybody's comments and we did last night extend the meeting to the point where we burned out the transcriber. And, unfortunately, in this case we don't have that flexibility and that one of the reasons we wanted to identify other opportunities for

you to participate in the process is just for that
reason. So that if you don't get your comments on
the record tonight, there are written comment forms
on the table that you can use to send to Jack and
also there are these other alternatives. I'm sorry,
I have to go --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The principal's office said that you have the room until 9:00 and I'm sure everyone here would be willing to help you put up the chairs if that's the problem.

MR. McCONNELL: Works for us, so if we could end it at 8:45 so that all of us could arm wrestle the tables back in place. Thank you.

MS. HARDEN: Thank you for holding these meetings to communicate -- or give us information and listen to us. Cory Harden, Sierra Club. We have many concerns about the depleted uranium. First, Pohakuloa is not a nuclear waste dump. Every effort should be made to find all the DU and remove it to a nuclear waste facility. Efforts so far have only found three spotting rounds, but there may be 2,000 based on the number of pistons found and number of spotting rounds required by training manuals and other usage estimates.

The searches may not have found it all

1 because they did not cover the entire impact area. 2 Air searches were hampered by debris kicked up by 3 helicopters. Ground searches with hampered by dangerous terrain. Satellite imagery I don't think 4 5 has been tried yet and some crucial reports are not 6 publicly available. 7 Also, it may be a violation of the 8 State Department of Land and Natural Resources lease 9 to use Pohakuloa to leave nuclear waste. Ιf 10 solutions other than removal are proposed as well as 11 full removal, decisions should be made with citizen 12 participation. Second, cease fire. Live fire and 13 14 dummy bombing should stop until after the hazards 15 have been thoroughly assessed and decisions have been 16 made with citizen participation. It should not 17 continue when there's possible risk. 18 Third, the DU discovery should be a 19 wake-up call to search classified and unclassified 20 records for current and former military sites 2.1 throughout Hawaii used by all branches of the 22 military, United States and foreign, for other 23 hazards we may have forgotten about. 24 The Army -- I haven't seen much

evidence from them for its claim that other services

25

have not used DU. I haven't seen public information
about DU use by foreign forces, the National Guard or
the Air Force, and I haven't seen information about
searches of classified records for other services,
and I haven't seen details of searches by the Navy
and Marines.

Fourth concern. Air monitoring should be done by nongovernment groups but funded by government. This is all the concerns about studies raised by Dr. Pang and also by Dr. Reimer, a Ph.D. theologist who's been commenting, and others.

Also, our history in Hawaii includes leaving ocean areas and hundreds of acres of lands in hazardous conditions from unexploded ordnance and toxins.

Fifth concern. Citizens should participate in decision making. The public was not told of the DU discovery until four months after the find and was told by citizen groups, not the Army. The Army has ignored the County Council resolution, Colonel Killian with the Army said he was willing to speak to groups, but Sierra Club has invited him repeatedly to participate in their forum with Dr. Pang and he's just put us off and put us off.

Pohakuloa Commander Richardson claimed

1 that the Pohakuloa Community Advisory Council was 2 discussing DU, but at that time DU had only been 3 discussed for about five minutes at two meetings and only because I was a member and I insisted it be put 4 5 on the agenda. 6 Sixth concern. All of the relevant 7 studies should be made available to the public. 8 archive search report, I've been trying to get it for 9 nine months. I hear I'll be getting it soon. 10 Characterization report on human health risk 11 assessment to Pohakuloa, I haven't been able to get 12 those. And there's still searches going on. 13 So thank you for listening. 14 (Applause) MS. GOMES: Next speaker is Danny Li 1.5 16 followed by Moanikeaua Akaka. 17 MR. LI: Good evening. My name is 18 Danny Li and I'm a resident of Puna, Hawaii and I 19 wish to make a couple of pertinent comments with 20 regard to the Army's handling or more accurately 21 mishandling of DU at PTA, Pohakuloa Training Area. I 22 have to admit I'm no scientist, so I trust that there 23 are other people, experts like Dr. Pang and other 24 people, who will be talking more scientifically. 25 They can offer more information, but I have heard --

you know, dealt with the Army, have heard their

presentations in the last couple of years and I'll

tell you that I'm not impressed. I've seen a lot of

stonewalling, I've seen a lot of double standards and

most of all I've seen, you know, evidence of the U.S

military's seemingly inexhaustible inventory of WMDs.

That's weasels of mass deception.

2.5

About a year ago, as Jim and other people have said, after months of community and expert testimonies and several public hearings, the County of Hawaii by a vote of 8 to 1 passed a Resolution 639-08 specifically calling for the military to -- this is a quote, To order a complete halt to B-2 bombing missions and all live fire exercises at PTA that create dust until there's an assessment and cleanup of the DU already present.

And then a month later the County

Council also passed another resolution calling for

Dr. Pang to be designated as the Council's rep on the

Citizens Advisory Committee. So far it's all been

ignored.

But in a meeting in November of 2008 with the Army colonel when asked what was being done to implement the Council's resolution, kept saying, well, it's just a resolution. Well, I have to remind

1 public officials that in 1998 it was only a 2 resolution that got Hawaii annexed to the United 3 States. 4 (Applause) 5 So for safeguarding the health and 6 well being of all citizens of Hawaii, we demand once 7 again for the utmost urgency that the U.S. military 8 heed the call of the people and cease all live fire 9 exercises until an independent verification by 10 citizen monitoring of DU danger is completely 11 resolved. 12 You know, as concerned citizens, we will use all legal means, but we also reserve, you 13 14 know, other creative and nonviolent means at our 15 disposal to ensure the Army's compliance. Mahalo. 16 (Applause) 17 MS. GOMES: Moanikeaua Akaka followed 18 by David LaRocco. 19 MS. AKAKA: Aloha. My name is 20 Moanikeaua Akaka. I'm the trustee for the Office of 21 Hawaiian Affairs for twelve years. A handful of us 22 started the native people movement for justice almost 23 40 years ago. As has been mentioned, Pohakuloa is on 24 ceded lands. You know, we are sick and tired of the 25 military controlling our islands. Twenty-five

1 percent of Oahu is controlled by the military. have over 50 sites on this island left over from the 2 3 second world war that has military garbage on it. 4 I mean, even within the past month 5 they found some kind of canister of munitions on our beach, on school grounds they've found canisters of 6 7 military munitions. And, as has been stated, you 8 know, we don't want to be used as a nuclear dumping 9 ground, as you're doing on -- over at Pohakuloa as 10 well as Schofield. You know, it was years and years 11 and years before you even admitted that you had DU 12 here on our islands. And it finally slipped out a 13 couple of years ago. 14 You know, a question was asked 15 Have you turned down the military? Have 16 you? Never? 17 Okay, you're on my time so hurry up 18 the answer. 19 MR. McCONNELL: We will extend your 20 time. There are three parts to this question, so let me have three parts and they'll be short. One, the 21 22 answer to your question is no. Second part of it is, 23 at least in my experience, I don't know that we have 24 ever gotten an application from the Army in the 25 recent past. And, third, even if we had, it --

they're independent actions and they have to stand on
their own merits.

, 9

MS. AKAKA: You know, if you're going to be a rubberstamp for everything the military wants to -- now, this country is in bad economic straits right now. Maybe they should just dissolve your NRC, if you're really not going to be watchdogs to protect our health and safety.

As an example, there are several cultural monitors, part Hawaiian young people that were over at Schofield. Now, first of all, they kept them -- although they were supposed to be the monitors, they kept them in the office so they couldn't see what was going on until they finally started reading all the rules and realized they were supposed to be out in the fields with the military to find out whether there was depleted uranium and that's exactly what they were there for.

This one young man not only got contaminated by depleted uranium but for months and months and months he was not even monitored or checked out by the military. Now, what do you call that? You know, that's disgusting. Who the hell is going to protect us, you know, is the question.

Those three young people -- he was not only

contaminated, but his sister-in-law who was there with them, she ended up having a child that was born the innards were outside and they -- they said that could be an indication of depleted uranium contamination.

2.0

You know, we are tired of being a colony and a dumping ground for not only nuclear -the nuclear waste or depleted uranium, but we are
tired of being disrespected and just being a colony
for you to use and abuse as the United States sees
fit. So stop until true, true indications have been
given that there is no harm from the DU we now have.

And I think it is despicable, don't you, that the monitoring devices that you use are dated back in 1945? And don't you think it's -- you of the NRC, don't you think it's pretty sad that for months and months and months the equipment here has been incapacitated? You know, you guys can throw a monitor on a plane and can have it here within hours, yet we are not having any monitoring because -- because the equipment is out of order. You know, if that doesn't sound like a ploy to do just whatever it is the military wants to do, while you contaminate us. And it is very interesting, don't you think, that where the wind blows over the Kona side there

1	are very, very high incidents of radiation problems?
2	So cease fire until you can prove to
3	us that there is no nuclear DU that is going to harm
· 4	us and, as has been said, I'm sorry, but we don't
5	trust you. We need our own private citizens group
6	right along your side. If there's going to be any
7	trust, because, as I said, you only admitted a couple
8	of years ago that you had even been using DU, yet
9	it's been going on since the '60s. You know, you
10	folks think we are dumb, us brown people over here.
11	We are tired of being used and abused by the United
12	States. So cease. Mahalo.
13	(Applause)
14	MS. GOMES: David LaRocco followed by
15	Market State of the state of th
	Margaret Furukawa.
16	Margaret Furukawa. MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward
16	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward
16 17	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end?
16 17 18	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end? MS. GOMES: I think one of the Hawaii
16 17 18	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end? MS. GOMES: I think one of the Hawaii kupuna has requested that time.
16 17 18 19 20	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end? MS. GOMES: I think one of the Hawaii kupuna has requested that time. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He said towards
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end? MS. GOMES: I think one of the Hawaii kupuna has requested that time. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He said towards the ends, not the end.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LaROCCO: Can I use my time toward the end? MS. GOMES: I think one of the Hawaii kupuna has requested that time. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He said towards the ends, not the end. MS. FURUKAWA: Thank you. Do you want

1	hear me? Okay. I'm Margaret Furukawa and I just
. 2	have a quick comment here which addresses more the
3	thought of what's going on rather than specifics. As
4	George Orwell wrote in the book 1984, the war is not
5	meant to be won. It is meant to be continuous.
6	Hierarchal society is only possible on the basis of
7	poverty and ignorance. This new version is the past
8	and no different past can ever have existed. In
9	principle the war effort is always planned to keep
10	society on the brink of starvation. The war is waged
11	by the ruling group against its own subjects and its
12	object is not the victory over either Eurasia or east
13	Asia but to keep the very structure of society
14	intact. And what they mean is them up here and us
15	down here. Okay.
16	(Applause)
17	MS. GOMES: Galen Kelley. Galen
18	Kelley followed by Shelly Stephens.
19	I'm sorry, it's Galen and then Dan
20	Sythe.
21	MS. KELLEY: I was wondering if we had
22	anybody from the Army here tonight? One person.
23	Great. Always appreciate the opportunity to meet you
24	here finally.
25	Our government is commanding us to be

1 environmentally responsible. Can we see the 2 hypocrisy in that policymakers ask us to check our 3 carbon footprint while the military agenda covers the 4 planet with the toxic deposits? Depleted uranium is 5 antigreen and its use must be stopped and its 6 contamination dissipated as much as possible. We are 7 asking halt live fire. But why? Yes, for safety 8 reasons, but also for disengaging from military 9 pursuits. We cannot look at this issue without 10 looking at what it was born of and that is war and 11 training for war. If there was no war, we wouldn't 12 have to train humans to kill other humans, and if we didn't have to train, there would be no need for such 13 14 toxic weapons. 15 You might argue that we have enemies. 16 I would argue we have created enemies. So look to 17 the root of what you serve and open to the 18 opportunity to divest yourself from this anti 19 humanity endeavor, that is to say become moral. 20 Morality is a scary thing, because it destroys lies 21 and it brings down empires. 22 There have been accounts of the NRC 23 not playing fair and that scares people. Knowing not 24 where to turn, we turn to each other and encourage 25 ethics, integrity and conscience. We are asking you

to do the same.

To the newspapers or other media that are present tonight, I implore you to give true representation of the people's outcry for this is no ordinary outcry. This is the people fighting for its life. To those who condemn we who — to those who condemn us for rocking the boat, I say to you, we are not the enemy, not the ones polluting and endangering your lives, not the ones selling fear and devastation, not the ones perpetrating occupation and oppression. We look to better days where aloha is practiced everywhere.

Also, it was mentioned that your organization is a federal agency and an independent agency, and that felt like an oxymoron to me. I didn't quite calculate. I can't imagine how anything federal is independent. So I'm going to have to pursue some answers there. Of course, my bottom line is to see Hawaii return to the kanaka and I encourage all of us to support that in whatever way we can.

And you're trained scientists and that's a valuable education. I encourage you to use that science. Let it serve humanity. We have to grow organic food, we have to have clean transportation and we have to assure survival on

1 earth. Use your science for us. Thank you. 2 (Applause) 3 MR. McCONNELL: I'll take a shot and, John, if you want to chime in, we are independent in 4 5 the sense that we are independent from the 6 administration. We are not a cabinet department like 7 Department of Defense or Department of Energy, so we 8 basically report to Congress not to the President, 9 and Congress controls our budget and so that's -- I 10 know -- I think from your perspective, you see the 11 government as one big amorphous mass, but that's what 12 independent means in terms of our responsibility. 13 didn't do a very good job then? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't 14 No. 15 know that means. 16 It just means that we MR. McCONNELL: 17 are independent from the administration. We don't 18 sit in -- the commissioners don't sit in on cabinet 19 meetings. They're basically -- their job is solely 20 to regulate civilian use of nuclear material and we 21 report to Congress not to the President. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are you 23 appointed? 2.4 MR. McCONNELL: Our five commissioners 25 are appointed and they're appointed by the President

but confirmed by the Senate. And I think I mentioned that usually it's -- and I don't want to go too far 2 3 into this to take away time, but three of them are from the party that holds the presidency, two are 4 5 from the party that doesn't. MS. GOMES: Dan Sythe followed by 6 7 Shelley Stephens. 8 MR. SYTHE: Aloha kakou. I'm Dan Sythe. I'm executive director of the Whale Song 9 10 Project on Maui, which is a project focused on the 11 ocean health. I've been designing radiation 12 detection instruments and systems for 31 years and I 13 work with groups ranging from the Western Shoshone 14 Nation with problems around the nuclear test sites 15 there to Hanford and Three Mile Island, Chernobyl, 16 and so I'm trying to bring -- I live on Maui now. 17 I'm a resident of Maui. My favorite job is playing 18 I look to the Hawaiians for answers. to the Hawaiians for true science. The Hawaiians 19 20 understood what happens at the top of the mountain 21 affects the reefs and whether there's fish and 22 whether there's a healthy life. Astute observers of 23 nature. I listen to them. 24 My experience in citizen monitoring, I 25 worked with Dr. Carl Morgan, the father of modern

health physics with the blue ribbon scientific panel that was addressing the problems at Three Mile Island after the accident there and psychological impact was one of the big things that was looked at there. can't have a healthy community if you're stressed, and if you have real time monitoring and you have a transparent monitoring program that all the citizens participate in and everybody knows what's happening and there's scientific people that the people trust, then people sleep better and people are more relaxed. If they know they'll be alerted if something goes wrong, then they can sleep at night. And one of our most successful monitoring programs that I've been involved with, which is still active up around the Seabrook community up in Massachusetts, and we have a real time monitoring system up there. And one of the key things that I think is important about the way that's implemented is that the State taxes the nuclear plant and they fund an independent citizen group to do the monitoring, and I think the independence is really, really important. Complete, transparency is really important. And they have to be -- I cited a document with these fine people earlier. I want to thank you guys for coming out and, again, I told them earlier not to take anything

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I say personally.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I have a question and that's that the -- I live in California and we have three plants licensed by the NRC on fault lines and then Three Mile Island there was an accident, they called the -they called the NRC, nobody answered the phone. I know that's all ancient history, but just within the last couple of years, according to the New York Times, the NRC was -- somebody set up a bogus -- it was a federal investigative agency to see whether terrorists could get nuclear materials. They set up a bogus company. They made up the name of a company. They made up the name of individuals in the company. They applied for They got a Mailboxes Etc. address. a license for high level radioactive sources. were granted the license. And then they applied for an increase in the amount of materials they could get. And my question is, how can we make sure that the NRC is going to treat our community better and be more careful? How can we sleep good at night? mean, have you guys addressed the issue of licensing a bogus company? It's really not in my MR. McCONNELL: area, so it's difficult for me to respond. response is that the agency has responded to that

1	particular incident and I think there's probably a
2	documented record on our website about how we
3	responded, but I'm just not in a position to
4	MR. SYTHE: But there's all that stuff
5	about all of your procedures for ensuring safety and
6	regulatory correctness, but you didn't even call to
7	see if those people really existed or whether that
8	company really existed. You just gave them a
9	license. What's going to keep you from doing that
10	with the Army now?
11	MR. McCONNELL: Again, I can't speak
12	to that particular issue. All I can tell you is that
13	we take our job very seriously and we try to do the
14	best job we can.
15	MR. SYTHE: You take your job serious,
16	but what about those people?
16 17	but what about those people? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this
17 18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this gentleman talk over here.
17 18 19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this gentleman talk over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to
17 18 19 20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this gentleman talk over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to say what the gentleman was referring to was a report
17 18 19 20 21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this gentleman talk over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to say what the gentleman was referring to was a report by the Government Accountability Office, the GAO
17 18 19 20 21 22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let this gentleman talk over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to say what the gentleman was referring to was a report by the Government Accountability Office, the GAO you've heard about, which is a Congressional watchdog

1 they came to us and basically said, gotcha, we said, 2 okay, we have a problem and we changed our licensing 3 procedures. And we put it in that whenever a new 4 licensee or new applicant comes in for a license, 5 that we don't know them, we do go out and do a site visit now and that's one of the main things that we 6 7 didn't have in our procedures before that GAO 8 recommended we put in. 9 So that has changed. A number of 10 things have changed over the past few years to make 11 our licensing process more strict. And I can get 12 some information to you if you want. We can talk later. 13 14 MR. SYTHE: I told you I care about 15 the babies here so none of this is personal. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We do, too. 17 You raised a valid point and I just want to make the 18 point that we did respond to that and change our 19 licensing procedures. 20 MR. SYTHE: Thanks for coming. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But what you 22 just said there was when we don't know them, and 23 everybody knows the Army, and you cannot question the 24 Army's validity based on current societal norms. Wе 25 know who the Army is. We're not worried about their

identity or whether they are a true entity. 2 they exist, you know. 3 MS. GOMES: I'd like to move on, 4 because we have 13 more speakers. Shelley Stephens 5 followed by Bruce Anderson. 6 MS. STEPHENS: My name is Shelley 7 Stephens and I'm here to talk about also pictures 8 I've seen of the babies who, you know, suffered from 9 depleted uranium because of our attacks on Iraq and 10 whatnot. Also, that I had heard that the monitors 11 were down during the time that they were doing a 12 cleanup and barrels were being removed and taken to 13 the Hilo port and we don't know where those barrels 14 were taken to and why the monitors were down during 15 that specific cleanup. 16 And one of the questions was, when you 17 cleanup, can it destroy a cultural site? So part of 18 the problem is that the lease that was given by BLNR 19 technically as it stands that the military is 20 actually overextending their reach of impact zone and 21 they are violating their lease. 22 Now, Judge Ezra of the federal court 23 stated that Hawaii Kingdom law prevails when it comes 24 to land title. So what's happened is these Hawaiians 25 were forcibly removed, they're having cultural

unrest, their bones are being crushed in the open sunlight, and they're allowing foreign countries to come in and bomb the mountain in full face of non-compliance.

Now, I went to several meetings in Washington, the Pentagon environmental branch, Linda Faye was there, and so basically it has amounted to that they did not give us confirmation that there was depleted uranium at the time and so we are asking for complete compliance with lease requirements and that also Ordinance 0842, which is a cultural compliance ordinance that has been in effect since January 1st, 2009. So that is to be compliant with section 106, and all the other regulations. So by law you must consult with the Hawaiian lineal descendants whose allodial title has been bypassed and these Hawaiians have been forcibly removed.

I also wanted to bring up the fact that there is the Statehood Emissions Act and it's our responsibility, I'm a United States citizen, I'm an American Indian, I'm the related to the Hawaiians, but I'm going to tell you that there are violations of conditions of statehood that we must not cause the Hawaiians to diminish.

And in wind patterns also that

1	Waikoloa schools was effected. It showed that in the
2	wind patterns that depleted uranium dust could blow
3	to Waikoloa Elementary School. And I wanted to make
4	sure also, that the air monitors requested by the
5	Sierra Club are but back in place, heavy metal tags
6	required by OSHA for military personnel be in place,
7	and that the NRC please look into the military use of
8	snap generators mentioned in and referred in
9	Congressional meetings with Matsunaga from the
10	geothermal plant, that these are radioactive waste
11	buried six feet down on military sites in Hawaii.
12	And I'm also asking that military
13	leasing of the mountain for bombing practices to
14	other countries has to stop. Also, to look into
15	ocean military dump sites. We hear that they are
16	dumping presently two and a half miles done in the.
17	Puna trench. Also no future use of radioactive
18	components. Thank you.
19	(Applause)
20	MS. GOMES: Bruce Anderson followed by
21	Ko'olau Honolei.
22	Bruce Anderson?
23	Ko'olau.
د ۲	
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm here to

Ko'olau Honolei Apele. My ancestors are literally turning over in their graves and are being blown to bits at Pohakuloa. I cannot understand how this has happened, but I feel it in my bones, my iwi. From what I know, my tutu man goes up to the mountain and he carries a gourd full of bone shards and he tappas with a long bone. He goes to the mountain and he wants to pick up the pieces if iwi. He says the military is hurting him, poking him in the ribs. He shows me his bruises, all the size of quarters. Tutu says when he goes there he tells the military man, I have gathering rights, but then he cries out loud and says, oh, what am I gathering? The bones of my ancestors.

Contracts between the countries and training for ground exercises lead to destruction of the area and the fragile ecosystem of the mountain.

Bombing and live fire practice desecrate the bones.

My tutu I feel so sad for him. I hear him lament, even if I die, I will never rest. I must pick up all the bones.

Now, they're allowing foreign countries to keep blowing them up into smaller and smaller pieces. Who can help me? Now I know that the EIS for the Striker says, We, as Hawaiians, may

have face-to-face consultation with the military. 1 2 Also, the Department of Defense is now required to consult and communicate with Native Hawaiian 3 4 organizations. Also, for the lease held by the 5 military with DLNR was also violated on many I think that they have violated their 6 occasions. 7 It should be terminated and they should start lease. cleaning up and let us handle the bones in the sacred 8 9 sites. They should move the Strikers to Texas. 10 Mahalo. 11 MS. GOMES: Linda Faye Kroll followed 12 by Rex Weigel. I'm sorry if I'm pronouncing your 13 names incorrectly. MS. KROLL: I'm Linda Faye Kroll. 14 15 have studied military toxins for quite a few years. 16 I'm self-educated. I don't have degrees like a lot 17 of people here. May I borrow this? One thing I want 18 to make very, very clear -- Dr. Pang touched on it 19 when he spoke -- if this is depleted uranium, are we 20 worried about this? Yes. We are worried about 21 ballistic depleted uranium. You cannot see it. 22 Solid depleted uranium is not a threat we are 23 concerned about. Alpha radiation can be blocked by Those of us who are self-educated, we know 24 our skin. this, but when they've used it as a weapon and it 25

becomes dust, there's the problem.

The military is doing a lot of misinformation, disinformation and making us -- don't look over here folks, there's nothing to see. right along, move right along and over here they're doing the distraction. Trying to get a license to make it okay to make us a nuclear dump is not okay. The NRC must not license the military. Be strong, be the first in history to turn down the military for such a ridiculous license --

(Applause)

 $\mbox{--}$ the land of aloha a nuclear dump. We are concerned about the dust.

Now, a couple years ago when they did the opening of Saddle Road, it was May 29th, I'll never forget that day. I was up there with many of the people that are here. We had our own radiation monitors. It was right around 10 for the longest time. Then a gust of wind came up off Pohakuloa and we saw the radiation spike to 75 counts per minute. You can't see it. All of us there were exposed to something that was radioactive. I can have tiny DU particles floating around in my body right now. All it has to do is hit the right cell, knock the cell out of commission, because it has like 4 million

electron volts and a cell only has 10, then I've got a tumor. Then I've got cancer.

Since the beginning of talking about 3 the Striker brigade build-up, I've been to a number 4 5 of these meetings all over and they've heard the same 6 thing over and over again from the people: 7 We don't want it. We don't want the Striker brigade. We don't want nuclear waste in our islands. And they 8 9 go forward and they go forward. Listen to us this 10 We don't want it. Don't license them.

(Applause)

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. GOMES: Rex. Followed by Ron
13 Fujiyoshi.

MR. WEIGEL: Thank you. Everybody has spoken very eloquently to all the other issues. One of the things I want to talk you to about is the moral imperative, and I think that I can do that since we are talking about military weapons. I'm a military veteran. I would like to talk about the impact this is going to have on veterans and victims of the war. You know, 65, 70 years ago my uncle was working at Todd shipyard in Tacoma and he was blowing asbestos in the hulls of Navy ships without even a dust mask, and I'm sure there was someone from the federal government there to tell him that asbestos

was not going to hurt him and he died 40 years later of malignant mesothelioma. Are we going to wait 40 years to see what the implications of DU toxicity are?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm alarmed by this handout you gave I mean, this is really -- you really believe out. this? You people that have had education. You really think this is a benign substance? I mean, this is almost laughable. This is the scariest military weapon I have ever seen and you go to Kosovo, you go to Beirut you go to Gaza that place is contaminated with DU, and it doesn't just stay in the Gaza and Kosovo and Beirut, it comes to the Big Island in the wind and the rain. It's everywhere. This is the gift that keeps on giving. The veterans, the tank crewman that sits on that case of DU rounds radiated his testicles as he's traveling through the desert is going to die years later from radiation poisoning and you're going to be the ones that told him it was okay.

When this first came out in the paper,

I couldn't even believe that you were considering

this. I had to come to this meeting. I mean, I was

amazed that you would even consider this. To me

these weapons should not even be made. They're a

violation of the legal --2 (Applause) 3 -- (inaudible) and they're inhuman. 4 The people that live in these islands are going to be 5 suffering for years later. When I walked out of 6 Vietnam, as horrible as it was, the war was over for 7 me and for the Vietnamese for the most part. 8 they had to live with a lot of toxicity, too, with 9 agent orange, but this weapon, this residual is going 1.0 to kill people forever and there's not a damn thing 11 we can do about it. If we keep making these weapons 12 and we are the only ones doing it besides the 13 Israelis that get it from us, it's going to be a big 14 problem. 15 I ask you since you're human beings 16 like the rest of us and the other issues have been 17 addressed, look in your own heart and ask yourself do 18 you really want to contaminate this planet to that 19 extent? Because that's where we are going with this. It isn't just about this island or this state or the 20 21 United States, we are talking about the planet. 22 Thank you. 23 (Applause) 24 MS. GOMES: Ron Fugiyoshi followed by 25 Kane Thomas.

1 MR. FUJIYOSHI: Aloha, everyone. 2 name is Ronald Fujiyoshi. In my personal capacity 3 I'm the Luna Ho'omalu of the Association of Hawaii 4 Evangelical Churches, of the United Church of Christ, 5 but I'm here to speak on behalf of Micronesians 6 United of Big Island, since nobody has acknowledged I 7 want to thank -- acknowledge Sonny Kaniho, who was 8 the one who first took me up to view Pohakuloa and he 9 died recently, so I wanted to acknowledge Sonny. 10 I have -- actually I came because the 1] Governor Lingle has decided Tuesday, September 1, to 12 stop Med-QUEST for Micronesians and it's going to 13 affect at least 100 people on dialysis and 120 on 14 chemo and partly the reason why they're here is 15 because of the Marshallese -- there were 67 nuclear 16 and atomic tests done in Bikini and Enewetak from 17 1946 to 1958 and so I've been going over at least 18 four times on March 1st, which is the anniversary of 19 Bravo, which was the hydrogen bomb test, and that 20 test alone was 1,000 times the impact of the 21 Hiroshima A bomb. I've also been to Vieques at least 22 four times and the studies show that the DU that's 23 being blown over by the wind has caused the cancer in 24 the Vieques people that is much, much higher than in 25 Puerto Rico itself.

Now, I have four photos that I brought -- actually they're one of eight photos that -- just to show you what happens to children. Now, these photos were in the years 2004 and 2005 six Utrok kids -- I'm reading so you don't believe I make it up, right -- Utrok kids, babies who were born with terrible mutations such as swollen head, no ears, gray hair and other malformations. All of these babies died within weeks of their birth. Now, this is our first baby. You can see she has -- the baby has no ear. The hair is

see she has -- the baby has no ear. The hair is premature white. This is another baby. You can see a very enlarged head. This is from the top. Now, these babies are grandchildren of the original people who were affected by the Bravo tests on Utrok and the U.S. scientists all claimed that radiation is not inherited. So the senator, at that time Senator Yamamura, presented this to the DOE as proof that it is available.

So, you know, it's very hard to believe the fox that's guarding the henhouse, right? And so if they are the ones who are providing the statistics on telling you that you don't have to worry, you have to really think twice about that. And then I think what we are saying is that we really

```
don't know the effects of continued radiation, right?
1
2
    Even in small doses, over long period of time we
 3
    really don't know the effects and so I think, you
 4
    know, I -- although I'm a third generation Japanese,
 5
    I really believe that Hawaii is -- is being occupied
 6
    by a foreign military government and I think this
7
    agency has to take responsibility to make sure they
    get out.
                   So thank you very much.
10
                   (Applause)
11
                   MS. GOMES: Kane Thomas followed by
12
    Deborah Ward.
13
                   MR. THOMAS:
                                Hello, everyone.
                                                   My name
    is Kane Thomas. I was born and raised here on the
14
15
    Big Island. I'm a student here at the University of
16
    Hawaii Hilo, trying to get an education within the
17
             I would like to say this is a conspiracy.
18
    There's too much secrecy. Our mantra is (inaudible)
19
    as citizens, as human beings who are using reason.
20
    What facts are you guys looking at? What reason are
21
    you using?
               We all know that the military lies. You
22
    guys should all take this personally, very
2.3
    personally.
24
                   The fact that Kona has the highest
25
    cancer rates is new to me. I don't know why. People
```

1 should know about this. You guys should guit 2 avoiding the real questions. How will you guys show 3 us that you're responsible? How will you be 4 accountable? 5 (Applause) 6 I want you to keep in touch with 7 Hawaii, because you're going to be accepting these 8 deals. Keep in touch with us, you know. I want you 9 to listen to us, listen to me. This is not your 10 And I'm not the only one who's angry. 11 Thousands. 12 (Applause) 13 MS. GOMES: Deborah Ward followed by Mike Reimer. 14 15 MS. WARD: Aloha. My name is Deborah Thank you all very much for coming and for 16 Ward. 17 coming all the way that you came. 18 I'm a scientist, but I have spent my 19 life being aware that even scientists don't tell the 2.0 truth all the time, and a lot of times things are 21 found much later to be not true after they've been 22 presented as true for many years, and so I'd like to 23 share a personal story and that is that I'm a cancer survivor with head and neck cancer with an unknown 24 25 primary, and the unknown primary meant that I needed to have the most radiation in order to save my life 13 years ago.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

The cancer that I have is generally associated with people that drink quite heavily or smoke quite heavily and in this case I'm not one of the drinkers or smokers and I asked the doctors how on earth did I end up with this? And I was told that it was most likely airborne particulates that caused the mutation that caused my cancer. So that's my personal story.

When I was volunteering at a Sierra Club project a few years -- not a few years ago, a couple of years ago, about a week after a very intense fire caused by military live fire at Pohakuloa, on this island, I was sitting at the top of Puu Waawaa, which is an old cinder cone on the Kona side and I was looking out at the Pohakuloa area enjoying the day, it was a beautiful sunny day, when a very large wind came up and that wind carried dust about half a mile high in a very large brown cloud. It blew it through the saddle and over to the Kona side, across the Waikoloa area where schools are and houses are and blew it in the direction of the ocean. And that dust cloud obscured Maunakea. That's how high and how thick and how dark it was, immediately

after a fire.

1.4

Now, if the dust borne particles of that kind of a cloud are being carried across school grounds, I worry about the children who may end up with the kind of cancer that I had and may not be as lucky as I was to have survived it.

so the other thing I would like to relate to you is that I lived on Oahu for 20 years in a valley adjacent to Kalama Valley, and Kalama Valley was taken from a Hawaiian family in 1942 and used as bombing practice and munitions practice for the entire time during World War II. At the end of that time, the Hawaiians asked for their land back and they were told, well, we need to do cleanup. It is now more than 50 years later. That cleanup has never occurred and the family has never gotten their land back. This is the kind of thing that we put up with in Hawaii.

When I arrived on this island, I was astounded to find out that Patsy Mink who's our senator who has passed away now, had to do a FOIA and many years of work in order to find out what happened to the Sarin tests. It turns out that those -- that the Sarin being used for testing was being put into lava cracks in the Waiakea Forest Reserve. It wasn't

released by the Army. Nobody knew it until Patsy
Mink had to find it out for us and make us aware of
it. Thank you.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. GOMES: Cory Harden is going to be reading for Mike Reimer. He's a geologist. And then it's Megan Rycraft.

I'll just read from Mike MS. HARDEN: Reimer's e-mail. Mike Reimer is a Hawaii resident, a retired geologist, had a distinguished career in public service. He held a National Academy of Science Post Doctoral Fellowship with the National Institutes of Science and Technology, worked as a research geologist in energy resources and naturally occurring radiation risks at the U.S. Geological Survey and as a research professor at the Colorado School of Mines, heading a research institute and serving a period as chair of the graduate qeochemistry program. He's a member of the citizens advisory group of the Big Island to the U. S. Army, which I mentioned in the testimony and currently is on the mainland engaged in consulting work.

His recommendations are: The quality of monitoring must be enhanced to be able to detect DU. I know he's had serious concerns about the air

monitoring.

Two, there should be independent monitoring to give assurance that the current monitoring is adequate for long term observation.

Three, any continued use of live fire at the suspected DU training area sites must cease immediately as it could contribute to the release of aerosols; and,

Four, if the DU is to remain in place, the license must address the issues of this being considered a closed low level radioactive repository site and the appropriate measures dealing with such must be applied.

He says, We know the ideal solution. At the former Lowry Air Base in Aurora, Colorado, when DU emissions were found, acres of surface were scraped and moved to a low level repository site. That could be applied here which would be consistent with the low and reasonably achievable principle, especially when the soil and bedrock background have been compromised by a factor of two or more. The fact that the DU may be in an area of unexploded ordnance in itself is not prohibitory as cleanup of unexploded ordnance is performed at Waikoloa when roads for a housing development were planned.

1 Realistically we recognize what could happen and what 2 should happen but will not happen. We sadly 3 recognize that this entire training area in this land 4 of paradise well beyond our lifetime and a massive 5 eruption from Mauna Loa notwithstanding will 6 eventually have to be declared a national sacrifice 7 area closed forever, no wilderness, no park, no 8 wildlife refuge. Closed forever. Another piece of 9 paradise lost. 10 Don't sweep this issue under the rug 11 or in the case of Pohakuloa under the basalt. Don't 12 substitute minimal requirements when comprehensive 13 ones should in place. It is our objective to 14 contribute as stakeholders seeking a proper and best 15 resolution. We ask that you, too, participate in 16 achieving that goal. 17 (Applause) 18 MS. GOMES: Megan Rycraft followed by 19 Professor Lui Kekumano. 20 MS. RYCRAFT: Good evening, my name is 21 I grew up here in Hilo. I graduated from Megan. 22 Hilo High. I went on to school on the mainland. 23 went to school, graduated from the Maine Maritime 24 I've been sailing as a merchant marine. Academy. 25 I've worked in the Middle East on a petroleum tanker

1 carrying jet fuel for the Department of Defense and I 2 didn't know anything about this meeting tonight. 3 when my mom asked me to come, I really didn't even want to and I had a pretty bad attitude about coming. 4 And I have been sitting here listening to it and the 5 6 more and more I listen, the more and more angry I 7 It was just such an eye-opener. got, you know. 8 going to cry, because I'm an emotional girl. 9 ignorance on my behalf. I didn't know anything about 10 I'm totally -- you know, I don't have any 11 I didn't know any of this history, you know. 12 And I'm just like ashamed for my own generation. 13 many of us in my generation are even here? 14 only count Kane that stood up and the girl who sat 15 behind me. These are our kids that are going to deal 16 with this. That's what Rex brought to our attention. 17 40 years ago asbestos was a concern and I'm still 18 sailing on merchant ships that are equipped with 19 asbestos. We still carry respirators for ships that 2.0 have been built in the year 2000, 2005, you know. 21 The accountability or the lack thereof 22 is astounding. It's astounding. And the ignorance 23 on my behalf to hold people accountable is what's 24 astounding, you know. I mean, there are fines for 25 littering. If I litter, I get a fine, you know.

1 mean, there is -- there's a level of accountability 2 here that I think goes beyond anything we can begin 3 to imagine. And I think that the people here who have mentioned things like cultural impact 4 5 statements. Where is that in the procedure? Where 6 is the accountability for the U.S. Army to provide a 7 cultural impact statement? 8 You know, resorts that are being built 9 in Kona they're required to have people on their 10 staff to research and learn about the land where they 11 are building and provide accountability for the 12 resort to construct things around things of 13 significance and not only to construct around it, but 14 to preserve what's already there. Thank you. 15 You know, it's the more and more I 16 talk about it, the more and more heated I get, you 17 I work directly with the Department of 18 Defense. I understand how it works. It's crazy. 19 There is no accountability. You can tell them over 20 and over and over again that something is unsafe, it 21 doesn't matter. I'm still carrying jet fuel for 22 I still have a job with them, you know. them. The 23 fact that I didn't even know this was going on 24 tonight I think is extremely telling. If you want 25 community impact, there you go right now.

1 around here and see how many people are here and how many people like me who grew up in Hilo who would be 2 so angered by what is going on here and know nothing 3 4 about it. That's what you need to take home. 5 many don't know nothing -- don't know nothing 6 (laughter) -- don't know anything about this? 7 many people? You know. That is what you need to 8 remember. You know. I mean, I'm just appalled. 9 That's what I want to go home saying. I'm just so 10 appalled. 11 (Applause) 12 MS. GOMES: Professor Lui Kekumano 13 followed by Able Lui. MR. KEKUMANO: Mahalo. 14 First of all, 15 I thank the young people for speaking their truth, 16 their heart, for they are the backbone of our 17 community and our world. We solicit or the 18 government solicits you to give them permission to 19 place toxic waste in our air that will last a half 2.0 life is 34 billion years. So if depleted uranium 21 lives a half of it's life at 34 billion years, 22 there's nothing you can do to clean it up. 23 As far as the regulatory basis, you 24 say that you have an enforcement regulatory plan or 25 how you can fine people and monitor people? Are they

1	going to be paying \$10,000 for the opportunity to gas
2	all of us in this room? Is that what the fine is
3	going to be when you enforce it? I would like to
4	know what your sincerity is by all of you repeating
5	to me in unison, what is the State motto of Hawaii?
6	May I hear it from all of you now? This is to tell
7	me how sincere you are, because you said you were
8	sincere, you at the end. You're very sincere, so can
9	I hear it?
10	MR. McCONNELL: I don't think you'll
11	hear it from us. I don't know it.
12	MR. KEKUMANO: Could anybody in your
13	panel give me the state motto? No. For every one in
14	the class here who knows what the state motto, could
15	you please say it in unison.
16	AUDIENCE: Ua Mau Ke Ea O Ka Aina I
17	Ka Pono.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Perpetuate the
19	land in righteousness.
20	MR. KEKUMANO: Okay. Let's hear the
21	translation from Ms. Shelley.
22	MS. STEPHENS: As I understand it,
23	it's to perpetuate the land in righteousness but also
24	for the future generations of the children now.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: To perpetuate

the life of the land and that's what we're meddling
with here.

MR. KEKUMANO: That is the State

MR. KEKUMANO: That is the State motto, so you shouldn't need to listen why you should accept the military's licensing or make them people who can have depleted uranium as their number one business.

But I'm here because I am from Kau and Kau is a designated place to bury all the military's toxic waste so that they will give Kau the economic dollars to get us out of the economic depression that Kau is in. So we are in trouble because someone from your panel could make that possible for the military to be dumping it in our area.

So if our state motto is one they adopted from Kamehameha III to say that nothing on our land should cause the demise of us, in that sense and that righteousness should reign, then you should never give anybody a license to --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kill.

MR. KEKUMANO: License the kill.

I realize that -- I'm pau, but you are saying that 155,000 you give, according to the newspaper, for anybody who wants to be the one who monitors depleted uranium. So a lot of us who don't

1	have a job might take that very seriously and come
2	and apply for the 155,000. I hope you're not going
3	to do that. Thank you.
4	MS. GOMES: Able Lui followed by Soli.
5	MR. LUI: Aloha. I never know about
6	this meeting. A friend of mine came by from Kawaa
7	where I live this afternoon. And I had no he said
8	come to this meeting. That's my sister. They came
9	to do other things and I never knew I was going to be
10	here.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We were told
12	about this, too, and we came.
13	MR. LUI: Anyway, the gigs up.
14	(Applause)
15	MR. LUI: Pau already. You guys never
16	learn nothing from other wars? You been in the war,
17	sir?
18	MR. McCONNELL: No, I have not.
	· ·
19	MR. LUI: What about you, sir?
19 20	
	MR. LUI: What about you, sir?
20	MR. LUI: What about you, sir?
20	MR. LUI: What about you, sir? MR. HULL: I've not been in the war. MR. LUI: And you, ma'am?
20 21 22	MR. LUI: What about you, sir? MR. HULL: I've not been in the war. MR. LUI: And you, ma'am? MS. TADESSE: No.

1 in Afghanistan. 2 MR. LUI: Lord, the man's daughter is 3 over there. Bring her home safely to her father over here. 4 5 MR. McCONNELL: And I two another 6 children that are the Navy, so I know what war is. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I hope 8 you're going to stop this one. 9 MR. LUI: No, you don't. You haven't 10 been in a war you don't come tell us about no war. 11 One of your children can, because nobody would shoot 12 at you. Nobody come and give you food starving out 13 there. You've been outside there in the trench. 14 no come tell me this nonsense. Don't give me this 15 BS. The gig is up with the military. Time for them 16 guys go back home. Go back to America. This is not 17 We have got treaties. You guys should do America. 18 your homework and find out about Hawaii. 19 treaties with all the countries and you guys making 20 decisions. 21 My son is only 17 years old. 22 year he going to graduate and I hope you don't tell 23 me that he go in the war. You know what I mean? Ι 24 have to take my kids off this land to put in there. 25 Pohakuloa and this island just like die and don't

1 know how it is. All this bombing and all of these 2 things that they're bringing. You guys heard them 3 from all the people. Maybe one day we come up here 4 and tell you about the future and the future is now. 5 Not before. We are talking about now. 6 What if my son come home and, dad, 7 what happened up there on the mountain? Sorry, dad 8 we get cancer now. I don't want cancer, but this is 9 what I have. Oh, I got one more minute. 10 I got open heart surgery. I don't got 1.1 My cousin he get the cancer. Today he no 12 can breathe. He had to come into town. My wife died 13 from cancer. My dad died from cancer. All from this 14 stuff. I thank God that I'm alive. I thank God that 15 all of these people come and show up and give their 16 that manao. You folks, you said you got kids 17 daughter and grandkids and look at yourself tonight 18 when you guys go home, you got to pick up after, 19 because this concern our land and everything. 20 concern you, but if you're going to live here, then 21 let's get along with the man, because if not, man, 22 this whole deal going to blow up. 23 Thank you. Aloha. 24 (Applause) 25 MS. GOMES: Isaac Harp and then Soli

1 Nineu. 2 MR. HARP: Mahalo. Is this still on? 3 Thank you for an opportunity to submit my testimony 4 last night, but I had a small addition to put into 5 it. I asked you last night to consider protecting 6 the people here in the island and when I went home 7 last night I recalled my oldest son, he joined the 8 Army against my wishes and he ended up training in 9 Pohakuloa for several months, and because the Army is 10 being careless with the young men training in the area, we care about them and we don't want to see 11 12 them exposed to the depleted uranium. So I ask you to step in to protect not only the people of the 13 14 island but also the young men who come to train at 15 Pohakuloa. 16 Thank you very much. 17 (Applause) Aloha. 18 MR. NIHEU: I would like to 19 say a special aloha to my interpreter over here, 20 because she never heard some of the things I say 21 before, and took the opportunity to share with her my 22 manao. 23 But anyway, I spoke last night just very briefly and it is quite obvious that this panel 24

here is -- you know, you don't give a rip.

25

don't give a rip. They just don't. That's the way That's why they sit on this panel. here to just be -- oh, he got a sad story. We are going to listen, but they're not going to do nothing. The only time something is going to be done is that we going to have to do it. No ifs, ands or okole. Let's get that straight in our minds, they are not going to do it. So when the time comes, we have to do it. We got to do what is necessary and you know what that means? (Speaking Hawaiian) So fight for the sovereignty of our people, of our nation. matter what this NRC does, they ain't going to do jack shit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, when I went home, I took a real close look at the declaration of human rights and the declaration of indigenous rights and in there they have articles protecting all humans, protecting peoples of the world from harmful technologies, such as nuclear radiation. People gave their lives for the document, but when I read this last night when I went home, there's a disclaimer in there, in the declaration of human rights and it's sad to say, I'll show it to you if anybody wants to see it, it's sad to say, the doctrine says, it has no legal standing. I was terribly shocked. So what it tells me is that

```
1
    it is up to us to enforce those documents,
 2
    declaration of human rights, and to tell the United
 3
    States there must be a signature to the declaration
 4
    of human rights, because the declaration of human
 5
    rights is not enough for those who originate from
 6
    here and those who originated from their homelands.
                   So once again, this is another example
8
    of the United States and its continuation of rights,
 9
    starting with the Declaration of Independence, Bill
10
    of Rights, Civil Rights. This is all bullshit.
11
                   So anyway, in closing, I'm going to
12
    say that when you Americans are tired of being
13
    Americans, come and join us.
14
                   (Applause)
15
                   MS. GOMES: I think the battery is
16
                  Anyway, that's the end of our
    running out.
17
                  No time for questions.
    testimonies.
18
                   UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           Do we have time
19
    for questions?
2.0
                   MS. GOMES: Did you have a question?
21
                   UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           Yes, I'm just
22
    kind of curious when the words that you heard that
23
    you guys ain't going to do nothing, I just wondered
    how that registered in your space and what your inner
24
25
    response was to it, how you felt and in your heart
```

and mind? 1 Yes. 2 MR. McCONNELL: That's, I think, the 3 typical question, because --4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Why is that 5 difficult? 6 MR. McCONNELL: I said a typical 7 question, and let me tell you why, okay. 8 raised a number of issues that are way beyond what we 9 were here to talk about and what we can influence and 10 what our role is. I mean, I think as many of you 11 have expressed tonight there are many, many, many 12 issues that you all are struggling with and are concerned with but that's not within our framework. 13 14 That's more within a legal and a political framework 15 that we just can't address. So that's why it's 16 difficult for us to respond. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What legal 18 framework? 19 MR. GUMAPAC: I have a question. The 20 question that I have was I raised an issue on the 21 cultural impact. So we would like to invite you to 22 attend the Kanaka Council meeting so that we can 23 address you based on our culture, because you're 24 listening to everybody else, but when have you sat. 25 down with the kanaka maoli and really listened to

1 what we have to say? And we would like to present 2 that to you and invite you to come and at the same 3 time, because I'm on the record, I would like to say 4 that we need one hearing and are filing one official 5 appeal to you, rather than writing, because I hate writing. I'm using my voice to tell you that we 6 7 have -- this is an official filing on hearing to be 8 conducted here. 9 (Applause) 10 I'll say two things, MR. McCONNELL: 11 if I could, I'd like to get information on the group, because we have an outreach program for Native 12 13 Americans and other indigenous people --14 MR. GUMAPAC: We're not Native 15 Americans. 16 MR. McCONNELL: I said and other 17 indigenous groups. 18 MR. GUMAPAC: We're not indigenous. 19 MR. McCONNELL: Okay. Well, I 20 obviously need help there. We have be an outreach 21 program and if we could get your information, we 22 would like to include that so --23 MR. GUMAPAC: We don't want an 24 outreach. We'd like you guys sitting over here now. 25 MR. McCONNELL: Well --

1 MR. GUMAPAC: Not an outreach because 2 you send messengers. We like you guys. 3 MR. McCONNELL: And I think there was 4 an issue. I think we would like to respond to. 5 couple of times environmental impact and cultural 6 impacts have come up and I think we would like a 7 chance to respond to that. 8 MS. TADESSE: As part of our 9 environmental assessment we do -- are required to 10 have Section 108 consultation and we do contact the 11 fish and wildlife and the SHPO, the State's 12 historical --13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're federal 14 bodies. They're not kanaka maoli. Those are federal 15 bodies. You need direct consultation with bodies. 16 MS. TADESSE: It's the State, it's 17 not --18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're not State represented. Hawaiians are different. Hawaii nei. 19 20 This is a belligerently occupied independent legal 21 State does direct consultation with lineal 22 descendants of Hawaii nei. 23 MR. GUMAPAC: I'll give you my contact 24 number so that we can set it up so that we get the 25 kanaka maoli to be able to sit. We're not part of

1 the State, we're not part of the federal. 2 Hawaiian national, a sovereign nation. 3 MR. McCONNELL: I think we are going 4 to need help obviously from people who are more 5 knowledgeable about those things. 6 MR. GUMAPAC: I'll give you my number 7 and let's set it up. 8 MR. McCONNELL: Okav. 9 MS. GOMES: Uncle here wants to say 10 something. Excuse me. Wait. He wants to say 11 something. Hold on. Yeah. 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My name is Kawi Kiluea (phonetic.) Why I am here tonight is because 13 14 you guys are blind to what Hawaii is all about, its body system of humanity. (speaking Hawaiian) Okay. 15 16 We represent the heart in this world body system of 17 humanity that is why we have a culture called aloha and (speaking Hawaiian) is for all us to practice to 18 make this world a better one. 19 20 But you guys all these military mind. 21 You guys not fully focused. You guys got to put you 22 guys -- you guys got be not presenting our society 23 all the people. You guys got to put the (inaudible) 24 first, aina, second, then you. (speaking Hawaiian) 25 you guys, no more culture. We get culture to us.

You guys can all (inaudible) and now we can make

America Big Brother but you guys got no manao. We

give culture. But you guys disrespect big time. Big

time disrespect. And it's like you think you

(inaudible) You understand?

You guys take me serious, because I love Hawaii. I hate the people come here and make no more respect. It's number one in America's book. You guys get everything all over your face, but you guys, Big Brother to the whole world, but to (inaudible) because every culture that came into power they lost their power because no one (inaudible).

(Applause)

MS. GASMAN: My name is Renada Gasman (phonetic). I had the privilege to live in Pohakuloa Mauna Kea State Park from 1984 to 1986. I came from Berlin with a specialty degree of bird diseases and together with my ex-husband we would raise endangered species at that time the Alala, the Hawaiian goose, the Nene, and the Hawaiian duck. And in this two years we had no knowledge that anything was put out like uranium, but we had several instances when we came out in the morning there would be dead birds in the open air on the ground. Hawaii had barely any

facilities -- Hawaii didn't have even a toxicology facility when we had die offs of owls, which is not connected to you guys. That was another issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But we -- my husband was a zoologist with a Ph.D. made in Germany, I have a Ph.D. in veterinary medicine and we both were very, very astonished how come the bird which when they die go in hiding. If a bird is not intoxicated or succumbing to any accident, it's not dying in the open. And we would find these birds, and we were like what is this? Yeah. I mean, we weren't that smart at that time what it could be, because we were We had so many other things to do. We left Pohakuloa on behalf of my ex-husband, because we had only eight Hawaiian crows left in the cages. meant four breeding pairs and from those eight crows, four were naked. This was due probably to uranium, but we cannot prove it yet -- or now because it's too late but these crows were so stressed by the military, the airplanes flew right over the crow cages, would land beyond the crow cages. birds could not escape and so they were getting neurotic like your macaw or like your Amazon in the cage and they had no feathers left.

1 the military and they flew us up to Maui, put us in a 2 prison and we are like, okay, the East Germans never 3 come back. I mean, my husband was from Michigan. 4 Anyway, I was interested or I would be 5 very interested how you monitor the environmental 6 impacts and who is monitoring it and what -- I mean, do you include birds? I only heard a little bit 8 about water and those things, but I really want to 9 make you aware that birds in the old days in Germany 10 were telling the miners when they would suffocate. 11 Birds have a totally -- when you have a teflon pan in 12 your kitchen and you overheat it and you have a 13 canary, it will die, because of the inhalation. 14 Birds have air sacks not only a lung and that is 15 several times smaller than having a lung as a 16 mammalian. So I wanted to make you aware, please 17 include the birds. There can be sparrows, which you 18 have in the Pohakuloa, a minor bird, but please do 19 this. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good point. 21 (Applause) 22 I would DAVID: Hi, my name is David. 23 just like one minute of your time. I think from 24 hearing everybody tonight I think it's a hands down 25 decision that we don't want any DU here. Is that

1 understood? Thank you. 2 MS. GOMES: We have one more minute. 3 MR. KAPAHUA: I'm Alva Kapahua, Jr., 4 descendant of Keeaumoku and descendant of Kamehameha 5 I don't want you guys on my land. Striker, all 6 you guys get off. Okay, because Keeaumoku is my 7 family's land. Okay. You guys stay off my family's 8 land, because you have desecrated enough. I help you guys desecrate -- I was in the Army for five years, 10 five and a half years. I know what you guys do and 11 what you made me do. You guys stay off my family's 12 That's all I get to tell you guys, because you 13 guys got nothing good come out of you guys. You guys say you guys protect us. You guys not protecting us. 14 15 I don't see not one protection from you guys. 16 stay off my family's land. 17 MS. GOMES: You know, a lot of you --18 (Applause) 19 MS. GOMES: -- committed earlier to 20 helping to clean up and put things back, so I want to 21 like thank everybody for being here and turn it over 22 to Keith for any last minute comments. And then if 23 folks can help to put the tables back. Tables go 24 this way and the chairs are on both sides of the 25 table.

1	Keith is going to make his last
2	comments.
. 3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you folks
4	have a decision on what you're going to do here?
5	MR. McCONNELL: Yes, and that usually
6	takes our process usually takes approximately a
7	year.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What do you
9	think? What do you feel personally on what your
10	decision is going to be?
11	MR. McCONNELL: I think our decision
12·	is yet to be made.
.13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yet to be made.
14	MR. McCONNELL: We have to weigh all
15	the facts.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're not
17	telling me anything.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You make
19	another war.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When you guys
21	go and have your community meetings in Fort Worth,
22	Texas, and there are a bunch of others listed, are
23	they as angry as we are? I mean, honestly do they
24	have the same amount of public outcry?
25	MR. McCONNELL: I think we see similar

```
1
    passion -- the meeting is breaking down and I think
    we do have to get out of here, but what I'll say is {\tt I}
 2
 3
    want to thank you for coming out. Your concerns are
 4
    obviously heartfelt and sincere.
                                         So thank you again.
 5
    Good night.
 6
                     (Meeting concluded at 8:43 p.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF HAWAII)
2	COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
3	
4	I, Nancy P. Blankenship, Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of Hawaii,
6	certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported
7	stenographically by me at the time and place
8	indicated.
9	Given under my hand on this 18th day of
10	September, 2009.
11	
12	
13	Man Maland
14	Nandy P. Blankenship, CSR #459
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	