

June 2, 2005

Mr. Harold B. Ray  
Executive Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 -  
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC  
LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON  
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER  
REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4714 AND MC4715)

Dear Mr. Ray:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8450.

Sincerely,  
**/RA by MFields for/**

Bo M. Pham, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

June 2, 2005

Mr. Harold B. Ray  
Executive Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 -  
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC  
LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON  
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER  
REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4714 AND MC4715)

Dear Mr. Ray:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8450.

Sincerely,  
**/RA by MFields for/**  
Bo M. Pham, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PDIV-2 R/F RidsOgcRp  
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv2 (RGramm) RidsNrrPMBPham  
RidsNrrLADBaxley RidsRgnlMailCenter DCullison/DSolorio  
RidsNrrDlpmDpr RidsNrrDssaDpr MWebb  
Accession No.: ML051530277 \*RAI input from SPLB without any major change

OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM PDIII-2/PM	PDIV-1/LA	DSSA/SPLB/SP	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-1/SC PDIII-2/SC
NAME	MWebb/JHopkins	DBaxley	DCullison/DSolorio*	MFields for BPham	DTerao/ GSuh
DATE	6/2/2005	6/2/05	5/23/05	6-2-05	6-2-05

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
Units 2 and 3

cc:

Mr. Daniel P. Breig, Plant Manager  
Nuclear Generation  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P. O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Mr. Douglas K. Porter  
Southern California Edison Company  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, CA 91770

Mr. David Spath, Chief  
Division of Drinking Water and  
Environmental Management  
P. O. Box 942732  
Sacramento, CA 94234-7320

Chairman, Board of Supervisors  
County of San Diego  
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335  
San Diego, CA 92101

Eileen M. Teichert, Esq.  
Supervising Deputy City Attorney  
City of Riverside  
3900 Main Street  
Riverside, CA 92522

Mr. Gary L. Nolff  
Power Projects/Contracts Manager  
Riverside Public Utilities  
2911 Adams Street  
Riverside, CA 92504

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Mr. Michael Olson  
San Diego Gas & Electric Company  
P.O. Box 1831  
San Diego, CA 92112-4150

Mr. Ed Bailey, Chief  
Radiologic Health Branch  
State Department of Health Services  
Post Office Box 997414 (MS7610)  
Sacramento, CA 95899-7414

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Post Office Box 4329  
San Clemente, CA 92674

Mayor  
City of San Clemente  
100 Avenida Presidio  
San Clemente, CA 92672

Mr. Dwight E. Nunn, Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Mr. James D. Boyd, Commissioner  
California Energy Commission  
1516 Ninth Street (MS 31)  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Ray Waldo, Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92764-0128

Mr. Brian Katz  
Vice President, Nuclear Oversight and  
Regulatory Affairs.  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92764-0128

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
Units 2 and 3

- 2-

cc:

Mr. Steve Hsu  
Department of Health Services  
Radiologic Health Branch  
MS 7610, P.O. Box 997414  
Sacramento, CA 95899

Adolfo Bailon  
Field Representative  
United States Senator Barbara Boxer  
312 N. Spring St. Suite 1748  
Los Angeles, CA. 90012

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,  
“POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON  
EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS”  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3  
DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested that addressees perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL. The staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs the following additional information to complete our review:

As a result of the review of the 90-day responses for all licensees and recent discussions with industry, the staff is concerned that some licensees may be delaying the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects until after the September 1, 2005, due date for the next response to the GL. This would result in an incomplete response and is not in accordance with the schedule in the GL. Your 90-day response indicated that your analysis will be completed by September 1, 2005, but did not discuss the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects. Although the GL did not specifically request that the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects be discussed in the 90-day response, please discuss your plans and schedule for evaluating chemical and downstream effects and verify whether your September 1, 2005, response will include an evaluation of these effects.

ENCLOSURE