Mr. Dealis W. Gwyn, Licensing Manager Shaw AREVA MOX Services P.O. Box 7097 Aiken, SC 29804-7097

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE REVIEW OF

THE INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DESCRIBED IN THE INTEGRATED SAFETY ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND LICENSE APPLICATION FOR THE MIXED OXIDE FUEL FABRICATION

FACILITY

Dear Mr. Gwyn:

We have reviewed the instrumentation and control (I&C) and electrical engineering information in your license application submittal, dated November 17, 2006, and the integrated safety analysis summary dated September 27, 2006, as revised on December 17, 2007. The submittal requests a license to possess and use, special nuclear, source, and byproduct material in the Mixed Oxide (MOX) Fuel Fabrication Facility (MFFF). The MFFF, which is to be located on the U.S. Department of Energy's Savannah River Site in Aiken, South Carolina, will process and fabricate MOX fuel for use in commercial nuclear power plants as part of the U.S. Department of Energy's plutonium disposition program.

We have enclosed a list of additional information that is needed by the staff in order to complete its review of the I&C and electrical engineering aspects of the MFFF. Please provide us with a response describing how our questions were addressed and any other changes to licensing documents that were necessary to incorporate the response. The response should be provided within 90 days of the date of this letter.

Please contact me at (301) 492-3130 if you have questions.

D. Gwyn - 2 -

In accordance to the Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* 2.390 of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Kevin Morrissey, Project Manager Special Projects and Technical Support Directorate Mixed Oxide and Uranium Deconversion Branch Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

Docket: 70-3098

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure:

S. Glenn, NNSA/SRS A.J.

J. Olencz, DOE S. Jenkins, SC Dept. of HEC

D. Curran, Esq., NWS

A.J. Eggenberger, DNFSB

L. Zeller, BREDL G. Carroll, NWS

D. Silverman, Esq.

D. Gwyn - 2 -

In accordance to the Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* 2.390 of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Kevin Morrissey, Project Manager Special Projects and Technical Support Directorate Mixed Oxide and Uranium Deconversion Branch Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

Docket: 70-3098

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure:

S. Glenn, NNSA/SRS A.J. Eggenberger, DNFSB

J. Olencz, DOE

S. Jenkins, SC Dept. of HEC

D. Curran, Esq., NWS

L. Zeller, BREDL

G. Carroll, NWS

D. Silverman, Esq.

DISTRIBUTION:

NMSS, r/f DTiktinsky MShannon, RII DMcIntyre, OPA, DSeymour, RII

ML090760154

OFFICE	MODB	TSB	MODB	MODB
NAME	KMorrissey	DRahn	CGibbs	MKotzalas
DATE	03/18/09	03/ 18 /09	03/ 26 /09	03/ 26 /09

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Request for Additional Information Plant Systems –Instrumentation and Control and Electrical Design

Plant Systems - Instrumentation and Control

PS-I&C-1

Integrated Safety Analysis (ISA) Summary Section 5.3 and License Application (LA) Sections 11.5.1.1.3 and 11.5.1.3

Setpoint Methodology

Provide a description of the methodology that will be used to establish allowances in the settings and calibrated ranges of instruments and devices used as items relied on for safety (IROFS). Address the uncertainties in instrumentation channel accuracies and instrument drift that can occur between successive calibration surveillances. Address how the effects of uncertainties (e.g., calibration standards, calibration equipment, calibration methods, instrument reference accuracy, power supply fluctuations, normal and anticipated abnormal ambient environmental effects, radiation exposure, analog-to-digital conversion, digital signal processing, instrument performance during design basis events, process dependencies and dynamics, and installation-based effects) will be accounted for in the settings of instruments used as IROFS. Identify how a safety margin will be established to accommodate these instrument channel uncertainties, as well as account for process modeling error or process dynamics uncertainties. Describe how the implementation of this methodology will assure that IROFS will perform their intended safety functions before the process analytical limit is reached, so as to meet the performance requirements of 10 Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(10) requires that the design of new facilities provide for the inclusion of instrumentation and control (I&C) systems to monitor and control the behavior of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

PS-I&C-2

ISA Summary Sections 5.2.2.4 and 5.3 and LA Sections 11.5.1.1.3 and 11.5.1.3

Equipment Qualification

Identify whether there are any active engineered process instruments, programmable logic controllers (PLCs), or devices used as IROFS which must function to mitigate an identified

process hazard event following chronic or acute exposure to or during exposure to potential identified chemical hazards. Provide a description of how such IROFS will be assured of being reliable and available to perform their required safety functions in the event of such chemical exposure. Clarify the statement in Section 11.5.1.3 of the LA that "IEEE-323 is used as a basis for the program of environmental qualification for normal, abnormal, and accident conditions," as it applies to the identification of the qualification parameters and qualification testing needed to assure the reliability of I&C IROFS which may be exposed to continual or occasional chemical atmospheres or potential chemical hazards.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

PS-I&C-3

ISA Summary Section 4.5.1.2.1 and LA Sections 11.5.1.2.1 and 11.5.1.3

Interface between Utility Control System and Emergency Control System

Clarify the description in Section 11.5.1.2.1 of the LA regarding the design of the utility normal control system. The statement indicates that the utility normal control system communicates "data" to the emergency control system, while the description of the emergency control system states that it is "constructed from traditional electromechanical relays, solid state electronic systems, display devices, and manually operated switches," and that "software programmable devices (such as PLCs PCs and computers), are not utilized to satisfy a safety or emergency function." Provide additional description in Section 11.5.1.3 of the LA to identify how the emergency control system uses the data transmitted to it from the utility control system and whether this data is relied upon for the accomplishment of safety-related functions.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

PS-I&C-4

ISA Summary Section 4.5.1.2.1 and LA Sections 11.5.1.2.1 and 11.5.1.3

Interface between Utility Control System and Emergency Control System

Clarify the description in Section 11.5.1.3 of the LA regarding the design of the emergency control system. The statement indicates that the utility normal control system communicates "data" to the emergency control system, while the description of the emergency control system states that it is "constructed from traditional electromechanical relays, solid state electronic

systems, display devices, and manually operated switches," and that "software programmable devices (such as PLCs PCs and computers), are not utilized to satisfy a safety or emergency function." Provide a description of any interface between the emergency control system and components within the actuator motor control center that cause the actuated components to open/close or start/stop. Verify either that these components are not required to accomplish safety actions, or do not require the use of software programmable instructions. If they do, provide a description of how these components are qualified to perform their required safety actions.

NUREG 1718 Section 5.3.2 states that the ISA summary should contain a description of "IROFS for all accidents in each process sufficiently to understand their safety function in meeting the appropriate consequence and likelihood requirements of 10 CFR 70.61." It also states that the ISA summary should contain information demonstrating compliance with baseline design criteria required by 10 CFR 70.64(a)(1) through (5) and (7) through (10) for new facilities.

PS-I&C-5

ISA Summary Section 4.5.1.2.2 and LA Section 11.5.1.2

Utility Auxiliary Control System Design Basis

Clarify the description of the function served by the utility auxiliary controllers and workstations. Identify the functions served by and the conditions under which the utility auxiliary controller workstations are expected to "provide alternate monitoring and control capability in the event that the normal utility control systems become compromised, disabled, or otherwise unavailable." Specify the design basis conditions or events for which it is deemed necessary for the utility auxiliary workstations to be independent from, but located in the same normal utility control room D-301, albeit in a separate location from the normal utility control room workstations. Include in this discussion an evaluation of how such a system does not share a potential common mode failure mechanism with the normal utility control system. Alternatively, provide a discussion regarding why the likelihood for such potential common mode failure is considered to be negligible.

NUREG 1718 Section 5.3.2 states that the ISA summary should contain a description of "IROFS for all accidents in each process sufficiently to understand their safety function in meeting the appropriate consequence and likelihood requirements of 10 CFR 70.61." It also states that the ISA summary should contain information demonstrating compliance with baseline design criteria required by 10 CFR 70.64(a)(1) through (5) and (7) through (10) for new facilities.

PS-I&C-6

ISA Summary Section 4.5.1.2.2 and LA Section 11.5.1.2

Utility Auxiliary Control System Design Basis

Clarify the purpose of the utility auxiliary control system and the statement that the "utility auxiliary control system controllers are not IROFS." The LA states that the "function of the utility auxiliary control system is to ensure that the electrical power distribution and heating, ventilation,

and air conditioning (HVAC) systems shall continue to operate as needed to prevent or mitigate the consequences of an incident which may result in the release of radioactive material above acceptable limits, as defined in 10 CFR 70 beyond the confines of the facility." Further, the LA states that "these controllers are provided where there is a possibility that the improper operation of the facility may create conditions that may result in the loss of the ability to prevent the release of radioactive material." Describe the potential events which have been considered that make it necessary to provide a utility auxiliary control system and provide a clarifying basis for the statement that the active engineered devices within this system, which serve to ensure that the electrical power distribution and HVAC utility systems continue to operate as needed, are not identified as IROFS. Alternatively, provide a description of how the controls for the electrical power distribution and HVAC utility systems required to perform safety actions are provided with a defense-in-depth design architecture in addition to the emergency control system which is equipped with components that are designated as IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

PS-I&C-7

ISA Summary Section 4.5.1.1.3 and LA Section 11.5.1.1.3

<u>Safety Control System Operation and Interface with Normal Control System and Facility Operators</u>

Clarify the description of the intended operations and required interfaces among the safety controller, the normal controller, and the required actions to be taken by the facility operators to provide for a better understanding of this operation. In Section 11.5.1.1.3 of the LA, the statement is made that the "safety controller action remains effective, blocking any automatic continuation of the process until the safety controller is released, with operator key switches, after restoring the operating condition back to a safe condition." Provide a step-by-step description of how the safety controller communicates with the normal controller and the facility operator: (1) how does the safety controller signal that a safety action has taken place, (2) what happens within the normal controller when such a signal is received, (3) how is an operator

informed that the normal controller automatic operation has been "frozen," (4) what steps must the operator take to manually operate the normal controller with the process in the potentially unsafe condition while the safety controller maintains its blocking action, (5) what provisions have been made within the normal controller to prevent its continued automatic operation from suddenly resuming while the unsafe condition is being cleared, (6) how may the process be manipulated with the normal controller only in manual mode to "back-out" of the incorrect action without accidental operation of the actuated component being blocked by the safety action, (7) what information is received by the operator to determine and confirm that a safe process condition has been reached, and (8) what actions are required to take place within both the normal and the safety controllers when the operator manipulates the "operator key switches" to remove the blocking signals. For the few cases where the same shared sensor is providing a signal to both the normal and safety controllers, provide a description of the provisions that have been made to confirm to the safety controller and the facility operator that the unsafe condition is no longer present, and that it is permissible to reset the safety controller and resume operations with the normal controller. Also provide a description of the provision that has been made to prevent the normal controller from automatically resuming automatic control upon reset of the blocking signals from the safety controller without some form of positive action by the facility operators to do so.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(10) requires that the design of new facilities provide for the inclusion of I&C systems to monitor and control the behavior of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-I&C-8

LA Sections 11.5.1.1 through 11.5.1.3

<u>Effects of Loss of Normal Power Supply to the Utility Control System, the Safety Control System, and the Emergency Control System</u>

Describe the effects of a loss of normal power supply to the components of the utility control system, the safety control system, and the emergency control system identified as IROFS. Include the effects of this condition on operator interface devices, such as workstations and hardwired control panels. Provide a description that allows one to understand the potential duration that these systems may be inoperable, the bases for inclusion of vital and emergency power supplies, the anticipated performance of the controllers within these systems when subject to a momentary loss of power, the behavior of these systems when power is restored, and the potential consequences to actuated components such as isolation valves and critical HVAC fans when the power to the controllers for these devices is interrupted and subsequently restored. Describe the consequences of any potential interactions among these systems during potential events where power may be momentarily loss to active engineered components and

then is suddenly restored. For example, describe the expected interaction that will take place between a workstation and its associated controller when power is momentarily lost to the workstation, but not to the controller.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(10) requires that the design of new facilities provide for the inclusion of I&C systems to monitor and control the behavior of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of Section 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

PS-I&C-9

ISA Summary Sections 4.5.1.1.3 and 5.3 and LA Section 11.5.1.1.3

Response Time Characteristics of the Safety Control System and Emergency Control System

Based on the results of process hazards analyses and the intended performance requirements of IROFS designed to mitigate those hazards, identify the fastest required response time for any of the required IROFS actions served by the sensing channels implemented by the safety control system (including PLC response) or the emergency control system (e.g., glovebox differential pressure switches) that is adequate to achieve reliability. Provide a discussion that demonstrates that the instrument channels associated with the safety control system or the emergency control system are designed to meet this response time requirement. Include an analysis of the response capabilities for analog input changes-to-discrete output response required from the control systems as well as discrete input changes-to-discrete output responses from the control system.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(10) requires that the design of new facilities provide for the inclusion of I&C systems to monitor and control the behavior of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

10 CFR 70.62(c)(vi) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies each IROF, the characteristics of its preventative, mitigative, or other safety function, and the assumptions and conditions under which the item is relied upon to support compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-I&C-10

LA Section 11.5.1.1.3

Safety System Software Quality

Provide a description of the processes used to assure that the quality of the software used by IROFS (such as safety PLCs) to accomplish safety functions will ensure that these IROFS will be available and reliable when needed to accomplish their required safety functions. Include a discussion of the processes used to assure the quality of the applications software prepared specifically to accomplish the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facilities (MFFF) safety functions and software that has been developed to control the operation of the IROFS by the applicable equipment vendors. In the event that any software validation and verification processes is being or has been performed by organizations other than the MFFF project team, identify the process used by the MFFF project team to review and accept the results of such validation and verification activities.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.62(d) requires that management measures shall be established to ensure compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61. The management measures shall ensure that engineered and administrative controls and control systems that are identified as IROFS are designed, implemented, and maintained, as necessary, to ensure that they are available and reliable to perform their function when needed, to comply with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-I&C-11

ISA Summary Sections 4.5 and 5.3 and LA Sections 11.5.1.1.3 and 11.5.1.3

Diversity and Defense-in-Depth Analysis

Provide a description of the analysis that was performed to determine that two redundant trains of safety channels made up with identical (or nearly identical) equipment within each train provides sufficient diversity and defense-in-depth to assure that the system of IROFS designed to prevent or mitigate the effects of identified process hazards will be sufficiently available and reliable to perform their required safety action when needed. Provide justification for the use of this type of design in criticality prevention applications.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(9) requires that the design must provide for criticality control including adherence to the double contingency principle.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(10) requires that the design of new facilities provide for the inclusion of I&C systems to monitor and control the behavior of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

PS-I&C-12

ISA Summary Sections 4.5.1.1.3 and 5.3 and LA Section 11.5.1.1.3

<u>Design Characteristics of the Safety Control System</u>

Provide a discussion summarizing the analysis of the redundant safety control system trains using identical safety PLCs in each train that addresses how the potential for common cause failure within the two control system trains was evaluated. Describe how the potential for common cause failure was addressed for hardware and software in the safety PLCs as well as for other components performing redundant safety actions. Provide justification for the use of this type of design in criticality prevention applications.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(9) requires that the design must provide for criticality control including adherence to the double contingency principle.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of Section 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and

that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

PS-I&C-13

ISA Summary Sections 4.5.1.1.3 and 5.3 and LA Section 11.5.1.1.3

Application of Single Failure Criterion for Certain IROFS

Provide a general discussion on how the single failure criterion is applied for the safety and the emergency control systems so as to allow them to accomplish identified hazard/event sequence mitigation or prevention requirements. Include a discussion of how the single failure criterion will be met for certain IROFS (e.g., counter scales within gloveboxes) for which it is not practical to have a redundant component providing a signal to the other safety train.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(9) requires that the design must provide for criticality control including adherence to the double contingency principle.

10 CFR 70.65(b)(8) requires that the ISA summary must contain a descriptive list that identifies all IROFS that are the sole item preventing or mitigating an accident sequence that exceeds the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-I&C-14

LA Sections 11.5.1.1.3 and 11.5.1.3

Adequacy of Separation and Isolation of Safety Functions from Non-safety Functions

Provide a description of the measures taken to assure the availability and reliability of IROFS by protecting them against potential faults in communications paths and power supplies with non-IROFS equipment. Describe how signal and power supply interfaces between the redundant trains of equipment performing safety functions and the non-IROFS equipment are protected such that no credible fault within a non-IROFS component will render both trains of safety equipment inoperable.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

PS-I&C-15

LA Section 15.3

Instrument Calibration and Maintenance Processes

Describe your plan for calibration and maintenance of active engineered I&C components used as IROFS, including storage of test equipment, control of calibration standards, collection and storage of performance data used in the development of calibration procedures, and repair of active engineered IROFS that fail in service. Identify the processes and plans for maintenance and control of calibration instruments and calibration standards for the facility and provide a description of how instrument maintenance activities will take place. Identify the method by which calibration standards will be maintained within the environmental conditions needed to assure their accuracy sufficient to appropriately calibrate and maintain I&C used as IROFS.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

PS-I&C-16

LA Section 15.3

Instrument Calibration and Maintenance Program

Identify what methodology will be used to establish the initial set of calibration and surveillance intervals needed to adequately maintain active engineered I&C IROFS so that they are available and reliable when needed. Describe the methodology that will be used to identify any required changes to the initial calibration surveillance intervals and how this information will be incorporated into the implementation of the surveillance program.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

PS-I&C-17

LA Section 15.3

Incorporation of Surveillance Results into Basis of Instrument Settings

Identify the programs and methods that will be implemented to coordinate the revision of I&C calibration ranges and setpoints for IROFS based on data from incident investigations and surveillance results that may indicate required changes to setpoints or an establishment of more appropriate instrument calibration surveillance intervals.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

10 CFR 70.62(d) requires that management measures shall be established to ensure compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61. The management measures shall ensure that engineered and administrative controls and control systems that are identified as IROFS are designed, implemented, and maintained, as necessary, to ensure that they are available and reliable to perform their function when needed, to comply with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-I&C-18

LA Section 11.5.1.1.3 and 15.3

Periodic Surveillance Testing to Verify Proper Functioning of Safety PLCs

Verify that the proposed periodic test surveillance interval selected for the safety PLCs is consistent with vendor-established reliability and availability analyses for the particular hardware components selected.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

10 CFR 70.62(d) requires that management measures shall be established to ensure compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61. The management measures shall ensure that engineered and administrative controls and control systems that are identified as IROFS are designed, implemented, and maintained, as necessary, to ensure that they are available and reliable to perform their function when needed, to comply with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

Plant Systems – Electrical Design

PS-E-1

ISA summary Section 5.3.9.2.2

Specify the bounding parameters used to identify and define the external man-made hazard EMMH-03, "Loss of Offsite Power," in terms of expected maximum frequency of occurrence and anticipated maximum duration of the event.

10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(iv) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies potential accident sequences caused by process deviations or other events internal to the facility and credible external events, including natural phenomena. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(v) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies the consequences and likelihoods of occurrence of each potential accident sequence identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) and the methods used to determine the consequences and likelihoods. This information is needed to support an evaluation of whether the IROFS required to mitigate this event will be adequately reliable.

PS-E-2

ISA Summary Section 5.3.9.2.2

Provide a description of how the evaluation of the loss of offsite power event accounts for an assessment of historical and anticipated future occurrences of offsite grid reliability and onsite electrical equipment reliability related outages in addition to the natural phenomena hazards identified in Section 5.3.8 of the ISA summary. Alternatively, provide a demonstration of how the frequency of occurrence and the expected duration of such grid reliability-related outages are bounded by the analysis of the natural phenomena evaluated.

10CFR 70.62(c)(1)(iv) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies potential accident sequences caused by process deviations or other events internal to the facility and credible external events, including natural phenomena. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(v) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies the consequences and likelihoods of occurrence of each potential accident sequence identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) and the methods used to determine the consequences and likelihoods. This information is needed to support an evaluation of whether the IROFS will be adequately reliable.

PS-E-3

ISA Summary Sections 1.1.7 and 5.3

For the hazards and accident sequences evaluated in the ISA that require electrical power for IROFS to operate to mitigate events, identify the maximum allowable time for each event sequence which may be safely tolerated immediately following a loss of offsite power, before emergency power must be restored. Alternatively, provide a description that demonstrates that the performance requirements of § 70.61 will continue to be met in the event that a momentary loss of power to IROFS occurs between the time offsite power is loss to the facility and power to the IROFS is restored via standby or emergency sources.

10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(iv) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies potential accident sequences caused by process deviations or other events internal to the facility and credible external events, including natural phenomena. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(v) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies the consequences and likelihoods of occurrence of each potential accident sequence identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) and the methods used to determine the consequences and likelihoods. 10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

PS-E-4

ISA Summary Sections 1.1.7 and 5.3 and LA Sections 11.4.1.1.2 and 11.4.1.1.3

For the hazards and accident sequences evaluated in the ISA that require electrical power for IROFS to operate to mitigate such events, identify the criteria for determining the magnitude of the minimum delay time required before re-application of power for IROFS needed to mitigate each event sequence to allow for the decay of electro-magnetic fields to prevent damage to continuously running electrical motors. For the protection of this function, state whether hardwired interlocks will be used to avoid connection of out-of-phase sources.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

PS-E-5

LA Section 11.4.1.1.3 pages 11.4-7 and 11.4-8

Provide an explanation of how the IROFS loads will continue to be supplied with power during the restoration mode. Provide a description of how the restoration process works assuming the IROFS loads are being fed from the standby diesel generators. Provide a second description of how the restoration process works assuming the IROFS loads are being fed from each respective emergency diesel generator. Provide a description of how, during the restoration mode, the non-IROFS PLCs are programmed to synchronize both offsite incoming feeders with the two standby generators (assuming both are available) supplying the MFFF electrical system. Provide an additional description of how, during the restoration mode, the non-IROFS PLCs are programmed to synchronize both offsite incoming feeders with the two emergency buses while being powered by the emergency diesel generators (assuming both are available). Include in these explanations a description of how the buses being synchronized will be protected during the transfer from diesel generator power to incoming feeder power. Include in your description a discussion as to whether each non-IROFS standby diesel generator and each IROFS

emergency diesel generator has its own synchronizing circuit that is controlled by the non-IROFS PLCs, and describe how the breakers used for transferring the IROFS load buses are sequenced to operate during this restoration mode, including which breakers are closed simultaneously via a closed (make-before-break) transition mode.

NUREG 1718 Section 5.4.3.2.B.xi states: "The applicant describes the essential features of each IROFS that are required to achieve adequate reliability. The applicant should provide sufficient information about engineered hardware controls to permit an evaluation that, in principle, demonstrates that controls of this type will have adequate reliability."

PS-E-6

LA Section 11.4.1.2.2, page 11.4-8

Clarify whether it will be necessary to control the electrical system in the event of a 480 volt alternating current (AC) service failure that lasts beyond the 125 volt direct current (DC) battery one-hour capacity time. If so, what are the provisions for doing so?

NUREG 1718 Section 5.4.3.2.B.xi states: "The applicant describes the essential features of each IROFS that are required to achieve adequate reliability. The applicant should provide sufficient information about engineered hardware controls to permit an evaluation that, in principle, demonstrates that controls of this type will have adequate reliability."

PS-E-7

LA Section 11.4.2.2 pages 11.4-10, and 11.4-7

Clarify the description of the standby generator starting process. The current description states that the standby generators are capable of accepting load (i.e., are at rated speed and voltage) within 10 seconds to sustain all emergency bus loads, life-safety loads, and loads important for facility production. However, following a loss of offsite power, the standby power mode may not be initiated until it has been determined that voltage is not available on both normal buses for a period of 5 seconds to allow time for a transfer from one incoming feeder to the other. This is an apparent non-compliance with the requirements for life safety loads (NFPA-101, IEEE-446, etc.) which require a 10-second maximum power restoration time from the onset of a loss of power condition. For the IROFS loads which may have been needed to perform safety functions at the time the incoming feeder power is lost, provide a description of how this 15-second power restoration time is adequate for meeting the 10 CFR 70.61 performance requirements.

PS-E-8

ISA Summary Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.2, and 4.4.3 and LA Section 11.4.1

Provide the result of an analysis that demonstrates that the total time it takes to restore power (loss of power detection, bus transfer time, non-essential load shedding, and time for the emergency diesel generator to attain running speed and voltage and be connected to/synchronized to the bus) to the IROFS loads following a loss of offsite power and failure to start either standby diesel generator is considered adequate for accomplishing the mitigation functions needed for the worst-case event sequence (i.e., event requiring electrical utility

restoration in the shortest amount of time.) Alternatively, provide a statement and results of an analysis that demonstrates that all IROFS loads requiring immediate restoration of electrical power or continuous electrical power to accomplish safety functions are connected to buses that are backed by a qualified uninterruptible power supply.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(vi) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies IROFS, the characteristics of its preventative, mitigative, or other safety function, and the assumptions and conditions under which the item is relied upon to support compliance with the performance requirements of Section 70.61.

PS-E-9

ISA Summary Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.2, and 4.4.3 and LA Section 11.4.1

Provide the results of an analysis that demonstrates that the capacities of the emergency AC and DC power systems are adequate to accomplish all IROFS load power supply requirements for the duration of the maximum anticipated loss of power event identified in the response to question PS-E-1 above or until normal power can otherwise be restored. For example, justify why a one-hour operational requirement is considered adequate for the design of the emergency DC power supply batteries.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(vi) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an integrated safety analysis that identifies each IROFS, the characteristics of its preventative, mitigative, or other safety function, and the assumptions and conditions under which the item.

PS-E-10

ISA Summary Section 4.4.3.1.3 and LA Section 11.4

Identify the criteria for designing the emergency power load application sequence. Identify the criteria for determining which loads are to be automatically placed onto the bus and which are to be manually connected, and the factors that contribute to the decision for applying loads manually.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services. 10 CFR 70.62(c)(1)(vi) requires that each applicant conduct and maintain an ISA that identifies each IROFS, the characteristics of its preventative, mitigative, or other safety function, and the assumptions and conditions under which the item is relied upon to support compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-E-11

ISA Summary Sections 4.4.2.2 and 4.4.3.1.2

Provide the criteria for determining the sizing requirements for the standby and emergency diesel generator diesel oil storage tanks in a manner that envelopes the expected event

sequences and frequency and duration of loss of offsite power conditions, plus allows for anticipated contingencies, while meeting the 10 CFR 70.61 performance requirements. Clarify whether the statement that the storage tank is sized to provide for a storage capacity for "each standby generator" to function for 24 hours at 100% load is meant to indicate that it is sized to provide a storage capacity that allows for both standby generators to operate at 100% load continuously for 24 hours, or only one standby diesel generator to operate for 24 hours at 100% load.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services

PS-E-12

LA Section 11.4 and ISA Summary Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.2, and 4.4.3

Provide a description in the LA and the ISA summary as to whether the active engineered electrical control devices used to detect: (1) loss of voltage, (2) undervoltage, (3) overcurrent, (4) under and over-frequency, and (5) conditions needed to control the breakers and start and connect the emergency diesel generators are identified individually and treated as IROFS, designated as QL-1 components, and included within listings of IROFS components to which the quality management measures apply.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services. 10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed.

10 CFR 70.65(b)(6) requires that the ISA summary must contain a list briefly describing each IROFS in sufficient detail to understand the functions in relation to the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.62(d) requires that management measures shall be established to ensure compliance with the performance requirements of § 70.61. The management measures shall ensure that engineered and administrative controls and control systems that are identified as IROFS are designed, implemented, and maintained, as necessary, to ensure that they are available and reliable to perform their function when needed, to comply with the performance requirements of § 70.61.

PS-E-13

LA Section 11.4 (bottom of page 11.4-1 to top of page 11.4-2) and 11.4.5

Resolve an apparent conflict regarding the definition of adequate acceptance criteria for the grounding system resistance to earth. On page 11.4-2 it is stated that the MFFF grounding system complies with the requirements of NFPA 70, "and certain other applicable grounding codes and standards." NFPA 70 does not specify an acceptable maximum resistance to earth ground, but rather indicates that if the resistance of a grounding rod, pipe, or plate has a resistance exceeding 25 ohms, additional rods, pipes, or plates must be added in parallel to it.

LA Section 11.4.5 indicates that IEEE Standard 142, "Recommended Practice for Grounding of Industrial and Commercial Power Systems," 1991 will be used to define criteria for IROFS. IEEE 142-1991 indicates that "adequate values" for connection to earth ground should have resistance in the one-to-five ohm range, and that the value of 25 ohms in the NEC's NFPA 70 code should not be interpreted as "satisfactory level for a grounding system." Clarify which standard is being used to identify design acceptance criteria for the maximum resistance to earth for the MFFF grounding system.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

PS-E-14

LA Section 11.4.1.1.2, page 11.4-6

Resolve the apparent conflict in the statement on this page regarding the availability of emergency lighting. A statement is made that the 400 volt DC battery of each train of uninterruptible power for emergency lighting is capable of powering the emergency egress lighting for a period of one hour. IEEE Standard 446, referenced in Section 11.4.5 of the LA, (and NFPA-101, paragraph 7.9.2.1) requires a minimum capability of battery-backed emergency lighting systems of 1.5 hours, and Table 3.2.2 of this standard recommends a 2 hour design requirement for some applications. Provide a statement indicating whether there is a need for certain process operations to continue to be performed beyond the capacity requirement of the batteries supporting the emergency lighting system in order to meet the facility performance requirements of 10 CFR 70.61. If so, describe the standby lighting provisions that have been made which allow for personnel to continue these activities.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

PS-E-15

LA Section 15.3

Describe your plan for calibration and maintenance of active engineered electrical components used as IROFS, including storage of electrical test equipment, control of calibration standards, collection and storage of performance data used in the development of calibration procedures, and repair of active engineered IROFS that fail in service. Identify the processes and plans for maintenance and control of calibration equipment used and calibration standards for the facility, and provide a description of how electrical equipment maintenance activities will take place. Identify the method by which calibration standards will be maintained within the environmental conditions needed to assure their accuracy sufficient to appropriately calibrate and maintain electrical equipment, relays, and protective devices used as IROFS or to support the continued operation of IROFS.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

PS-E-16

LA Section 15.3

Identify what methodology will be used to establish the initial set of calibration and surveillance intervals needed to adequately maintain active engineered electrical IROFS so that they are available and reliable when needed. Describe the methodology that will be used to identify any required changes to the initial calibration surveillance intervals and how this information will be incorporated into the implementation of the surveillance program.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(8) requires that the design of IROFS must provide for adequate inspection, testing, and maintenance, to ensure their availability and reliability to perform their function when needed.

PS-E-17

LA Section 11.4.3

Provide a description of the analysis performed to assure that power will continually be supplied to critical IROFS in the event of a fire occurring in either the electrical equipment rooms or battery rooms housing equipment for either train of the emergency power system or in the control room or alternate control room. Include a discussion of how an operator will be able to verify that the IROFS (e.g., VHD fans) are still functioning to perform their required safety actions, as well as a discussion regarding how no single failure of an emergency power train component can result in a fire which has the potential for rendering the other train inoperable or prevent a facility operator from assessing the status of critical IROFS.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-in-

depth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to IROFS.

PS-E-18

LA Section 11.8.1.7

Clarify the description of the emergency diesel generator fuel oil storage tank vault. Currently the description states that the vault is heated during cold weather conditions so that fuel in the tank does not undergo gelling. Section 11.8.1.7.4 of the LA also indicates that the normal power supply system provides power to the emergency generator major components, instrumentation, and valves. In the event of a loss of normal power which can occur for an extended period during cold weather conditions, what provisions maintain the availability and reliability of the emergency diesel generator fuel oil system?

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.

10 CFR 70.61(e) requires each engineered or administrative control or control system necessary to comply with paragraphs (b), (c), or (d) of § 70.61 shall be designated as an IROFS, and that the safety program shall ensure that each IROFS will be available and reliable to perform its intended function when needed and in the context of the performance requirements of § 70.61.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(4) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for adequate protection from environmental conditions and dynamic effects associated with normal operations, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents that could lead to loss of safety functions.

10 CFR 70.64(b) requires that system design and facility layout must be based on defense-indepth practices, and that to the extent practicable, the design must incorporate a preference for the selection of engineered controls over administrative controls to increase the overall system reliability, and features that enhance safety by reducing challenges to items relied on for safety.

PS-E-19

LA Section 11.4.2.2

Clarify the description of the standby diesel generator starting and loading sequence and the "auxiliary PLC" that controls this operation. The description identifies that either one or two prioritized load groups will be applied by the "auxiliary PLC" depending on the availability of each standby diesel generator. Provide a clarification as to whether the "auxiliary PLC" is the same as the "utility auxiliary control system" described in Section 11.5.1.2 of the LA or whether this is a dedicated PLC acting as the standby diesel generator load sequencer system. Provide a description of the methodology used to identify how the loads from among each prioritized

group, including those of the emergency bus, should be added in sequence. Include a description of how the IROFS loads on the emergency bus will remain protected during the loading sequence.

10 CFR 70.64(a)(7) requires that the design of new facilities must provide for continued operation of essential utility services.

10 CFR 70.61 paragraphs (b) and (c) require that engineered controls, administrative controls, or both shall be applied to reduce the likelihood of occurrence or the severity of consequence of high consequence and intermediate-consequence events.