FACTORS TO CONSIDER FOR SANCTION IN ACTIONS AGAINST INDIVIDUALS

	IA#		Region	ES _	
see:		Individual: _			
Based on OI r	eport, OI report N	0.:			
Based on insp	pection report, ins	pection report No.:			_
Other:					
			may be o	considered	in determining
The level of t	he individual wit	nin the organization	<u>on</u>		
_ _ _	RSO, SRO or ma small business, p First line supervis technologist, RO User (e.g., AO, a	anager above first blant manager) sor or other license , radiographer) ssistant radiograpl	line super ee official her, techn	(e.g., autho	orized user, chief hnician, QA)
	ne individual's tra	aining and experi		vell as kno	wledge of the
0	to individual for s	similar wrongdoing	by license	ee	-
О	Knows it is wrong wrongdoing (doe	g but does not app s not care)	reciate the	e significan	ce of the
	appreciate the si organization	gnificance of wron	gdoing; fo	ollowing cult	
	Based on Ol r Based on insp Other: case of an action of the level of	Based on OI report, OI report N Based on inspection report, insponents Other: case of an action against an indiger to escalate enforcement sance The level of the individual with Corporate executed RSO, SRO or material business, pricest line supervise technologist, RO User (e.g., AO, and Not normally inversible millwright etc.) Other, Explain: Culpability, the individual's trapotential consequences of the well-trained, explosion of wrongdoing, of Knows it is wrong wrongdoing (does not work in the supervise of	Based on OI report, OI report No.: Based on inspection report, inspection report No.: Other: case of an action against an individual, factors thater to escalate enforcement sanctions include: The level of the individual within the organization Corporate executive in large organical RSO, SRO or manager above first small business, plant manager) First line supervisor or other license technologist, RO, radiographer) User (e.g., AO, assistant radiographonical Not normally involved in NRC-Licental millwright etc.) Other, Explain: Culpability, the individual's training and experience of wrongdoing, or management told for similar wrongdoing well-trained, experienced, no excusion of wrongdoing, or management told for similar wrongdoing (does not care) Newly hired, little or no experience, appreciate the significance of wrongonication	Based on OI report, OI report No.: Based on inspection report, inspection report No.: Other: case of an action against an individual, factors that may be deret to escalate enforcement sanctions include: The level of the individual within the organization Corporate executive in large organization RSO, SRO or manager above first line super small business, plant manager) First line supervisor or other licensee official technologist, RO, radiographer) User (e.g., AO, assistant radiographer, technologist, RO, radiographer) Other, Explain: Culpability, the individual's training and experience as very potential consequences of the wrongdoing Prior individual action against individual by Note individual for similar wrongdoing by licenses well-trained, experienced, no excuse for note of wrongdoing, or management told individual Knows it is wrong but does not appreciate the wrongdoing (does not care) Newly hired, little or no experience, Knows it appreciate the significance of wrongdoing; for organization	Based on OI report, OI report No.: Based on inspection report, inspection report No.: Other: case of an action against an individual, factors that may be considered er to escalate enforcement sanctions include: The level of the individual within the organization Corporate executive in large organization RSO, SRO or manager above first line supervisor (e.g., small business, plant manager) First line supervisor or other licensee official (e.g., author technologist, RO, radiographer) User (e.g., AO, assistant radiographer, technologist, technologist, RO, radiographer, technologist, ec., limillwright etc.) Other, Explain: Culpability, the individual's training and experience as well as knopotential consequences of the wrongdoing Prior individual action against individual by NRC or signition to individual for similar wrongdoing by licensee Well-trained, experienced, no excuse for not appreciation of wrongdoing, or management told individual not to do Knows it is wrong but does not appreciate the significant wrongdoing (does not care) Newly hired, little or no experience, Knows it is wrong but appreciate the significance of wrongdoing; following cultorganization

	 Not likely to work nuclear in 	the future	
	☐ Other, Explain:		
3.	The safety consequences of the misconduct		
	☐ Overexposure to individual(s)		Loss of redundancy or
	 ☐ Misadministration to individual(s) ☐ Release of radiation or radioactive material ☐ Affects public health and safety 		inoperable safety system Low consequences No potential consequences No consequences
	☐ Other, Explain:		
4.	The benefit to the wrongdoer		
	 ☐ Significant tangible gain (e.g., monetary, finar ☐ Tangible gain (e.g., avoidance of discipline, or licensee audit, clear motive) ☐ No real benefit (e.g., leave early, get job done 	oncerned	about NRC inspection or
	☐ Other, Explain:		
	☐ Benefit to Company, Explain:		<u> </u>
5.	The degree of supervision of the individual ☐ Close supervision (e.g., supervisor in area moderate supervision (e.g., supervised occase) ☐ No supervision		
	☐ Other, Explain:		
6.	The employer's response		
	 □ Voluntary dismissal □ Denied unescorted access □ Placed in PADS □ Substantial discipline (e.g., fine, demotion, proindividual, removal from licensed activities if volume □ Some discipline (e.g., counseling, employee at None □ Other, Explain: 	obation, a	adverse action)
_			
7 .	The attitude of the wrongdoer		

	 ☐ Significant interference with investigation (e.g., actions such as destroying records, persuading others to lie) ☐ Interference with investigation (e.g., affirmative lying) ☐ Does not accept responsibility during investigation, exculpatory "no," does not provide testimony (e.g., exercising the Fifth Amendment privilege is neutral under this element) ☐ Admits to wrongdoing and acceptance of responsibility ☐ Cooperates during inspection and/or investigation ☐ Voluntarily identified and self reported the wrongdoing with minimal expectation that it would be discovered
	☐ Other, Explain:
8.	The degree of management responsibility or culpability
	 □ Management directed and employee complains □ Management directed; however, employee does not question even though employee knows it is wrong □ Not directed by management but management does not provide resources to get the job done such that management is implicitly inviting cutting of corners, and individual does not complain □ Management Knew of questionable conduct and took no action to correct conduct □ No management involvement
	☐ Other, Explain:
9.	Who identified the misconduct
	☐ Individual ☐ Licensee (through audit, LER, and/or investigation) ☐ Third party (eg., alleger, union, newspaper, etc.) ☐ NRC (through inspection, LER, and/or investigation)
	☐ Other, Explain:
10.	The duration of the violation
	☐ Repetitive or continues over time; How long ☐ Isolated or relatively isolated
11.	<u>Other</u>
	 The individual directed or coerced others to engage in the wrongdoing at issue Unusual event with significant health and safety consequences such as death or serious injury
12.	Sanction

□ NOV SL □ DFI	□ Order; removal for Year(s)□ Prior Notice, Once, Year(s)	
☐ Other, Explain:		