Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: D.C. Cook Application Renewal

Public Meeting - Evening Session

Docket Numbers: 50-315 and 50-316

Location: Bridgman, Michigan

Date: Monday, March 8, 2004

Work Order No.: NRC-1355 Pages 1-28

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	IN RE: THE APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL
5	OF THE LICENSES OF INDIANA MICHIGAN
6	POWER COMPANY, A WHOLLY-OWNED
7	SUBSIDIARY OF AMERICAN ELECTRIC
8	POWER, TO OPERATE D.C. COOK NUCLEAR
9	PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2, at BRIDGMAN,
10	BERRIEN COUNTY, MICHIGAN
11	+ + + +
12	commencing at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, March 8, 2004,
13	at the Lake Township Hall building, 3220 Shawnee
14	Street, Bridgman, Michigan.
15	APPEARANCES:
16	LANCE RAKOVAN , Hearing Facilitator
17	ROBERT SCHAAF
18	JOHN TAPPERT
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

3 1 Monday, March 8, 2004 --2 (All parties present in the meeting room 3 at or about 7:00 p.m.) 4 MR. RAKOVAN: If everyone could find a 5 seat, please, when you have a chance; we're going to get things started in the next few minutes. 6 7 (People come forward and take seats.) MR. RAKOVAN: Going to go ahead and get 8 9 I see a few people signing up in back. take your time with that and find a seat when you have a 10 11 chance. 12 I'd like to welcome you all to this public meeting tonight that's being held by the NRC. 13 14 Rakovan -- It's my pleasure to facilitate the meeting 15 tonight, and I'm hoping this will be a productive meeting. Our subject tonight is NRC's environmental 16 17 review, which is part of the evaluation of a request we have received from AEP, American Electric Power, to 18 19 renew the operating license for Donald C. Cook Units 1 I wanted to go through a little bit about the 20 and 2. format for tonight's meeting, introduce some ground

The first part of the meeting is going to be presentations done by the NRC. We're going to be

from the NRC that are going to be giving presentations.

rules, and then go ahead and introduce the speakers

21

22

23

24

going over a little bit of the license renewal process in general, and then specifically about the environmental review part, and then we're going to allow for some question and answer, just in case there's some questions about what was presented. From there, we're going to go to a second part; which is, we're going to open the mic up for public comment. This gives us an opportunity to listen to you; any comments, recommendations, advice, input that you might have for us in terms of deciding what we should look at in preparing a draft environmental impact statement on the license renewal application. I want to get out that any comments made here tonight are as good as submitting written comments. We have Marilyn here, who is going to be our stenographer for the evening. She is making sure that we have everything written down. And we will take this, they'll have the same weight as any written comments would be.

Ground rules. For the first session, which is going to be the NRC presentations, ask for you to hold your questions until the end, if you have any questions on them. And once the presentations have been wrapped up, just wave, get my attention somehow; I'll bring the cordless mic over to you and you can ask your question. At that time, if you could give your name and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

affiliation, if appropriate, just so Marilyn can get it down, and so everyone in the room knows who you are.

For the second part, we're going to open up the mic for formal comment. Ask to limit your comments to five to seven minutes. That's just a guideline. We've got a little flexibility here tonight. I don't think we're going to be pressed for time. But that's a guideline that we use. I would like to make sure that everybody has a chance to speak that wants to speak. In terms of just general guidelines, I ask that you let one person speak at one time. Primarily so that Marilyn has a chance to get it all down, but also as a sign of respect. And also, if you have any cell phones, beepers, those kinds of things, if you could turn them off or put them on vibrate so it doesn't interrupt the meeting, we'd appreciate that.

I'm going to introduce the NRC staff now, that are going to be giving presentations. First off, we have John Tappert. John, if you could stand up.

Thank you. He is the chief of the Environmental Section of Nuclear Reactor Regulation at the NRC. John's been with the NRC for about 13 years. He's been a resident inspector in the past, and was with the Nuclear Navy before coming to the NRC. He has a Bachelor's in Aerospace and Ocean Engineering from Virginia Tech and a

Master's in Environmental Engineering from Johns Hopkins.

Once John has a chance to welcome you and give you a little bit of information, he is going to turn things over to Bob Schaaf. Bob is our Environmental Project Manager for the D.C. Cook license renewal. He has been with the NRC for about 13 years, as well, and was with the Navy, as well. Bob has a Bachelor's in Mechanical Engineering from Georgia Tech.

Once John and Bob have a chance to give their presentations, then we'll move over to the second part of the night, where you can come up and give your own comments -- if you would like to give a presentation please fill out a yellow card in back, if you haven't already. That will help us get a chance to put the speakers in order and make sure everybody has a chance.

With that, I believe I will turn things over to John.

MR. TAPPERT: Thank you, Lance. And good evening and welcome, everyone. As Lance said, my name is John Tappert. And on behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, I would like to thank everyone for coming out here tonight and participating in this process. I hope that the information that we will share with you tonight will be helpful, and we look forward to

receiving your comments, both tonight and in the future.

I'd like to first start off by going over the purposes of tonight's meeting and the agenda. going to start by giving you a brief overview of the entire license renewal process, which includes both a safety review as well as an environmental review, which will be the principal focus of tonight's meeting. We'll give you some more details about how we will conduct that environmental review, which will assess the impacts associated with extending the operating license of the D.C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant for an additional 20 We'll also give you some information about the years. balance of our review schedule and how you can submit comments in the future. And then we get to the real heart of tonight's meeting, which is to receive any comments that you may have tonight.

But first, let me provide some brief context for the license renewal program itself. The Atomic Energy Act gives the NRC the authority to issue operating licenses to commercial nuclear power plants for a period of 40 years. For D.C. Cook Units 1 and 2, whose operating licenses will expire in 2014 and 2017, respectively, our regulations also make provisions for extending those operating licenses as part of a license renewal program. And AEP has requested license renewal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for both units.

As part of the NRC's review of that application, we will be developing an environmental impact statement. Right now we're early in that process in what we call "scoping," where we seek to identify those issues which will require the greatest focus during our review. And this public meeting here tonight is part of that scoping process.

After we develop our preliminary
assessment, we will publish a draft environmental impact
statement; return here at another public meeting to
present our findings. And with that as brief
introduction, I would like to ask Bob to give the
balance of the presentation.

MR. SCHAAF: Thank you, John. Once again, my name is Bob Schaaf. I'm the Environmental Project

Manager for the environmental review of the AEP

application for the D.C. Cook plant license renewal.

Our license renewal application review process runs essentially along two paths; a safety review and an environmental review. The safety review is supported by on-site inspections and independent review by our Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

This figure illustrates the entire review process, highlighting opportunities for public

involvement. The upper path shows the safety review and the lower path shows the steps in the environmental review process.

The safety review includes technical evaluation of the renewal application by the NRC staff, on-site inspections and audits by NRC regional and headquarters staff, and also an independent review, again, by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. The safety review is focused on the review of the applicant's programs for identifying and managing the aging of what we call "passive" systems, structures, and components. These programs are the focus of the license renewal review because our existing regulatory processes for operating nuclear plants ensure on an ongoing basis that "active" systems, structures, and components are inspected, maintained, and replaced as needed throughout the operating life of the plant. Also, existing programs verify that programs such as emergency planning and security are acceptable.

At the end of all these processes, the final safety evaluation report, the final environmental impact statement, the results of the NRC staff's inspections and the advisory committee's recommendation will be used by the agency in making a final determination on whether to renew the licenses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Opportunities for public involvement are indicated by the splash marks on the diagram. The first opportunity for public involvement was through an opportunity to file a petition to request a hearing on the renewal application. That opportunity began in December and closed in early January. The process requires that a petition be submitted to hold hearings on issues that would be litigated by a panel of administrative judges. There were no petitions requesting a hearing for the Cook license renewal.

The next opportunity for public involvement is today's meeting, which is part of the environmental scoping process. In the scoping process we determine the issues that we need to address in our environmental review.

The next opportunity for public involvement will be when we request comments on our draft environmental impact statement.

Finally, oral and written statements can be provided during the ACRS meetings. In addition to these opportunities throughout the process, members of the public who have nuclear safety concerns can raise those issues during additional public meetings that the NRC will hold to discuss our review of the Cook application. Meetings on particular technical issues

are usually held at the NRC headquarters outside of Washington; however, some technical meetings and meetings to summarize the results of on-site inspections are typically held near the plant site. That's a brief overview of the entire license renewal process. I'll now provide a little more detail regarding our environmental review process.

The National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, is a Congressional mandate enacted in 1969, which requires all federal agencies to use a systematic approach to consider environmental impacts during certain decision-making proceedings. It's a disclosure tool that involves the public. It involves a process in which information is gathered to enable the federal agencies to make informed decisions. And then, as part of that process, we document that information and invite public participation to evaluate it.

The NEPA process for license renewal results in an environmental impact statement, also called an EIS, which describes the results of the detailed review that we do to evaluate the environmental impacts of a proposed action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

In this case, we're preparing a supplement to the generic EIS for license renewal. The generic EIS

addressed a number of issues common to all nuclear plants and identified additional issues that need to be reviewed for each nuclear plant applying for license renewal.

Our review considers environmental impacts of alternatives to the proposed action as well, including the no-action alternative, which would be simply not approving the request, and the impacts of constructing and operating alternative power-generating facilities. At this point, we're in the process of gathering information we need to prepare our supplemental EIS. In particular, at this stage, we're performing what we call "scoping". The NRC is having this meeting as part of our scoping process for the purpose of providing you and other government agencies with an opportunity to provide us with any information that you believe may have some bearing on the environmental evaluation.

Again, in particular, we are looking for information that may not be readily available or concerns that people might have that may not have been addressed by AEP in their application.

This slide describes the objective of our environmental review as it is stated in our regulations.

To paraphrase, we're trying to determine whether or not

renewing the Cook license for an additional 20 years is acceptable from an environmental standpoint. I should emphasize that if we conclude the license renewal is acceptable from an environmental perspective, all that means is that it will be environmentally acceptable for AEP to operate Cook for an additional 20 years. The NRC doesn't determine whether they actually operate for those additional 20 years. That decision is made by AEP and state regulators. It is possible that the utility could determine that it is not economically feasible to continue operating, even though it is environmentally acceptable.

This slide gives a little more detail on the environmental portion of the review process, including some of the dates for the milestones in the process. AEP's application was received on November 3rd of last year. On February 6th of this year, we issued a notice of our intent to perform scoping, which we're doing now, and our intent to develop a supplemental environmental impact statement for the proposed action. We're currently in a data-gathering phase to determine the environmental impacts of renewing the license. After that, we will develop a draft environmental impact statement, which we expect to issue for public comment in September of this year. We'll also come back here in

early November for another public meeting to talk about the results of our review and to provide an opportunity for the public to provide comments they may have on our draft impact statement. After receiving and evaluating any comments, we will then develop the final environmental impact statement, which we expect to issue in May of next year.

We are gathering information for our evaluation from a number of different sources. This week, we will go to the site to review AEP's procedures for managing environmental impacts and to observe firsthand how the plant interacts with the surrounding environment. We are meeting with federal, state, and local government officials, and we will consider all comments received from the public during the comment period.

This slide shows the range of environmental topics our team is reviewing. Impacts considered include such things as air quality, water quality, and effects on plants and wildlife. We also look at what we call "socioeconomics". How does the plant affect people's lives economically in the surrounding communities?

We have assembled a team of NRC staff and experts from the national labs with backgrounds in these

technical and scientific disciplines in order to perform our environmental reviews.

To summarize a few key dates, our schedule is to complete the scoping process by April 6th, when the public comment period ends. After that, as indicated, we plan to issue our draft environmental impact statement in September of this year and to issue the final impact statement in May of next year. If you would like to receive a copy of these reports, please fill out a card at the registration desk.

This slide provides contact information in case you have additional questions after you leave the meeting today. I'm the designated point-of-contact within the NRC for the environmental portion of the license renewal review. Although you're welcome to contact me with any questions, if you have comments and wish to have them addressed in our review, they must be provided in writing or, as Lance indicated, in this meeting, where they will be transcribed and will be as good as written comments.

Arrangements have been made for the documents associated with the environmental review to be locally available -- the Bridgman Public Library, and the Maud Preston Palenske Memorial Library in St. Joseph have been kind enough to make some shelf space available

1 for documents related to the environmental review. 2 Also, documents are available through our online 3 document management system, which is accessible through 4 our Internet home page. After this meeting, comments can be 5 submitted by mail, in person, if you happen to be in the 6 7 Rockville area, or by e-mail at the addresses shown 8 here. And that concludes our formal presentation 9 10 on the environmental review process. In closing, I'd 11 like to thank everyone for attending and for your 12 attention during the presentation, and we look forward to any comments you may have. 13 14 MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you, Bob. And thank 15 you, John. Before we move on to the second session, does anyone have any questions on either of the 16 17 presentations? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. We'll move on then. 20 We have a couple people who have signed up to speak. 21 think, if it's okay, we'll start out with Bret 22 Witkowski, Berrien County Board of Commissioners. 23 if you could come up? Or I could give you the mic, 24 either way; whatever you want to do. 25 MR. WITKOWSKI: Thank you. I'm Bret

1 Witkowski, chairman of the Board of Commissioners of 2 Berrien County, and last week we passed a resolution. If I could just read it here for your record: 3 4 "WHEREAS, American Electric Power/Cook 5 Nuclear Plant has continuously been a good corporate partner with Berrien County since 6 7 1975 when it began commercial operations, and "WHEREAS, American Electric Power/Cook 8 Nuclear Plant is pursuing an extension of its 9 two operating licenses for an additional 20 10 11 years, to the year 2037, and 12 "WHEREAS, the Nuclear Energy Institute research says every nuclear plant job creates 13 14 one additional job in the surrounding 15 community, and "WHEREAS, the Cook Plant today generates 16 17 2.1 million kilowatts of electricity for millions of people, their residences and 18 19 businesses, and 2.0 "WHEREAS, Cook plant is the third largest 21 employer in Berrien County, providing almost 22 1,400 AEP and contract jobs, and 23 "WHEREAS, the Cook plant supports our 24 local, state and national economies with \$90 25 million in total wages and tax payments over

1 approximately \$14 million, and 2 "WHEREAS, nuclear energy assists the 3 County in achieving the best air quality goals 4 with the EPA and Michigan Department of 5 Environmental Quality. "NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED the 6 7 Berrien County Board of Commissioners supports and encourages the continuing licensing of 8 AEP/Cook Nuclear Plant until 2037." 9 10 The one thing I always like to note, because we 11 have 13 commissioners throughout this county, and it's 12 hard to get all 13 to agree to very much, but this was a 13 simple one for us as commissioners, because we do 14 appreciate AEP's investment in this community, and they 15 have been good partners in a lot of different areas and we definitely, as this resolution says, support the 16 extension of the plant. -- Thank you. 17 MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you for coming to the 18 19 podium to speak tonight. 20 We're going to move on to three gentlemen 21 from AEP who have requested to speak, starting first 22 with Joseph Jensen, who is the senior vice president of 23 nuclear generation. 24 MR. JENSEN: Good evening. I am the site vice president at American 25 Jensen.

Electric Power's D.C. Cook Plant, and it's a pleasure for me to be here tonight and represent the plant. And I also speak on behalf of our chief nuclear officer and senior vice president, Mano Nazar, who spoke at this afternoon's public meeting. I have 25-plus years' experience in nuclear, including time in the Nuclear Navy, time spent at a variety of Department of Energy test facilities, and also in the commercial industry, and have previously held a Senior Reactor Operator's license.

AEP is one of the largest utilities in the United States. And Cook is a very important source of emission-free generation for AEP, our community, and the nation. In 2001, we committed \$20 million to license renewal and millions more going forward as we seek -- as we move along in this process. We truly believe that this is a strategic milestone, not only for the Cook community, but for the greater community. Twenty years of additional operation means a diverse energy supply, environmental balance, and a source of jobs and tax revenue that are vital to our community.

Now, the license renewal process for us is about prevention and detection. Careful analysis, examination, and preparation will go into the programs that monitor equipment and structures for us to detect

problems before they ever arise. Mano talked this afternoon about his experience as plant manager at the Oconee plant in South Carolina, which was the second plant in the nation to seek and be granted approval for license renewal. We have experience in this area; we're confident that our application process is sound and will yield positive results.

You have my personal commitment, the commitment of our staff, the folks that work for us, and with us, and the support of a great community to continue the safe, reliable operation of our plant. Our mission is safety while caring for the community and the environment. Thank you.

MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you very much,

Joseph. Next, I'd like to go to Michael Finissi, who is
the plant manager at D.C. Cook.

MR. FINISSI: Good evening. My name is
Mike Finissi, and I'm the Plant Manager of the Cook
Nuclear Power plant. A little bit about myself. I have
a business degree; I have an engineering degree; I'm a
licensed Professional Engineer in the states of Ohio and
Michigan; and I have held a Senior Reactor Operator's
license at the Cook Nuclear Power Plant. I've worked
with American Electric Power for 19 years, most of which
has been spent working either in Columbus or out here on

the Cook Nuclear Power Plant. I believe my
qualifications make me qualified to do my job, which is,
first and foremost, safety. Safety to the public,
safety to our neighbors, safety to our employees.

As an example of our commitment to safety, last fall we had a minor steam leak in the plant that most likely could have been fixed online. We chose to shut the plant down because there was jeopardy to our employees trying to fix it online. As environmental stewards, the plant was built with, what I call, a low profile so it blends in with the surrounding community. We do not have cooling towers, and our containment structures are lower profile so that they do blend in with the existing dunes. Our staff of 20 environmental employees assures that we meet federal and state requirements. Also, supporting our folks at the Cook Nuclear Power Plant, we have the Service Corporation down in Columbus, which has additional staff which provide additional expertise to us in this area.

We built a nature trail at the Visitor

Center so that it gives us an opportunity for our

children to come visit the Visitor Center and be one

with nature, which is a unique opportunity. Also, we

recycle our paper with the local Gateway Group in

Berrien County, which provides two-fold pluses for us;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one, we recycle paper; and, number two, we also help the folks in our community.

In conclusion, I believe our environmental submittal is comprehensive and reflects the environmental impacts for license renewal. Also, I would like to say that license renewal is good for this community; it gives the Cook Nuclear Power Plant the opportunity to provide safe, reliable, low-cost, clean power for many years to come. Thank you for your time.

MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you very much,

Michael. I'd now like to go to Richard Grumbier.

Richard is the project manager of license renewal at

AEP.

MR. GRUMBIER: Good evening, everyone. As the project manager, I just want to share a couple of things with you regarding the rigor of the application and our willingness to support NRC reviews. Before I do that, I just want to add to the environmental and the community support that we provide and have heard from, both, at this evening's session, as well as this afternoon's session. You know, we're not just leaders in local environment, but also at a national and an international level. You know, in addition to the educational programs at the Visitor's Center, in addition to assisting in the purchase of some land for

the Chikaming Township for a park, we also have a considerable experimental effort in wind generation, and we're also involved in Bolivia and Belize forest preservation projects.

We're back to the application. Our application included an environmental report, which was developed by Cook staff, some of our environmental experts, and we sought the expertise from a third party, nationally known contract firm that provides a lot of support for other utilities.

This report basically did a review to see how Cook's existing environmental and compliance programs placed in with Michigan's Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Fish & Wildlife, and E.P.A. In terms of supporting the NRC review, to date there have been three on-site And the fourth one, basically, is going to audits. start tomorrow as part of the environmental site audit. We're prepared to provide that support. We're prepared by making whatever information is available, available to the NRC to support the audit teams and whatever their needs might be so that they can complete this And I'm personally looking forward to being part of this community for 20 more years after we receive our renewed license. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you very much. At
this time, those are the only speakers that signed up to
speak. I will open the floor up just to see if there is
anyone else out there who did not sign up who would like
to come up and make a statement right now. Sir?
MR. POLUHARYCZ: Come back here. I don't
want to go up there.
MR. RAKOVAN: That's okay. I will bring
the mic to you. If you could give us your name and if
you have any affiliation.
MR. POLUHARYCZ: How ya doin'? This is
Mike Poluharycz, and I have worked on and off at the
plant during outages for several years here. And I
guess my question is directed to the NRC, or to the
plant people. What is the perception of other plants in
the industry about the D.C. Cook plant? Because you
hear a lot of things from other workers that work at
other plants, but I would like to hear from the NRC, or
from the plant staff, what is the perception of the
D.C. Cook Plant when it comes to other plants in the
industry?
MR. RAKOVAN: Okay. Someone on the staff
like to handle that? John?
MR. TAPPERT: I guess it's difficult for
me to speak for the industry. We do have a oversight

process for reactors, and perhaps the Resident could give some insight on where they stack out in that arena.

MR. KEMKER: Possibly. Sure. My name is Brian Kemker, and I'm the Senior Resident assigned to Cook Power Plant. And, as you know, most everyone in the room knows, the NRC has on-site inspectors at all of the commercial nuclear power plants throughout the country. And our job on-site primarily is to ensure that the plants are operated and maintained safely. There is an oversight process by which we conduct inspections, and we assess the performance of the plants, based upon results of inspections as well as based upon results of performance indicators for various things like plant trips or safety system unavailability or security systems, those sort of things. information is available from the NRC Web site.

Where D.C. Cook currently stacks up, if you will, by comparison with other power plants their Unit 1 is currently at the -- if I'm not mistaken -- licensee response column, based upon performance indicators and inspection findings all being considered a very low safety significance. Minor issues, essentially. Unit 2 is in the degraded cornerstone column, and that's as a result of a number of plant trips that have occurred over the past year or two.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Primarily, I would say, from a performance 2 perspective, that puts them, although very -- in a safe 3 operating position, it puts them, I'll say, to the 4 right, if you will, of the performance of other 5 utilities [on the Reactor Oversight Process Action Matrix] which are mostly in the licensee response or 6 7 the regulatory response column. Does that answer your 8 question? 9 MR. POLUHARYCZ: Pretty close. I guess I 10 want to hear from the other industries, maybe something 11 of the plant, you know. Like INPO, what is their 12 involvement in the plant? What do they say of D.C. Cook? 13 14 MR. KEMKER: Well, I don't -- Certainly no 15 one at the agency will, of course, speak for INPO, as far as their assessment. Someone from the licensee 16 staff might be able to tell you. I'll state the results 17 of insights or evaluations which we receive from INPO or 18 19 any other industry or organization. Maybe I should 20 refer to someone. Joe, would you like to . . .? 21 MR. JENSEN: Sure. Couple things. Number 22 one, as Brian indicated, plants are operated safely, and 23 that's clear. And, of course, our number one charge and 24 charter is to operate the plant safely, protect the 25 health and safety of the public. And we feel very

strongly that we do that. And that's absolutely what we
are committed to, nuclear safety is number one;
environmental safety, industrial safety, personnel
safety, all of those things are absolutely the number
one things that we do. In those areas, that we grade
ourselves we only have one standard that we judge
ourselves against, and those are the standards of
excellence. What is the very excellent plant doing?
And that's where we judge ourselves against. In those
areas where we fall short of that, we are aggressively
pursuing actions to bring us to that level of
excellence. So, I think that is the way I would respond
to that; is that it's a safe plant, a great staff
working hard every day to maintain the margins of safety
that we have; and the areas where we think we need to
get better, we're aggressively pursuing those actions.
MR. RAKOVAN: Thank you. And it was
oversight on my part to not introduce Brian earlier as
the Senior Resident Inspector. I apologize for that.
Any other comments at this time?
(No response.)
MR. RAKOVAN: Okay, if not, I think I'm
going to hand the mic over to John to see if he could
close things out for us tonight.
MR. TAPPERT: Thanks Lance. And thanks

have the slides, you can contact Bob and put them into the process. We will be staying after the meeting if you have any additional questions. I'm not sure we completely answered your question, but we can talk to you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely.	1	again for coming out tonight. And I'd just like to
have the slides, you can contact Bob and put them into the process. We will be staying after the meeting if you have any additional questions. I'm not sure we completely answered your question, but we can talk to you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2	reiterate that the scoping comment period is open until
the process. We will be staying after the meeting if you have any additional questions. I'm not sure we completely answered your question, but we can talk to you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3	April 6th. So, if you have any additional comments, you
you have any additional questions. I'm not sure we completely answered your question, but we can talk to you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	have the slides, you can contact Bob and put them into
completely answered your question, but we can talk to you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5	the process. We will be staying after the meeting if
you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	you have any additional questions. I'm not sure we
9 coming, and drive home safely. (Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7	completely answered your question, but we can talk to
(Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.)	8	you some more, if you wish, as well. Thanks again for
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9	coming, and drive home safely.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10	(Meeting adjourned at or about 7:35 p.m.)
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24	17	
 20 21 22 23 24 	18	
 21 22 23 24 	19	
222324	20	
23 24	21	
24	22	
	23	
25	24	
	25	