1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			

Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public GEIS Scoping Meeting

(n/a)

Location: Casper, Wyoming

Date: Tuesday, August 7, 2007

- ...

Work Order No.:

NRC-1707 Pag

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.

> Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	2 + + + + +	
	3 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
	4 + + + + +	
	5 PUBLIC GEIS SCOPING MEETING	
	6 + + + + +	
	7 TUESDAY	
	8 AUGUST 7, 2007	
	9 + + + + +	
	1 PARKWAY PLAZA HOTEL AND CONVENTION C	ENTER
	11 123 WEST E STREET	
	12 CASPER, WYOMING 82602	
	13 + + + + +	
	14	
	15	
:	16	
	17	
	18	
:	19	
:	20	
:	21	
:	22	
:	23	
:	24	
:	25	
	NEAL R. GROSS	
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBEI 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.	RS WASHINGTON D

т

1 <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	
Openizg Comments, Andy Campbell, NRC	3
Uranium Recovery GEIS, Gregory Suber, NRC	5
Overview of Uranium Recovery Facilities,	
William von Till, NRC	14
Public Comment	23
Questions from the Public	64
Adjougn	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.	

∠

1 <u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2 7:01 P.M.
3 MR. CAMPBELL: First of all, I want to
welcome everybody here tonight for our Generic
Environmental Impact Statement meeting for uranium
recovery. This is a public scoping meeting and so
this is the initiation of a scoping process for
develøping a Generic Environmental Impact Statement

I do ask that everybody who is here to 10 turn 10ff your cell phone or at least put it on buzz so that we're not interrupted while we're speaking.

for upanium recovery facilities.

I also ask that when people are speaking 13 that 1we have one conversation at a time or one person speaking at a time, that you speak directly into1the mic and that you identify yourself and your affilization for the court reporter. If he gives me a funny look, I'll just ask you to repeat your information so that he can record it accurately.

I will say this is a transcribed meeting 20 and what that means is we will obtain a transcript from 2tonight's meeting and if you gave us your email address, we will email that transcript to you. We also 2intend to ultimately have a website put up with the slides from the presentation that will be

available on the NRC website.

2 Okay. What I'd like to do is identify myself. I'm Andy Campbell. I'm going to be the facilitator tonight. I'm also the Deputy Division Director for Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment in the Division of Waste Management at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. And the Division of Waste Management is responsible for uranium recovery licensing, for environmental reviews, and for a number of other activities, lowleveliwaste, decommissioning, and associated activities.

13 So what we're going to focus on tonight is the GEIS for uranium recovery. What I want to do is introduce the NRC staff and some staff from the Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analysis which is our contractor in San Antonio, helping us develop this 18

19 To my left is Mr. Gregory Suber. Greg is the Branch Chief for Environmental Review. Bill von Till, he's the Branch Chief for Uranium Recovery. And Joan Olmstead, who is with the Office of General Counsel for the NRC. Also Brad Werling, here zfor the Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analysis. Philip DuBois and Larry Canter, and they

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

are hælping us, they're here to listen and they're helping us develop the GEIS.

3 Okay, the agenda as we have now is to go over the NRC's roles and responsibilities in uranium recovery GEIS, an overview of uranium recovery facilities. That will be Greg Suber talking about the uranium recovery GEIS. And the overview of uranium recovery facilities will be Bill von Till. Thereswill be a brief question and answer period, just ifor clarifying questions and then we're going to open it up to public comments on the scoping meeting.

13 So with that, what I'm going to do is I'm going to go ahead and turn it over to Greg. I'llibave a couple opening remarks here and I'll be standing here.

17 If you could go ahead and move the slide up one. Okay.

19 So we want to cover our roles and responsibilities at the NRC. Greg will do that with one slide. Greg will talk about the environmental review process. Bill will talk about our safety review process for uranium recovery and then public comments on the proposed GEIS. So I'm going to let Greg 2introduce himself in more detail.

Go ahead, Greg. Thank you.

1

2 MR. SUBER: Good evening, everyone. First3of all, I'd like to thank you all for coming out to our scoping meeting. We know that it's important, well, we appreciate the fact that you took time out of your schedule to come and to comment on our scoping process. I was talking to a few people, so I know some of you traveled quite a distance to participate in the scoping meeting. And I just want you to know that all your comments mean something to the NRC and we take them sincerely.

12 My name is Gregory Suber. And as Andy said,3I am the Branch Chief for the Environmental Review Section that's responsible for reviewing the uranium recovery licenses.

16 Right now, I'd like to take a few minutes to just basically outline where I'm going in my presentation. First, I'm going to discuss the environmental regulations that the NRC itself has to follow. Then I'm going to give you details about the environmental review process that we're going to undergo in producing this Generic Environmental Impace Statement. And I'll end with describing ways that call members of the public can participate in our scoping process.

1

Next slide, please.

2 The first point I would like to make is the NRC is an independent agency. And what that means4is that the NRC reports only to the Congress of the United States. As an independent agency, we do not own or operate any of the utilities that we regulate. We don't own mills. We don't own mines. We don't own nuclear power plants. We regulate people who do. And in that, our priority in fulfibling our regulatory responsibilities is health and safety of human beings and protection of the environment.

13 And so the key message is that we regulate. We are a regulatory body. Actually, it is the Department of Energy's job to promote the use, 1the civilian use of nuclear materials. It is the NRC's job to make sure that anyone who uses that material, uses it in a safe manner that protects human9health and protects the environment.

20 Next slide, please.

21 The National Environmental Policy Act, also 2known as NEPA, was enacted in 1969. NEPA requires that all federal agencies use a systematic approach to consider the environmental impacts of major25federal actions. It is what we call a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 2:

disclosure tool. And by that, what we mean is the Agency, the NRC, uses NEPA to communicate to the public what considerations are being evaluated when we analyze a licensing action. What we do is we disclose to the public the exact things that we're looking for and then we invite the public to communicate to us and to comment on our process, to comment on the elements of our analysis that you think9are important.

10 Next slide.

11 NEPA also established the Council on Environmental Quality within the Executive Office of the Resident. Now the Council on Environmental Quality, or CEQ, has two major responsibilities. The fists is to advise the President on environmental matters. And the second is to coordinate the development of environmental policies and initiates. Now the CEQ regulations allow fedenal agencies, like the NRC, to do several things and one of those is to combine related actions and to a 2single course of action and evaluate them in a single EIS. And that is what is known from the NRC'ssperspective as a Generic Environmental Impact Statement.

25 Now other federal agencies do something

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

O

similar and most of the time it's just nomenclature. They call their reviews a Programmatic EIS. But what a Generic Environmental Impact Statement allows us to4do is take related topics, whether they're related geographically or whether they're related by subject matter, and group them so that you can have an analysis that encompasses a majority of the resource areas and the impacts that are going to occur9due to those actions. And the NRC has done this several times in the past. One of the most recent examples was the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for license renewals of operating nuclear power3plants.

14 Next slide, please.

15 I'm going to use the next few slides to explain how the NRC plans to develop and use the GEIS,170r Generic Environmental Impact Statement, for uranimum recovery licensing. First, I will discuss the purpose of the uranium GEIS, and importantly, discuss how the NRC plans to use it. I'm going to describe the proposed scope of the GEIS. And I'm going2to identify what resource areas we're going to look2at in our evaluation. And lastly, I'm going to explain to you how you can comment on our process.

25 The purpose of our uranium recovery GEIS

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

is toladdress generically the environmental issues common to in situ leach milling. The GEIS will analyze environmental impacts of in situ leach milling and compare them to other feasible alternatives to that process. We plan to use the GEIS as the basis for site-specific license applications when those applications are submitted to the NRC.

⁹ The NRC will adopt the conclusions of the GEIS where they're appropriate and perform additional site-specific analysis in cases where therezare unique attributes at the site that cannot be covered generically by the GEIS. In this way, the NRC fulfills its obligation to NEPA in that you have 18 generic evaluation supplemented by a sitespecific evaluation that addresses areas that cannot be covered under the generic EIS.

18 Next slide, please.

19 In the environmental scoping process, we triedoto identify issues that should be addressed in the GEIS. It's one of the most important parts of our evaluation because first of all, it's at the beginning of evaluation and what it does it sets the bounds for our evaluation. And also, it allows the publies to participate in that and what public

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

тυ

participation does is it helps us focus on things that are important. There are a lot of things we'reagoing to evaluate, but what we want to do is we want to find out what you think is important so that we can focus our evaluation on those issues. In that way, we get your buy-in on our process. And the information that you give us will add to the quality of our environmental review because it will make \$t more relevant.

10 Next slide, please.

This is a list of some of the resource 11 areas2that we look at when we're doing our environmental impact statement. One thing I would like 1to note is that this is just a general generic list15 There may be other things in a site-specific analysis that we need to look at and we don't necessarily look at all of these issues at the same depth: For instance, if noise is not an issue, then we're9not going to concentrate on noise. If air quality is not an issue, then we won't concentrate on air quality. What we concentrate on, what we focus2on are the things that from a technical standpoint are important and things from a public perspective viewpoint are also important.

25 So when you look at this list, realize

that it's not all inclusive. That it's just a generic list to try to give you an idea of the areas whereswe look.

4 Next slide, please.

5 This slide gives you the schedule that we have for the completion of the GEIS. As you know, 7presently the scoping period which started on the 24th, opened on July 24th with the publishing of the <u>Federal Register</u> notice, goes until September 4th. 10So from now until September 4th, you have an opportunity to communicate with the NRC in a number of ways which I will explain to you on the next slide; to give us your input.

14 This is not the only opportunity you will thave, however. We will also have a draft envinonmental impact statement meeting with you where 7we will come to you and we will show you your comments and we will show you our evaluation. You will the able to review what we wrote in our draft EIS and once again comment on our process. So if you think even after the scoping meeting and we have our draft document, if there's an area where you stillsthink we're deficient, you'll have another opportunity to comment on that area. And the finabsissuance we predict at this time will be

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. ㅗ스

January of 2009.

2

Next slide.

3 Okay, there's a number of ways that you can communicate your scoping comments to us. First of alk, as Andy said, this meeting is being transcribed. And I would like to emphasize the point7that every comment made at this meeting is going8on a transcript and will be reviewed for us, by us9and incorporated into our review. So something that you say at this meeting tonight carries as much weight as it would as if you wrote us alletter or as if you sent us an email.

13 Your comments tonight are important to us and they count. But if you don't want to comment tonight, that's not a problem. You can write to us. You can send us your comments in a letter with the address here, and by the way this information is also ion a handout paper that's outside on the table. So ifi9you don't have it now when you leave, you can get a0contact list. So you can write to us to submit your comments, or you can send your comments by email.

Either way you choose is fine because all your comments will carry the same weight.

25 Next slide.

чJ

1 The key staff to contact at the NRC if you have questions for the environmental review is Mr. James Park. He actually works for me and he is the project manager for the Generic EIS. And also, Mr. Bill von Till who is here this evening and who will speaker after I do, after I get his name right, is also here and you can contact him by the contact information that you see on the screen.

In conclusion, I'd like to thank you all for doming and I'd like to re-emphasize that scoping comments are important to us and we take our responsibility in protecting human health and protecting the environment very seriously and it's impontant for you to understand that we are a regulatory body and that our emphasis is protecting you. 16

17 Thank you very much.

18 (Applause.)

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. Our next speaker is Bill von Till from the Uranium Recovery Branch.

22 MR. VON TILL: Again, welcome. I see a lot of familiar faces out there. My name is Bill von Till. I'm the Chief of the Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch. I've been working in this program

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

<u>т</u> т

for about eight years. I'm familiar with all of the facilities here in Wyoming and New Mexico, Nebraska.

3 Our branch handles all of the policy and oversight issues with these facilities. We handle the technical and safety reviews for individual applieations. As Greg mentioned before, Greg's branch handles the review of the environmental part, the NEPA part of these applications. And our office in Region 4 in Texas handles the inspections of theseofacilities.

11 What I want to do is just open it up by going2through what exactly is covered here. The NRC regulates these facilities under the Atomic Energy Act and the facilities in question are conventional uranium and thorium mills in situ leach uranium extraction facilities. I want to make a distinction that 10 onventional uranium mines are not regulated understhe Atomic Energy Act. They're not regulated by the NRC. They're regulated by the states, Minezal Divisions, Mine, Mineral and Resources. They're regulated by the Mine Safety Health Admizistration. But they're not regulated by the NRC. 23

24 Over the years, the NRC has mainly dealt with 26 onventional uranium mill tailing sites. These

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

тΟ

facilities create a large amount of tailings from waste2and they also have involved the uranium mines. Nowadays, most of the industry would rather in America go with in situ leach uranium extraction facilities if the site conditions would permit that. And so in the next three years, we're expecting on the order of 14 new applications for brand new facilities in a number of states here in the western United States. Eleven of those facilities are in situileach facilities. So that's one of the reasons we'reiconcentrating on that particular technology as far as the scoping.

13 I'm just going to go through what happens when the NRC receives a site-specific application for a mill. The first thing, even befone this process begins, as I said, we're antidipating about 14 of these new applications. We'vesbeen meeting with companies, some companies we'vesmet with over five or six times, preapplication meetings to make sure that when the applications come into us they're of high quality and they're complete.

23 So the first thing we do when the applications come in our door is we perform an acceptance review. The purpose of that is to make

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

тυ

sure that these applications are complete and that they are of high quality. Because we've had to try to ramp up with our resources with this new resurgence in the industry, we have a limited number of resources and we're going to be very stringent with our acceptance reviews. If the applications are not of high quality and are not complete, we will send them back to the companies and have them try again. So we've been working with the companies aheadoof time to make sure that these applications are domplete.

12 Then what happens is once we deem that the application is acceptable for full technical review, it goes into two separate reviews which are parallel. One is in my branch which is the safety and technical review, the actual license application for these mills. And the other is the environmental review handled by the Environmental Review Branch with 1Greg Suber.

I wanted to point out that when we deem the bicense application acceptable, we put a notice of opportunity for hearing on our website. This enables the public, if they choose, to try to petition for a hearing for this particular application.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

т /

I I also want to point out that we work with stakeholders on a site-specific basis. We always work with the states and in Wyoming, of course, we work with the State of Wyoming DEQ. We'resgoing to work with BLM, US EPA, EPA regional offices. There's some people here tonight from EPA and EPA Region 8; and Indian Tribes.

8 Once the facilities have been granted a license, we continue our oversight with monitoring reports and licensing reviews and our Arlington, Texasloffice conducts inspections. These inspections can be yearly or they can be twice a year13 They can be every two years, depending on a particular facility. Now I just want to go through and what do these things look like?

16 I apologize for the lighting. It's kind of hard to see some of these photographs, but this is altypical conventional mill site. It's a pretty largesfacility. It's a typical industrial-looking facibity. What you don't see here is the actual wastelproducts for this operation which is called the tailings. Now one distinction between the conventional sites and the ISL sites is that for conventional mill sites they're going to be handed over 250 either the state or the Department of Energy

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

тυ

for long-term care in perpetuity. We have to make sure that these tailings piles are safe to the public health and the environment for a thousand years4 With the in situ leach operations, they're decommissioned and reclaimed and restored for unrestricted release. So there's a big difference on these two facilities.

8 Now I'm just going to go over the ISL process. Here's just a schematic of a typical operation with an ISL facility. As you can see here,11this is the aquifer that they would actually extract the uranium from. Whoops, let me use another laser pointer.

14 Most of the aquifers that people are mining or people are extracting uranium from in the United States are low-grade ore bodies that were formed by oxidation reduction and they're these roll frontsdeposits as depicted here. Now you have to have 19 ight conditions to conduct ISL extraction. You have to have a situation where there's actually ground water in the aquifer. You have to have the right2permeability for these aquifers and you have to have confining layers above and below.

24 What the companies do is inject some chemizeals, small amount of chemicals like oxygen and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

エフ

bicarbonate or carbon dioxide to loosen up the uranium out of the formation and put it in solution and then pump it up for processing. This goes into header houses which I'll show in a second here and that is pumped into either satellite plants or central processing plants for continued processing.

7 One thing I wanted to point out too is there&s a lot of monitoring involved. With these type of facilities, the main potential environmental impact is groundwater. There's very little surface disruption. And so most of the potential environmental impacts are groundwater. Because of that,3the NRC and the states require a lot of monitoring and we require restoration once the comparies have finished extracting the uranium.

16Here's the monitoring wells, here horizontally. We also require monitoring above and belowsthe confining layers here to make sure that there's not an excursion of the contamination to othezoaquifers that are used for drinking water or livestock purposes.

22 This is hard to see, but this is a typical look at one of these facilities. These little things here are the actual well heads and theresare actual covers for the well heads. Some of

theselfacilities have almost 10,000 wells or more. These2wells are a number of production wells, injection wells, and monitoring wells. These all are tied into these little houses here which are called header houses. The header houses are then -the product is then pumped into either a satellite operation or a central processing plant.

8 What does a central processing plant look dike? Here's a typical facility. Just a typical industrial-looking operation. This is a centmal processing plant that receives the water, production water from the well fields. And it goes on togfurther processing the end product, this yellowcake which then goes on to other uranium field cyclesfacilities with conversion, for enrichment, and then fuel fabrication on its way to nuclear powem7plants for fuel rods.

18 This slide, I just want to point out a couple of things here. As I mentioned before, thereos a lot of monitoring involved with these operations. And this is a typical well field operation here. You have a monitoring well ring that 2surrounds this extraction operation. These are normally about 400 to 500 feet apart from one another.

1 And the other thing I want to point out is that before -- the NRC has its own process with licensing these facilities, but the EPA also requizes that these aquifers where they're going to extract uranium are exempted under the Safe Drinking Water6Act before they can ever come in and extract uranium. These aquifers or portions of these aquifers already have elevated levels of radiomuclides, of metals, and so what the EPA does is look at criteria to exempt this aquifer in perpetuity for particular use. In this case here, the aquifer exemption boundary, this is the well field3and this is monitoring well ring.

14 One other thing I wanted to point out is again; after the facility is licensed, the NRC continues its oversight out of our branch for licensing reviews and we inspect the facilities on a yearly or every two years or twice a year we inspect it for safety and health and environment. We also work 20ith in this state, the Wyoming DEQ that also regulates these facilities under the underground injection control program.

23 That's the end of my slides. So what we'ræ4going to do now is turn it over to Andy and turn 2st to your comments.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Can everybody hear okay? My voice booms out, but if you need the mics turned up a little bit, we can turn them up. It's just we were getting some feedback.

5 What I would like to do now is I have people who filled out the yellow cards. I've kind of sorted through them and more or less spread them out in terms of some of the areas of interest. I will introduce very briefly the person just to let you know who is going to speak next and then I'll just 1say the next person after that will be so and so, just so you don't get hit cold.

13 I do ask that you identify yourself at the microphone and your affiliation and I think we have 1time for certainly five minutes. There will be probably some time at the latter part of the meeting if you had not requested to speak, there may be some time 1for that, but before we get started on that, what 19 wanted to ask is if there are any specific questions for either of the speakers that would clarify your understanding of what they've presented?

23 (No response.)

24 If not, why don't we go ahead and get started with the public comments. The first speaker

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

د ک

will be Nancy Hunter.

2 Nancy, please introduce yourself, identify yourself and speak clearly in the mic. And let's4hope it's on. Sounds like it.

5 MS. HUNTER: Good evening. My name is Nancy6Hunter and I am with United States Congresswoman Marilyn Musgrave's office of Loveland, Colorado. Congresswoman Musgrave represents the Fourth Congressional District of Colorado. We cover the eastern plains where agriculture indeed is king. And linave a letter here from the Congresswoman that I wanted to read this evening and Mr. Campbell, I'll present you with a copy of that.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

15 MS. HUNTER: This is directed to the Chainman, Dr. Dale Klein for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

18 "Dear Dr. Klein: I write today to express my concerns and strong opposition to any effozt to degrade water quality standards by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as it prepares a Genezic Environmental Impact Statement for uranium milling facilities. Many of my constituents have contacted me to express their concerns over proposed in situ uranium mining site in Weld and Laramie

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

24

Counties. Chief among their concerns is the potential impact this proposed mining could have on our groundwater resources in northern Colorado. Т oppos∉ any effort by the NRC to usurp the authority of state health departments and the Environmental Protection Agency over water quality, and it is my firm conviction that any effort to impose inferior water squality standards by the NRC would undoubtedly impact public health, the environment and local agridulture. Therefore, in situ mining operations must mot be allowed under any circumstances to flaunt either state or EPA water quality standards. Colonado and the Rocky Mountain west are blessed with 1an abundance of natural resources and as stewards of these resources we are required to ensure that resource development is done in a responsible way that ensures the health and safety of the public. On behalf of my constituents and the Colonado Fourth Congressional District I again reiterate my strong opposite to any actions during this 2GEI process that in any way lowers water quality standards. Sincerely, Marilyn Musgrave, Congresswoman from Colorado's Fourth Congressional Distzict."

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Nancy. I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. ر ہے

appreciate that.

2 MS. HUNTER: Thank you, sir.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Next speaker is Rick Chancellor from the State of Wyoming, Department of Environmental Quality.

6 After Rick, would be Wayne Heili of Ur-Energy, USA, Inc.

8 MR. CHANCELLOR: Good evening. I'm Rick Chancellor of the Wyoming DEQ. Welcome to Wyoming. I'd hike to say that thank you for inviting us here but lican't say that because you didn't contact us in advance and we like to cooperate with the NRC in this process, but so far we need to have a two-way streat there. So please contact us when you come to the state. We'd be happy to show you around and work nwith you.

17 The Governor's office has asked that we be algooperating agency in this process. A formal letter was sent from this office to NRC. Wyoming is very 20nterested in this process because of those 14 new facilities you talk about over half, three quarters of those will be in Wyoming. So Wyoming is very 23 very interested in their process. We want to cooperate in the permitting of these new facilities and want to work with you to that effort.

1 I'd like you to think about the possibility of opening an office in the west. In the past, there was an office in Denver that we cooperated with very closely in the past and with all these facilities in the west coming up, maybe you should be thinking about that also.

7 We're very interested in how you view the groundwater cleanup. In the past, NRC was not involved with the groundwater cleanup at in situ sites0 That position has now changed and we'd be interested in how you plan to do that in the future. Thank2you.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. We do apologize to Wyoming. We normally would have done a little bit of a heads up contacting the State of Wyoming prior to the release of the July 24 <u>Federal Register</u> notice. Because of the rush of things, we did not -- that did not happen. We will make use that does not happen in the future with Wyoming or any other states that are involved in this process.

21 And we did receive the request from the State2of Wyoming to be a commenting agency, a cooperating agency, excuse me, on the EIS process and the NRC is considering that. That has to work its way through the NRC system and we'll be talking

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

ر ک

to the State of Wyoming about that.

2 Thank you, Rick.

3 The next speaker is Wayne Heili. Please identify yourself and your association.

5 MR. HEILI: On behalf of Ur-Energy USA, I'd like to express my appreciation to the NRC staff for considering the adoption of the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for uranium mining and milling facilities.

My name is William Heili and I am the 10 Vice1President of Mining for Ur-Energy. I've been involved in conventional uranium milling and ISR uranium mining for nearly 20 years. Ur-Energy is actively developing license application documents for a5new ISR facility in Wyoming with the expectation of submitting that application to the NRC hater this year. If market conditions remain favonable, it's likely that Ur-Energy would be submitting additional applications for additional facibities in coming years. With the current activities centered around licensing, Ur-Energy has a full appreciation of the rigorous nature of the regulatory requirements for modern uranium recovery facilities.

25 Ur-Energy strongly supports this GEIS

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 initiative. We believe that an industry-wide standard environmental impact statement can be a comprehensive and robust document that fully addreases all of the foreseeable environmental impacts of this growing, yet mature, industry. Ur-Energy is committed to ensuring that the GEIS rigorously addresses the common environmental aspects and impacts from ISR facilities.

9 While supporting the GEIS effort, we also becognize that each facility will have unique aspects that will require site-specific review. Ur-Energy believes that with a broad-based envinonmental report in place, the regulatory community and the public will be enabled to better focusion reviewing the critical site-specific aspects of the application.

17 The end result will be a more efficient and more thorough license application review process with maple opportunity for public involvement. Thankoyou again for your time and consideration of theselcomments.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Wayne. The next 20wo speakers would be Suzanne Lewis of the Biodiversity Conservation Alliance. Suzanne. And after 5Suzanne would be Donna Wichers of the Energy

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

ムフ

Metals Corporation.

2 MS. LEWIS: Good evening. My name is Suzanne Lewis. I'm with Biodiversity Conservation Alliance, a small nonprofit conservation organization based in Laramie, Wyoming. We are a watchdog group that works hard to protect wildlife and wild places in this great State of Wyoming.

8 We certainly don't oppose extraction of minerals or any resources in the state. Our goal is to doowhat we can to make sure that that's done in a responsible manner and that when folks leave the state2it's the groundwater, the land, the wildlife and the other resources are about the same as they were 1when people came. So that's our mission.

15 We're disappointed that this is a Genemic EIS, but we can't change that at this point. So there are a number of requests that we have while you'me preparing this Generic EIS. One is that you take the time and I think it's particularly crucial in the scoping process to take the time to get to know 2the individual sites where these mines are going2to be placed. It's not enough to sit in Washbagton and make regulations and do business.

I encourage you to get out here on the land25meet the people, talk with them. This meeting

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

JU

tonight and the others that you're holding are a good starting point and I thank you for having the opportunity to be here, but you really need to get out and meet the people in the communities that are going5to be impacted by this. And you need to see the area where these mines are going to be. I grew up and lived in the East for many years and lived in Washington, D.C. for a number of years, so I know what bt's like there, but the west is completely different. And that's where most of this is going to occur. So I would ask that you extend the scoping period. It's just a little over 30 days and that iseally isn't adequate time, I don't think, for the public to be able to have input, particularly those5citizens in areas that are going to be impacted the hardest. So I would ask that you extend the scoping period.

18 I would also ask that you get as much input9as you can from the people and not just from industry. There are always at least two sides and frequently more than two sides to every issue and so it's 2important to get a broad-based look at what's going3on and what the impacts really will be for those4communities involved.

I would ask that you identify the issues

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. JТ

with regard to restoration of sites when the mining is complete and you shouldn't streamline this part of the Generic EIS. That's a very critical part. As I said earlier, we want to be sure that when those5folks leave this State that the State is in at least6the same position, if not a better position, than when they came here.

8 It's important to recognize that ISL is not a one size fits all, that there are all definitely mining sites that are not appropriate for that mand that you take a very careful and close look at each application and each site. I know that's not necessarily part of the GEIS process, but I encourage you to take the time to make it part of that more so.

Again, get out on the ground, look around, see what's happening. See what's there and what twe as citizens want to protect in our great State9

20 Groundwater, unlike in the east, is the bloodline of the west. Water for us is what enables to be 2 here and exist here at all. If it's contaminated, we can't go on. So I think you need to understand that it's a very different situation here 2 in the west, that it's a critical resource that

has to be protected and if it costs a little more to do that, then that's the price that needs to be paid for the right to come in and take the resources.

4 I encourage you also to acknowledge in your Generic EIS, talk about the history of what has gone on in the past, what the legacy of that has been.7 Be candid and forthcoming about what wasn't done sight the first time around and how we're going to do9it better this time around.

10 I think the NRC needs to make a special effort to be aware of what technology is out there and this will take time and take work. But that's critical because if you don't know what's available, you dan't require the best available technology. So I endourage you again to take the time to learn what you need to learn in this process, so that you can do the best job that you can for protecting the othersresources out there.

19 I encourage you also to address what the cumulative impacts will be from any mining site. Amonglother things, what about depleted uranium, hardened metals, nuclear waste. Take a look at the unique geology of each place, the hydrology, the culture of the place, because the west isn't all the same 25 Each place is unique.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

1 Look at what the current land uses are for that area. What do the people here value? What do they want to see protected?

And I guess I would close with saying that I think the NRC, it behooves you to have as broad6a knowledge as possible going into this permitting process so take the time now to learn what you need to know to do the best job that you can because when we get in the middle of it, it's too hate to be learning at that point. It's better to know ahead what you're getting into and plan well and act well.

13 Thank you again for the opportunity to be here.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. I think Gregican address the question about the time frame for the public comments.

18 MR. SUBER: Actually, thank you for those9comments. There are actually a couple of things that I'd like to address. First of all, this is a2scoping meeting and it is the beginning of our process, but one thing we need to realize is that most 20f the things are still on the table, so we don't24have to rigidly go with the present schedule that 2006 have with respect to scoping comments. We

can take that and we can go back and we can look at that and reevaluate whether September 4th is a realistic date for scoping comments.

But I would like to make one 4 clarification. When we were talking about the GEIS review, every site-specific application will receive another environmental review. And if I didn't make that elear in my presentation, I apologize. The GEIS is a bounding review, but when an application comesoin, the NRC will perform a site-specific environmental review and a site-specific safety review for that particular location. And during that 1 Beview, we always have site visits and we always come out to check out the lay of the land and to examine local features and look for things that are particularly unique to that site so that we can include those in the review. And I just wanted to make 18 hat point that there are two reviews, the generiec review which is a bounding review, but a site2specific review that are going to look at those unique issues that everyone here seems to be interested in.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: What we're going to do is I see4a hand up, but what I'd like to do is go through the people who have submitted cards. There

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

Jυ

will be time for questions from the audience at that point2 Thank you.

3 Donna Wichers.

4 MS. WICHERS: Wichers.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. From Energy Metals Corporation.

7 MS. WICHERS: My name is Donna Wichers. I am the Senior Vice President of Energy Metals Corpopation, a publicly-owned energy company listed on both the New York Stock Exchange and the Toronto Stock1Exchange.

12 Energy Metals' corporate strategy is to become the premiere uranium producer in the United States through the acquisition, development and production of our multi-million pound uranium resources, most of which are amenable to the lowcostienvironmentally acceptable in situ recovery process.

This would be within the States of Wyoming, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, and Utah.

21 We've assembled one of the most experienced operational teams of ISR uranium mining professionals in the U.S. Currently have a fulltime 2staff of more than 60 geologists, engineers, environmental managers, land specialists and

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. ບເ

operational personnel. We've reached almost \$2 billiøn in market capitalization and we feel that we're3ideally poised to take advantage of the current market demand for uranium.

5 Our first uranium production center will be in6south Texas at the Palangana ISR satellite which7will feed our existing Hobson Central Processing Plant also in south Texas. Licensing with the State, the TECQ in Texas is well under way and we expect first production in late 2008.

11 Our second planned production center is the Moore Ranch ISL property located here in Wyoming in Campbell County. Later this summer, we will be submitting our environmental and technical reports to the NRC in support of a new source material license for uranium production at Moore Ranch.

17 Energy Metals Corporation is supportive of the NRC's initiative to prepare a Generic Environmental Impact Statement for in situ recovery operations, as we believe that a document such as this 2would be beneficial for informing the general public of the small impact from ISR mining and also because it could reduce the costs and time involved in assessing the common aspects of these facilities. A document such as this would also be valuable

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

51

reference for NRC non-agreement states such as Wyomizg, as well as agreement states such as Texas.

3 However, we do have concerns that the overall NEPA process and preparation of the Generic EIS for both the conventional and ISR facilities could6take away valuable staff time from their review of pending and future licensing actions including our Moore Ranch submittal to be turned in this summer. We would therefore ask that NRC use to the extent possible contract sources for the GEIS preparation. I see the center is here so I guess that 1would be considered contract source. And this would3allow current NRC staff to continue their review of pending and future licensing actions.

In all probability, the GEIS for ISR uranimum recovery will not be issued in time or be available to us as a resource for the review and approval of Energy Metals' Moore Ranch license application. We therefore strongly encourage NRC to continue its 20-year long practice of licensing new ISR facilities under an environmental assessment or EA which still falls under the NEPA process and public scrutiny.

24 Energy Metals does not agree with NRC's new policy that equates ISR to milling because

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

ວບ

millimg is crushing, grinding, and processing rock for its uranium content above ground with the ultimate surface disposal of large volumes of radioactive mill tailings that must be deeded over to the U.S. Government or the state for long-term surveillance, and none of these things occur in the ISR process.

8 So we can understand why NRC requires the full EIS to issue the milling licenses, but we do not understand the need for an EIS for individual ISR fiacilities when clearly the potential environmental impacts are much smaller and more manageable than for a true milling operation.

14 So we are hopeful that the Generic EIS for ISR facilities will conclude that an EA is sufficient for the continued licensing of ISR projects. So thank you again for the opportunity to present our comments.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: And did you want to go ahead oand submit that to the NRC?

MS. WICHER: Yes.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you very much. Our next2speaker will be Mike O'Brien, Cook County Land Use Dlanning and Zoning Commission.

25 Mike. And then after Mike will be Glenn

Catchpole.

2 MR. O'BRIEN: Hi, I'm Mike O'Brien. I'm representing the Crook County, Wyoming Land Use Planning and Zoning Commission. I'm the Vice Chairman.

6 We currently have some exploratory drilling going on in Crook County for in situ mining and our main concern is the possibility of water, groundwater contamination. I'm not a geologist or a hydrologist so I don't fully understand all of the potential ramifications, but just looking at your slides up there I can see potential for groundwater contamination.

14 We, as a county, we have no mechanism to protest the groundwater per se, and so we were very gladito get this announcement and see that you guys were igoing to produce a Generic Environmental Impact Statement. We plan on commenting on the process throughout it and want to be as involved as practicable.

21 Our main concern again is groundwater and we hope that in the monitoring of the ISL process that it's not just the mining company that's doing4the monitoring. That is kind of like the fox guarding the henhouse. So we hope that in your

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

ΨU

process you detail some third party monitoring or oversight of the monitoring and also there be sufficient bonding required of the mining company so that if there is contamination that the land owners and other water users of that area are compensated sufficiently. And I don't know how you do that. If the water is contaminated, I would think that they wouldsend up having to supply groundwater for quite some period of time or providing drinking and stock water0

11 But our concern is making sure that our groundwater is protected. We want to see the mining. We want to see the development. We just want 1% to make sure it's done in a very responsible manner and that there are avenues for compensation if the unfortunate happens and there's contamination.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mike.

19 MR. VON TILL: Thank you for comments. I just want to make one clarification that I didn't include in my slides, but for an NRC licensed uranizum milling facility, we do require financial assuzance. We require enough financial assurance so that zwe can come in and have a third party do all the work that the actual licensee would do which

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

τ÷

wouldibe the decommissioning and reclamation of the site and also groundwater restoration. So I just wanted to make that distinction. And we do agree that groundwater, the groundwater monitoring and restofation part is very important. And that's why I was6pointing out on the slides that we have quite a lot7of monitoring wells for these facilities. Thank8you.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Bill. I was just 10 hecking with the court reporter, again, reminding people to please speak clearly in the mic. The mic is adjustable a little bit, if it's too low or too tall, and we're going to put up on the screen also 12 he information for contacting us. I think I can do that, just by hitting a button. Let me see. Yes. 160kay.

17 And so I'm going to leave that up there. Agains if you don't get a chance tonight or you don'towant to tonight, make a comment, you can certainly submit comments to us.

21 Glenn Catchpole. And after Glenn will be Jill Morrison.

23 MR. CATCHPOLE: This is the first time I've 2@ver had to raise a mic, so I feel pretty good.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

25 (Laughter.)

(202) 234-4433

1 Good evening. My name is Glenn Catchpole and I'm the President and CEO of Uranerz Energy Corporation. Uranerz is a U.S. public corpomation, traded on the American Stock Exchange and our offices are here in Casper, Wyoming. Our company has uranium properties in Wyoming that we plan to bring into production using the in situ recovery method of extraction. And we have informed the U9S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission of our plans to submit a source material license application to them 1by the end of this year.

12 To begin with, I want to thank the NRC and their staff that is here today for allowing our company to make a few brief comments on the initiative by the NRC to prepare a Generic Environmental Impact Statement for in situ recovery and conventional milling facilities. Before going further, I want to state that my remarks pertain to justion situ recovery method of mining and not conventional milling and my comments are as follows.

21 First, our company is concerned with the statement in the NRC news release that this GEIS is intended to address the common issues associated with 2@nvironmental reviews of ISL and conventional facibities located in the western United States.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

чJ

It's our opinion and contention that there are only a limited number of common issues related to the aforementioned methods of uranium extraction and processing and that industry and the public would be better served if the NRC prepared separate GEISs for each.6 The operational and environmental issues associated with these two types of production facilities are substantially different and therefore it is your recommendation that the GEIS process should not lump conventional milling facilities and in situ recovery facilities into the same document.

12 Second, we question why the NRC has decided that planned, new in situ recovery facilities in Wyoming must go through the full environmental impact statement process in order to receive a source material license.

17 Wyoming has had continuous uranium in situimecovery operations for about 30 years. And whild the first operations were required to go through the full EIS process for licensing, the NRC must 2 have determined that based on the demonstrated compliance of these early operations, including groundwater restoration, it was not necessary for the later projects to go through the full EIS process.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

44

1 It's our understanding that a recent change in federal regulations on 11(e)(2) material may have prompted the NRC to require a full EIS on new in situ recovery projects. If this is the case, then we would like to suggest that any GEIS on in situ recovery facilities focus just on the 11(e)(2) issue7which should significantly shorten the process.

9 Third, this comment does not relate directly to the purpose of the meeting, but Uranerz, and most likely other companies are concerned whether the NRC will have a large enough professional staff to timely review the source material license applications for in situ recovery facilisties that are expected to be submitted over the next couple of years and on into the future.

17 We recognize that the NRC is a large, regulatory body that has grown up around the nuclear powersplant industry. And there is a concern that the growing human resources' needs on the reactor side 20f the Agency may leave the uranium recovery sector of this Agency understaffed. It is requested that 2the Commission be informed of our concerns on this 2issue. Nuclear reactors are not of much value if they cannot get the uranium they need for fuel.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

τJ

I I have a couple of additional comments I would2make that are not written, but I would encousage the NRC and the DEQ to resume their discussions on a possible Memorandum of Understanding regarding the regulation of in situ recovery mines. As it exists today, there's duplicity between these agencies, occasionally conflicting, that the Applicant has to sort out and deal with, and we think it would be a much more efficient process if somehow those two agencies couldiget together and decide and to eliminate the duplicity that we have right now.

13 I want to thank you very much for organizing and conducting this meeting and especially for holding the meeting in a city that once 1was the uranium capital of the world and in the state7that has the largest uranium resource. Thank you. 18

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you very much.

20 Greg, did you want to say anything? Okay21we'll take these as comments.

Jill Morrison of the Powder River Basin Resource Council.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

24 After Jill, Marion Loomis, of the Wyombag Mining Association. чυ

1 MS. MORRISON: Thank you very much. My name 2s Jill Morrison. I work with the Powder River Basin3Resource Council. It's been around since 1973.4 It's an organization of citizens and ranchers, land owners concerned about resource development in Wyoming and ensuring that that development is done in a responsible manner, protects health and safety of the public, involves the public in our democratic process to the fullest extent and arrives at good stewardship of our beautiful state and important resources.

12 And I thank you very much for your time and for the effort you made to come here and involve the public.

Is I would like to ask first off that you do extend the scoping comment period. I do know that twe just found about this very recently and we try us watch for these things, to act as a sort of clearinghouse or outreach to the general citizen, landcowner, public in Wyoming that might not know. We have recently been contacted by a lot more landcowners who are very concerned about uranium mining in their backyard, on their land, around theiz4communities, and those impacts. And I think the word needs to go out in a much greater public

(202) 234-4433

outreach effort. I do appreciate the notice that I saw in the paper, but I think we need to get a few more of those out in the smaller newspapers in the state4and just try to involve the general public as much as possible, particularly those people that are going6to be directly affected.

7 I would also like to address -- I'm a little confused about a Generic Environmental Impact Statement. Although I think when you compare it to a programmatic statement I do have a little better understanding, although I don't think I've ever seen a programmatic EIS cover the entire western U.S.

13 I'm not sure if you're biting off more than 1900 can chew. Maybe it's important to do this greatsbig one for some particular reason, which I haven&t had enough time to think about and explore, but I'd like you to possibly delineate those in this document in some way why we're looking at a huge document covering the entire western U.S.

I do think it's very important to have an environmental impact statement that covers the cumulative impacts of many of these projects. I want 23- ask that you disclose the numbers of projects that are being proposed that you are aware of, their exact locations, and as much as you know

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

about1those facilities at this time in this document.

3 I also ask that you disclose how these properties are leased, how the split estate or surface landowner can have a say at what points because I think there's a lot of confusion among the landowning public what their rights are as surface land owners in the uranium mining staking or leasing or however that whole process works.

10 I also ask that you very clearly disclose and describe the history of uranium mining and disclosure of the environmental impacts to extreme and particular interests is groundwater and surface water; people's water resources that have been impacted and how and potentially will be impacted and how.

17 I think there's a lot of documentation studies. I ask that you cite in document studies that 19 how the extent of groundwater contamination, the extent of that existing contamination to aquifers that are or were used in the past for livestock and domestic use.

23 One of the things that we have here in Wyoming are overlapping impacts of other resource development and something that a couple of

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

セン

geologists have mentioned, one being the late and very famous Dr. David Love is the impact of uranium in situ mining and cold bed methane dewatering operations. And I'd like to see some analysis and disclosure of potential impacts between those two types6of resource mining industries and any others that we might not currently be aware of that you may or others may. I think you have a big job ahead of you in terms of volume of information that exists and the need to pull that together in this document so that we can fully understand the implications of the potential development.

13 I would like to make sure -- I didn't see it on your incomplete list. I want to make sure,15because it's a very important resource in Wyoming is our wildlife. Impacts to wildlife, impacts to habitat fragmentation, impacts in relation to the mobilization of other contaminants that 10 an affect wildlife like selenium or that can affect livestock. I know there have been some problems in the past and I'd like to see those disclosed and discussed in the document.

I think the cleanup and restoration is an azea of much confusion to the public. We hear that 29es, contamination occurs with the in situ

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

JU

uranium mining, but we are able to go in and clean this up. Could you please describe how that's conducted, how that's done, how it's worked in the past,4if it's been successful or not, what the differences are within the state regulatory bodies in terms of how much cleanup has to take place or at what level do we have to come back to because I know here in Wyoming we've relaxed some of those regulations for restoration groundwater and I think that meeds to be fleshed out.

11 Please clarify bonding and bonding requirements in the document and disclosure of those3 I think those are the basic concerns and issues we hope to see addressed.

15 One of the things I don't understand and I haven't seen and maybe you want to discuss a little bit, there's a lot of interest by foreign corporations primarily in many foreign corporations in mining uranium in the United States and in Wyoming. I'd like to know what regulations govern foreign corporations when they come to mine in our country. Do we prohibit certain companies and allow others? Can we have China mining uranium? Is it just 2@anadian companies? Is it Russian companies? How do we -- how is that determined and decided?

(202) 234-4433

1 I'd also like to know more about how -what's the completeness criteria for applications that are submitted, so those are some of the other questions that came up.

5 There were a lot of citizens that wanted to be6here tonight that are actually in Crook County at a Commissioner meeting about some proposed development in that area, so I do again want to emphasize the need to extend the comment period so that 1we can get the word out to more folks who are going1to dealing with this directly. Thank you very much12

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, thank you, Jill. Gregl4 I think Greg wants to make some clarifying comments.

16 MR. SUBER: Thanks a lot for those comments. There are two issues that I wanted to addrass just quickly because they've come up a couple of times. This concept of cumulative impacts, I just wanted to reiterate or maybe state for the first time that cumulative impacts are evaluated in our environmental reports. We're going to donit at the initial stage for the GEIS and of course we're going to do it on a site-specific basis because that's one of the analyses where the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

ے ر

cumulative impacts are going to change from location to location so I just wanted to make that clarification, that cumulative impacts are going to be evaluated in a GEIS.

5 I also wanted to make a statement about decommaissioning because when a licensee wants to get rid of his license, they have to come to have that license amended. And when they try to amend that license they have to apply again to the NRC to have decommaissioning -- they have to have a decommaissioning plan. They have to have that decommaissioning plan approved. And the NRC is active in ensuring that they meet our decommaissioning criteria. So I just wanted to make thosestwo clarifications that cumulative impacts are goingsto be evaluated and it is the licensee's responsibility to meet NRC's regulations for decommaissioning.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Joan, did you want to address the applicability of our regulations to companies, whatever country they come from?

Joan is from our Office of General Coungel.

24 MS. OLMSTEAD: Yes, I'll have to get back 250 you on that because that's not an area I've

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

JJ

dealt1with right now, but I'm sure I can find somebødy in the office that has.

3 MR. VON TILL: One thing I wanted to point4out, most of these companies are United States companies. They may have parent companies that are French or Canadian, but again, Joan can get back on the details of our exact review from a foreign corpomation standpoint, but most of these companies are American companies here in Casper, Wyoming and Denver, Colorado and throughout the United States they may have parent companies, like Chemeco or Kojima, French, Canadian-type companies, Australian companies, but we do look at that. Thank you.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: And I will say that our regulatory framework, I don't believe distinguishes between foreign ownership or U.S. ownership in terms of what regulations apply. Our regulations apply to a particular process, apply to a nuclear power plant, applies to materials facility, fuel cycle facility, a number of other operations that we regulate or the states regulate through the agreement state programs. Those regulations apply across the board regardless of where that company is located, if that was the issue. The details of how we would address a foreign company, I think Joan can

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

JŦ

probably provide some more information, but let me make clear. Our regulatory framework applies to actions occurring within the United States.

4 MS. OLMSTEAD: I just want to clarify. I thimk your question was how a foreign company takes 6 over another company or gets permission to come in?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Why don't we take this up afterwards, separately, so that we don't interrupt the other people who wanted to provide comments at this spoint in time.

12 Marion Loomis from the Wyoming Mining Association.

14 MR. LOOMIS: Thank you. It's a pleasure to bashere and I appreciate your coming to Wyoming. I am Marion Loomis, the Executive Director of the Wyoming Mining Association. We represent the bentomite, coal, trona and uranium companies in Wyoming. As you probably know, Wyoming leads the nation in the production of uranium with about two million pounds of production. Since the increase in the price of uranium the last two years, we've seen a tremendous increase in activity in uranium explomation in Wyoming and we feel it's very important that the United States use more uranium

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

ر ر

produced from secure sources here in the United States, rather than from foreign countries.

3 And it's already been mentioned, but Wyoming has the largest reserves of uranium in the United States and we feel that that resource needs to be6developed. If we're ever going to reduce our reliance on foreign sources of energy, it's going to be imperative that we produce significant portion of the 50 million pounds of uranium used in U.S. nuclear power plants from domestic sources. In ordenito do that, it is critical and the permitting of new facilities proceed in a logical and timely mannes.

14 Many of the comments I have here have already been stated, so I'll be brief on them, but we support the NRC's initiative to prepare a Generic Environmental Impact Statement for ISR uranium mining. There are a number of pending projects in Wyoming and other states that will benefit from theseoefforts to assess a common environment aspect and impacts of these low-impact facilities on a generic basis. We feel that could reduce the cost and time involved in licensing of the projects and will callow the staff to concentrate on the sitespecific aspects of each project.

1 We also support updating the 1980 Generic EIS for conventional uranium milling. There are several existing facilities that have announced plans4to resume production at a future date and at least5one new milling facility has been announced. The 1980 GEIS is out of date, but could be updated to assess new milling techniques and technologies and their environmental impacts.

9 We believe that an update to the conventional uranium milling GEIS should be performed independent of the preparation of the Generic EIS and that comment has been made before and we certainly support those companies that have stated that.

15 We're concerned that an effort to prepare a Generic EIS for conventional milling and ISR mining may adversely affect the progress by the staffson pending and future license applications, therefore we would encourage the NRC, as much as you can, 200 use outside sources to supplement the NRC staffin preparing the draft documents to avoid the adverse impacts to NRC review of pending license applications.

24 We believe that a Generic EIS for in situ 25 ecovery and updated GEIS for conventional

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

J I

uranium milling will provide potential licensees with up-to-date guidance and information on environmental impacts of ISR and uranium milling that will further improve future baseline environmental evaluations and license applications.

6 I thank you for the opportunity to comment and look forward to working with you in the future.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you very much.

10 At this point I have three cards from people who weren't quite sure if they wanted to say anything. If you don't mind I'll call out your name13 If you don't want to say anything just say no. 14

15 Mark Hollingbach?

18

16 MR. HOLLINGBACH: It's been said.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Linda Layman.

MS. LAYMAN: Kind of bear with me, I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

kindlof knew about being around people. My name is LindæoLayman and my husband worked in Lucky MC Mine in 1976 and in May 27th of 2002 he passed away from uranizam poisoning in his right lung. I'm here to try to help a lot of these people have come up dying or bæing sick. I got letters from people around Wyomizng. I want to read you one of them.

1 "Dear Mrs. Layman, I was told about you and your wanting to change the laws on the time dates3that are involved in getting the money on this uranium issue. I am all for you and also willing to help change these rules. I also have cancer, T-cell lymphoma. I worked in the uranium mine in mill for Union7Carbide in the gas hills for a long time. This has caused me a lot of money, hardship, and much pain as I will have the rest of my life take very 1expensive treatments for the rest of my life. However, lung, that is my main cancer, doctor is in Houston, Texas at a cancer center in the hospital which3the cost is astronomical. I also would like to get involved in helping change the rules as my datessalso fall just short of receiving any money from 1the fund, even though I worked in very dangerous and dirty and hot uranium active places. I will need to get the government to work with us as well 1as increasing amount of the paycheck money we should have already gotten which would not be much now.21I have already spent a lot of more money than they 2are talking about as well as many hardships to do so3 I am willing to go to Cheyenne or Washington, D.C. to help change these rules. Thank you. 25Larry Schroeder from Riverton, Wyoming."

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 23

כנ

1 I've checked into a lot of people that have passed away in Wyoming from working in the mill.3 That's what my husband was working. I'm here to hopefully help all these people to make sure that they won't come up sick. And this is why I'm here.

6 I'm not post-testing anything, but there's a lot of people that are coming up dying and being sick. And I'm wondering about their safety in the mill when you start over again in starting the mines 0 Can you give me an answer on that?

11 MR. CAMPBELL: I think that what we can do is2if you would like you can submit the letters or weill be happy to take copies of the letters, if you'd4like. There is an extensive safety review that 1goes on during the licensing process. We do that 15 hrough the environmental -- not the Environmental Review Branch, the Uranium Recovery Branch and I think we can take those as comments. I'm not sure what the specific question is.

20 MS. LAYMAN: What I'm worried about is the people that are working in the mill today. Are they 2going to come up sick from working in the mill around the yellowcake?

24 MR. VON TILL: Let me address one thing. We aze aware that in the past there was a lot of

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

exposure from people working in the uranium mines, the conventional uranium mines. Today, we have stringent regulations with these facilities, these uranium mill facilities. We just toured one of the facilities today. They have a lot of environmental monitoring, radiological monitoring and the NRC performs inspections and licensing reviews to make sure that these workers are safe from these operations.

10 These facilities, anything that's regulated by the NRC is particularly -- has a lot of oversight relative to other facilities like chemical facilities because it includes radiation exposure. So I1just want to assure you that today in modern times; the NRC does look out for the workers at these6plants. They look out for the people that surround these plants and we feel that the operators in the industry right now are doing a good job of trying to protect the workers of these plants. Thankoyou for your comments.

MS. LAYMAN: You're welcome.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you very much. We had one more person, Echo, did you want to comment? I can't pronounce your last name, if you could pronomnce it for me that would be great.

1 MR. MOORE: Good evening. My name is Echo Moore-Klaproth and I think you heard the Moore Ranch3over here. Our ranch is a little south of the particular area that's being explored now and as a landowner our questions are very similar to all of the omes that have already been mentioned this evening, so I don't intend to reinvent the wheel here.8 However, I do want to stress the significance of oup concern for water.

10 As a rancher, my dad always said to us, you are not in the livestock business. You're in the grass and water business because without them you are not in the livestock business. And on our particular small place it's in southern Campbell County, northern Converse County. We straddle the border. And in our particular situation, as a child we had several, like eight or nine natural springs on our land, just on our place alone. Those are now all dried up. And it's due, in part, to all the beautoful resources that are underneath that soil that that raised our livestock. First, it was the oil. 22And now it's methane. And they are now in the uppersine mile.

24 Our place is called Nine Mile, on upper Nine 2Mile, on a cousin's ranch and here we go again,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

∪∠

taking more water out of the precious aquifer in the Powder River Basin.

3 So please be very, very aware of our concerns about water. Not only the aquifers, but of course, the contamination part of it and I just wanted to reiterate that.

7 We're such a small voice, we ranchers here in the west. We're just totally outnumbered, but we do appreciate the opportunity to have our voices heard at meetings like this.

I also have a question. Can land owners 11 stop12icensing? And I think I know the answer to that 1because we've been watchdogs for a number of years4with the other companies that have come in and while5it has taken a younger brother of mine who is out there and he does it full-time now, he monitors all the activity that is on our place because the damage to our surface, to our grass, to our livelyhood is extensive. And even though you say that 2bhese little pods are a few hundred feet apart, it just involves roads and more acreage and more grass2eaten up and I want to be sure that you examine and be sure that these people who are asking for bicenses understand that they're involving another lifestyle and another person's business and

that there needs to be compensation. It never does compensate totally because our grass so low, such a short3powered stuff and the soil is so hard it doesn4t recover, not quickly and not easily. And particularly in these days we're suffering a terrible drought here. And it could be 50 to 75 years7 I'm serious about this, before our soil and our land ever recovers.

So on top of that, we're going to add one more impact so please be very aware of the licensees need to be aware that we've had imminent domain threatened, condemnation threatened for the othensminerals that are underneath our land. I hope we dom't have to keep hiring attorneys just to protest. We're four generations on this grass and we wikl keep hiring as long as we're financially able ito, but hopefully an Agency like you can help protest us by trying to assure the licensee people that isompensation does need to be made to people.

20 And I also wanted to thank Jill Morrison from 2the Powder River Resource. I applaud you, ma'am2 for all of your questions and comments. You'ze right on as is the lady from the Biodiversity Conservation Group. Thank you both very much for all that you do to protect this previous state that

we call Wyoming and my home.

2 I thank you very much for letting me speak3

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you very much, Echo.5 I think there was at least one person who wanted to speak.

7 Could you come to the mic and identify yourself and your affiliation?

9 MR. BLEIZEFFER: Dustin Bleizeffer, the Casper Star Tribune.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Can you get a little closer to the mic?

13 MR. BLEIZEFFER: Dustin Bleizeffer, the Casper Star Tribune. I was just curious if after you complete the Generic EIS and you do the individual reviews, is there a chance for public comment in those individual reviews?

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, I think Greg can addres that.

20 MR. SUBER: Presently, we are looking at our process and we are, like I said, we're at the first2stages of the -- we're at the first stages of the zeview and we're trying to design our process to maximize public interaction. So what we're doing is we'restaking your comments here and we're seeing to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

what extent we're going to have public involvement during the other phases of the review. But I'd like to reiterate that not only at this meeting, but at the draft stage for the GEIS, we'll come back and have another public meeting so the public has several opportunities to input into the decisions that are being made.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Greg, I think that also if an 9 environmental assessment is developed, that is derived from a GEIS. There is also public comment period and maybe you can address that as well.

12 MR. SUBER: That is correct. Presently, the way -- like I said, there are going to be two reviews done. The first, of course, is the GEIS. And the second is a site-specific environmental review. Now that site-specific environmental review will istart off as what we call an environmental assessment. And in an environmental assessment we look lat -- we look at the effects of all the attributes that are under evaluation and we come out with zone or two things. We either come up with a finding of no significant impact or we come out with a finding that we need to do a full-blown EIS. And if we4decide that we need to do an full-blown EIS, then zwe'll have another scoping meeting and we'll

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 23

3 So there's the potential that at the stage4of the site-specific reviews we will have one or more additional public meetings. Does that answer your question?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: And I believe that a finding of no significant impact is also subject to public comment.

10 MR. SUBER: It is subject to public comment, yes.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have other questions? Please step to the mic and identify yourself.

15 MS. ELDER: Hi, I'm Deirdre Elder. I'm a graduate student at Colorado State University and I have a question for Bill. You had a slide and you talked about an aquifer exemption for perpetuity and I want to know more about how that works because does 2that assume that there's no movement within the aquifer, if there's any contamination within that exemption that it's not going to move or how does that 2000rk so that the groundwater for people who are outside of that will be protected?

25 MR. VON TILL: Sure. Thank you for your

comment. Let me first state that the aquifer exemption process is not done by the NRC. But it's done by the Environmental Protection Agency or the state4that handles that for them. The State of Wyoming, well, the EPA does the aquifer exemption. The state also does an underground injection control permit.

8 Now the EPA, when they exempt that portion of the aquifer and it's only a portion of the aquifer where you're going to have mining. They have ito satisfy particular criteria. One of the critezia is that that aquifer, that portion of the aquifier is not being used presently for drinking watem4purposes.

15 The goal is to make sure that the operation does not exceed EPA Safe Drinking Water Act maximum concentration limits outside that aquifier exemption boundary. So the goal is to protect the US DWA or the U.S. drinking water which is outside the aquifer-exempted area. There are some 2EPA folks in the room tonight. What I would say is maybe after the meeting ends, you might want to approach one of them and get more information on that 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

25 Thank you.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Not to put anyone on the spot, 2but did the EPA, did anybody from the EPA want to make a comment?

4 MR. SETLOW: I'm Loren Setlow. I'm with the U5S. EPA Office of Radiation and Indoor Air in Washington, D.C.

7 I will probably make a more formal statement at the Albuquerque meeting, but we certainly would be available to discuss with anybody who has questions after this session is completed regarding groundwater protection issues under the Safe Drinking Water Act as well as the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act which has not really been idiscussed at any length here today.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. Are there any othersquestions at this point? Anybody else wishing to make comments, statements, provide us with further input?

19 Yes, sir. Please identify yourself and your 2**a**ffiliation.

21 MR. KUNERTH: I'm Bill Kunerth from Crook2County, Wyoming and there is some exploration going3on in that area. And I would like -- a couple of persons who commented or your experts to comment on a 250 uple of things.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

とう

1 One statement that there are certain sites2that are not appropriate for ISL and I wondered if there's any general or specific explanation of that. And the second did refer to contamination of a couple of aquifers and I just would6like some more specific information about that.7

MR. VON TILL: Thank you very much. 8 Your first question, when I did my presentation, I pointed out that some formations are not amenable to in situ leach recovery operations. For example, if you don't have water in a particular unit, they won't3be able to do in situ leach operations. They 11 have to use conventional mining and milling. If the permeability of the sand units where the low front6deposits are located are not adequate for pumping out the formations, they won't be able to do that 18 If they don't have the right confining units abovegor below, let's say you have a situation where you bave an aquifer unit that has the uranium deposit and right above it is a drinking water aquifer with a confined layer, that would not be a good 2situation for in situ leach recovery.

24 So as we move forward with this resuzgence, you're always going to have some

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

facilities and some ore bodies that it will have to be --2will have to use, utilize conventional mining and milling. That's why out of the 14 applications that we're expecting to receive in non-agreement states, this doesn't include Texas, Utah, and Colorado, most of them are in in situ leach operations because the companies feel that's a cheaper way to go and also more environmentally friendly way to go, but as I said before, some of the formations are not amenable to that kind of technology.

12 MR. KUNERTH: Can these issues be explosed by exploration?

14 MR. VON TILL: Absolutely, yes. Right now **as** we speak some of the companies in some of these6states are doing exploration drilling to look into1that very issue. Is this an appropriate aquifier for in situ leach recovery, or is it more appropriate for conventional mining and milling?

20 Your other question referred to groundwater contamination and that is one of our -a lotzof people voiced that concern. We're very awar@3in the western United States that groundwater is gold out here and that's why I've got four hydrogeologists on my staff that do nothing but look at

groundwater monitoring and restoration reports to make sure these operations are conducted in a manner that cleans up the aquifers after they've finished their4exploration and extraction and also that the aquifers surrounding the extraction are protected, a lot of monitoring wells in these operations. So I hope I answered your questions.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Any other questions that anybody else in the audience would like to raise tonight? Okay, if not, if you feel that after this evening's meeting you would like to provide comments, you can send your comments to us by email. You can send a letter or even a report to us at that address. We at this point in time are asking that you postmark by September 4. We certainly will take into 100 sideration a possible extension of the comment period. We've done that in many other caseas I hope we've answered questions that were directed straight to us and I certainly hope that thoseothat may have hesitated to provide comments will 2do so.

I see one hand up here. Did you want to say gomething, sir? Yes, please come to the mic.

24 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, my name is Enoch Baumgardner, I'm a land owner here in Wyoming.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

14

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Could you speak into the mic, please?

3 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I have a three-part question or two parts. What's the -- you mentioned that there would be 14 different companies applying for a6license. What's the standard period of time to issue those licenses? How long a process? That's the first part of the question.

9 The second, these companies that do the ISL mining, once the ore is extracted and it has to be turned into the yellowcake form, the plants that do that, is that ore transported to those plants or are those plants typically built near the mining site 10 r the extraction site itself?

15 MR. VON TILL: Thank you for your questions. Yes, I mentioned earlier that we're antidipating based on conversations with the companies in the industry 14 new applications in non-agreement states and that's not 14 companies, but that's actually 14 new applications.

21 The number of companies is less than that 22 Some companies have multiple sites that they bre interested in.

24 How long does the review process take? We anticipate that once we begin the review process

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

10

with one of these applications that it would take approximately two years. Now with this GEIS effort, we'reshoping to gain efficiencies with our review process and have that shortened process to maybe a year and a half or so. It depends on the sitespecific application and what's necessary as far as what stakeholders need to deal with, but approximately two years.

9 Let me see, the second question was --10 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Once the ore is extracted --

12 MR. VON TILL: Right. And you're talking about in situ leach operations?

14 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes.

15 MR. VON TILL: The paradigm with how thesecompanies operate is changing somewhat, but basidally the facilities that we're regulating right now that are operating right now have a situating wheregentral processing plant is near the actual ore bodies. Now they also have a situation where they 2may have ore bodies that are some distance away from 2the central processing plants and those are called satellite operations where they have the well fields that go into the groundwater, extract the uranizem and then feed it to satellite operations

whereithey run it through the ionic exchange resins and then the resins are trucked from those satellite facilities to the central processing plant.

4 So under the new paradigm shift, you might5have companies that only extract the uranium to the resin point in time and then they take it to an actual mill that then takes the resin and processes it to yellowcake. You may have situations where9people only have a central processing plant and they take resins from other companies.

11 So the paradigm with how these operations, the business plans is changing, but to answer your questions, normally the central processing plant is nearest to the biggest ore body, but they do have satellite operations that can be even1%10 miles away from that particular plant. It's1truck. The resins are then trucked to the central processing plant.

19 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Well, then the third part 20f that question would be if you have 14 different new applications in this Rocky Mountain region, it would be safe to assume then that probably the companies will be building these processing plants in addition to the extraction itsebf? Is that correct?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

15

MR. VON TILL: Some of the applications would2have central processing plants and some of the

10

applications would have only the well fields and the satellite part of the operation, so it varies.

> MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. 5 MR. VON TILL: Thank you. 6

MR. CAMPBELL: I think at that unless 7 there sare any other questions, I want to thank everybody here for coming out. For those that made comments I want to thank you for making comments. I do want to thank Greg Suber, Bill von Till, Jill Olmstead and you haven't seen Carol Walls, Carol did a lot3of the work to set this meeting up. She's our licensing assistant. She's been very helpful. I want 150 thank the Center Staff for coming out here and so again, thank you all for coming. We appreciate your comments and again, if you want to provide further comments, we would be happy to receive those.

So thank you and have a very good 20 evening. Good night.

(Whereupon, at 8:48 p.m., the public 22 scoping GEIS meeting was concluded.)

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

24

1

25

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701(202) 23

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

, ,